

Leicester
City Council

MEETING OF THE HOUSING SCRUTINY COMMISSION

DATE: THURSDAY, 22 SEPTEMBER 2022

TIME: 5:30 pm

**PLACE: Meeting Room G.01, Ground Floor, City Hall,
115 Charles Street, Leicester, LE1 1FZ**

Members of the Housing Scrutiny Commission

Councillor Westley (Chair)
Councillor Chamund (Vice Chair)

Councillors Ali, Aqbany, Crewe, Fonseca, Gee and Pantling

Members of the Housing Scrutiny Commission are invited to attend the above meeting to consider the items of business listed overleaf.

Members of the Economic Development, Transport and Climate Emergency Scrutiny Commission

Councillors Joel, Councillors Fonseca, Porter, Rae Bhatia, Sandhu
Valand, Waddington and Whittle

Members of the Economic Development, Transport and Climate Emergency Scrutiny Commission are invited to attend the above meeting to consider the item on the Local Plan proposals listed overleaf (Agenda Item 6, Appendix B).

For Monitoring Officer

Officer contacts:

Jason Tyler (Democratic Support Officer)
Tel: 0116 454 6359, e-mail: Jason.Tyler@leicester.gov.uk

Jerry Connolly (Scrutiny Support Officer):
Tel: 0116 454 6343, e-mail: Jerry.Connolly@leicester.gov.uk

Leicester City Council, City Hall, 115 Charles Street, Leicester, LE1 1FZ

Information for members of the public

Attending meetings and access to information

You have the right to attend formal meetings such as Full Council, committee meetings, and Scrutiny Commissions and see copies of agendas and minutes. However, on occasion, meetings may, for reasons set out in law, need to consider some items in private.

Due to Covid we recognise that some members of the public may not feel comfortable viewing a meeting in person because of the infection risk.

Members of the public can follow a live stream of the meeting on the Council's website at this link: <http://www.leicester.public-i.tv/core/portal/webcasts>

Anyone attending in person is very welcome to wear a face covering and we encourage people to follow good hand hygiene and hand sanitiser is provided for that purpose.

If you are displaying any symptoms of Coronavirus: a high temperature; a new, continuous cough; or a loss or change to your sense of smell or taste, and/or have taken a recent test which has been positive we would ask that you do NOT attend the meeting in person please.

A guide to attending public meetings can be found here on the Decisions, Meetings and Minutes page of the Council website.

Dates of meetings and copies of public agendas and minutes are available on the Council's website at www.cabinet.leicester.gov.uk, or by contacting us using the details below.

Making meetings accessible to all

Wheelchair access - Public meeting rooms at the City Hall are accessible to wheelchair users. Wheelchair access to City Hall is from the middle entrance door on Charles Street - press the plate on the right hand side of the door to open the door automatically.

Induction loops - There are induction loop facilities in City Hall meeting rooms. Please speak to the Democratic Support Officer using the details below.

Filming and Recording the Meeting - The Council is committed to transparency and supports efforts to record and share reports of proceedings of public meetings through a variety of means, including social media. In accordance with government regulations and the Council's policy, persons and press attending any meeting of the Council open to the public (except Licensing Sub Committees and where the public have been formally excluded) are allowed to record and/or report all or part of that meeting. Details of the Council's policy are available at www.leicester.gov.uk or from Democratic Support.

If you intend to film or make an audio recording of a meeting you are asked to notify the relevant Democratic Support Officer in advance of the meeting to ensure that participants can be notified in advance and consideration given to practicalities such as allocating appropriate space in the public gallery etc..

The aim of the Regulations and of the Council's policy is to encourage public interest and engagement so in recording or reporting on proceedings members of the public are asked:

- ✓ to respect the right of others to view and hear debates without interruption;
- ✓ to ensure that the sound on any device is fully muted and intrusive lighting avoided;
- ✓ where filming, to only focus on those people actively participating in the meeting;
- ✓ where filming, to (via the Chair of the meeting) ensure that those present are aware that they may be filmed and respect any requests to not be filmed.

Further information

If you have any queries about any of the above or the business to be discussed, please contact:

Jason Tyler:

Tel: 0116 454 6359

e-mail: Jason.Tyler@leicester.gov.uk

For Press Enquiries - please phone the **Communications Unit on 0116 454 4151**.

PUBLIC SESSION

AGENDA

FIRE/EMERGENCY EVACUATION

If the emergency alarm sounds, you must evacuate the building immediately by the nearest available fire exit and proceed to area outside the Ramada Encore Hotel on Charles Street as Directed by Democratic Services staff. Further instructions will then be given.

1. APOLOGIES FOR ABSENCE

2. DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST

Members are asked to declare any interests they may have in the business to be discussed.

3. MINUTES OF THE PREVIOUS MEETING

Appendix A
Pages 1-6

The Minutes of the meeting of the Housing Scrutiny Commission held on 1 August 2022 are attached and Members are asked to confirm them as a correct record.

4. PETITIONS

The Monitoring Officer to report on the receipt of any petitions received in accordance with Council procedures.

5. QUESTIONS, REPRESENTATIONS OR STATEMENTS OF CASE

The Monitoring Officer to report on the receipt of any questions, representations or statements of case received in accordance with Council procedures.

6. LEICESTER LOCAL PLAN (2020 - 2036) - PUBLIC CONSULTATION ON SUBMISSION PLAN (REGULATION 19)

Appendix B
Pages 7-128

The Director of Planning, Development and Transportation submits a report which outlines the main strategies and policies of the submission City of Leicester Local Plan for public consultation in November 2022.

A presentation will also be made at the meeting covering the report and related details.

Members of the Economic Development, Transport and Climate Emergency Scrutiny Commission have been invited to participate for this item.

**7. HOUSING CRISIS IN LEICESTER - SCRUTINY
REVIEW REPORT**

**Appendix C
Pages 129-196**

The Chair of the Task Group presents the Scrutiny Review “Housing Crisis in Leicester”.

8. DISTRICT HEATING SERVICE CHARGES

**Appendix D
Pages 197-208**


The Director of Housing submits a report, which asks the Commission to comment on the report due to be considered by Full Council on 29 September 2022.

9. WORK PROGRAMME

**Appendix E
Pages 209-211**

The Commission’s Work Programme is submitted for information and comment.

10. ANY OTHER URGENT BUSINESS



Leicester
City Council

Appendix A

Minutes of the Meeting of the HOUSING SCRUTINY COMMISSION

Held: MONDAY, 1 AUGUST 2022 at 5:30 pm

P R E S E N T :

Councillor Westley (Chair)
Councillor Chamund (Vice Chair)

Councillor Fonseca Councillor Pantling

In Attendance:

Councillor Cutkelvin – Assistant City Mayor (Housing and Education)

* * * * * * * *

13. APOLOGIES FOR ABSENCE

Apologies for absence were received from Councillors Ali, Aqbany and Gee.

14. DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST

There were no Declarations of Interest separate from those recorded in the Members' Register.

15. MINUTES OF THE PREVIOUS MEETING

AGREED:

That the Minutes of the meeting of the Commission held on 6 June 2022 be confirmed as a correct record.

16. TERMS OF REFERENCE

AGREED:

To note the Terms of Reference for Scrutiny Commissions as set out in the Council's Constitution.

17. MEMBERSHIP OF THE COMMISSION

AGREED:

To note the membership of the Commission for 2022/23 as follows:

Councillor Westley (Chair)
Councillor Chamund (Vice-Chair)
Councillor Ali
Councillor Aqbany
Councillor Fonseca
Councillor Gee
Councillor Pantling
Councillor Crewe

18. DATES OF COMMISSION MEETINGS

AGREED:

To note meeting dates of the Commission for 2022/23 as follows:

Mon 6 June 2022
Mon 1 August 2022
Mon 19 September 2022
Mon 7 November 2022
Mon 9 January 2023
Mon 27 February 2023

19. PETITIONS

The Monitoring Officer reported that no Petitions had been received, in accordance with Council procedures.

20. QUESTIONS, REPRESENTATIONS OR STATEMENTS OF CASE

The Monitoring Officer reported that no Questions, Representations or Statements of Case had been received, in accordance with Council procedures.

21. RENT ARREARS YEAR END REPORT

The Director of Housing submitted a report, which informed Members of the rent arrears progress over the full financial year, from April 2021 to March 2022.

It was reported that at the end of the financial year to 3 April 2022 the cash amount outstanding was £1.577m, for current tenant rent arrears within the

general housing stock. This was 12% lower than at the same point in the previous financial year and the lowest for the last 4 years.

It was noted that the proportion of rent collected between April to March 2022 was 99.86% which was above target. The team had actively supported tenants to apply for Discretionary Housing Payments and a 6% decrease in awards compared to the previous financial year was noted. The data concerning Universal Credit and the assistance provided by the Team in partnership with her Housing Options Service was also acknowledged, particularly regarding households at risk of homelessness. It was also reported that only 7 evictions were carried out over the past year since the eviction ban was lifted in June 2021. This was the lowest number of evictions since the inception of the specialist rent team. In terms of the low number of evictions, it was noted that 3 of the cases related to anti-social behaviour and not because of rent arrears.

The Assistant City Mayor (Housing and Education) commented on the excellent work of the Team and made a comparison to the private sector where significantly more cases were heard at Court. The work in ensuring that support was provided to Council tenants was welcomed and encouraged.

The Chair referred to the positive outcomes, where a more support-led approach had been carried out compared to enforcement. The support and interpretation of the Discretionary Housing Payments compared with the previous year was also recognised. It was suggested that benchmarking and comparisons to other authorities in the region could be extended.

AGREED:

That the report and update be noted.

22. HOUSING MANIFESTO DELIVERY - UPDATE

The Director of Housing submitted a report, which provided an update setting out progress on delivery of the Labour manifesto commitments made in 2019.

It was reported that overall good progress had been made with the delivery of the manifesto commitments with a large proportion of the manifesto commitments having been delivered in full. The report focussed upon those that remained live and in progress.

It was confirmed that the homelessness strategy work was in development and the Communications Team had been engaged in progressing the issue, with a report expected to be submitted to the Commission in due course.

The delays in adopting the new Local Plan had been significant and the need to meet the target of providing new homes was emphasised. The associated work of the safeguarding and homelessness teams was noted. The planned improvements to the Dawn Centre and other strategic improvements to support the homeless were reported and noted.

The Chair commented on the praise received concerning the refugee resettlement programme.

The Director of Housing concluded by stating that there was a limited opportunity for development, given the lack of available land to develop new housing. The consultation on the Local Plan was ongoing but challenges were evident in terms of available sites attractive to house builders.

It was suggested that the progress of the Local Plan and the challenges concerning housing developments should be reported to the Commission in due course.

AGREED:

That the progress made with the Key Housing Strategic Priorities be noted.

23. UPDATE ON HOUSE BUILDING PROGRAMME

The Director of Housing submitted a report, which provided information on the Economic Development Needs Assessment (HEDNA) 2017. It had been concluded that Leicester's estimated level of Affordable Housing Need per annum for the period 2011-31 was 786. The average number of Affordable Housing completions achieved per year during the period 2011/12 – 2018/19 was 156; less than 20% of the evidenced need.

Associated to the previous item, it was noted that the Manifesto included an ambitious target to achieve 1,500 more council, social and extra care homes, a delivery target that was at least double the completions achieved in any prior administration.

The Chair commented on the HEDNA data across from 2011 to 2031 and stated that there were increasing numbers of older people in the city who might need sheltered housing or care packages in their own homes.

The report also set out progress towards meeting the manifesto commitment with more than 1,100 units either completed or in progress to be delivered. An update on Hospital Close was also submitted as part of that ongoing work.

AGREED:

That the report and update be noted.

24. REPAIRS, GAS AND VOIDS PERFORMANCE

The Director of Housing submitted a report, which provided an update on the performance of the Division's Repairs and Maintenance service, which included the Voids and Gas functions, over the last financial year, 2021-22.

The report also provided an update about the implementation of service improvements that would drive improved performance into the future.

In response to questions and concerns relating to void properties, it was clarified that significant assistance was offered to potential tenants when viewing void properties and that close liaison with colleagues in the construction hub was provided.

The work of the Task Groups in terms of assessing void properties was recognised, and the Director of Housing answered queries concerning the state of void of void properties and the need to ensure that properties were fit for accommodation.

It was suggested that a report be submitted to a future meeting relating to the standards of voids being offered for let.

AGREED:

That the report and update be noted.

25. DISABLED FACILITIES GRANT / HOUSING ADAPTATION

The Director of Housing gave a presentation in respect of the Disabled Facilities Grant and Housing Adaptations.

It was noted that all adaptations were recommended by Adult Social Care (ASC) and the definitions of minor and major works were reported and noted. The protocol agreed with colleagues in ASC where priority points and completion of works in order of need were also noted.

The Commission asked that their thanks and appreciation be passed on to the members of the Team implementing the adaptations programme.

AGREED:

That the presentation and update be noted.

26. WORK PROGRAMME

The Commission's Work Programme was submitted for information and comment.

27. CLOSE OF MEETING

The meeting closed at 7.08 pm.



Leicester Local Plan (2020 - 2036) Public Consultation on Submission Plan (Regulation 19)

For consideration by:
Housing Scrutiny Commission
Economic Development, Transport and Climate Emergency
Scrutiny Commission

Date: 22 September 2022

Lead Directors:
Andrew L Smith
Chris Burgin

Useful information

- Ward(s) affected: all
- Report author: Fabian D'Costa
- Author contact details: 0116 454 2974
- Report version number: 1

1. Summary

The report outlines the main strategies and policies of the submission City of Leicester Local Plan for public consultation in November 2022.

A presentation will be made at the Scrutiny Commission meeting covering this report and related details.

2. Recommendations

That the key local plan strategies, policies, site allocations, and provisions for consultation be noted with any associated comments.

3. Draft Local Plan

3.1 Background

The National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF) requires all local planning authorities to produce a local plan. In view of this officers have been working on a new plan which will replace the current core strategy (2014) and saved policies from the previous local plan of 2006. The key consultation stages are shown in section 4 below.

The draft plan will cover the period 2020 – 2036 and seeks to:

- Meet the needs for homes, jobs, shopping, and leisure
- Allocate sites for development including strategic development sites
- Protect important sites such as those with heritage value
- Set clear policies that guide decisions on planning applications
- The plan is required to be viable and deliverable

This will be the final consultation before the plan is submitted to the independent Planning Inspectorate for an Examination in Public (EIP) early next year.

3.2 Previous 'Reg 18' Local Plan Consultation

- Previous consultation on the Draft Leicester Local Plan (Reg 18) was delayed due to the COVID-19 Pandemic until September - December 2020
- All policies and proposed site allocations have been reviewed in the context of the consultation responses received and comments from Scrutiny Commissions made at the Regulation 18 Consultation stage. Revisions to the list of proposed development sites have also had to be made following consultation and as a result of the withdrawal of some proposed allocations by site promoters.
- Comments from previous Scrutiny Commission meetings held at the last local plan consultation stage have been considered and responses provided as set out in appendix 1.

3.3 Key Strategies and Policies in the draft Local Plan

- Housing need for the city over the plan period is 39,424 dwellings (2,464 dwellings per annum).
- This is an increase of 12,512 dwellings from the Reg 18 previous plan due to Central Government publishing a new standard method for calculating housing need. This resulted in our housing need increasing by 35%, adding a further 9,712 homes to our need between 2020 and 2036. In March 2022 the Government published new data (affordability ratios) which increased housing need in the city by a further 2,800 homes to 2036.
- However, there will be an insufficient supply of land available in the city, which means there will be a shortfall of approximately 18,700 dwellings and 23 ha of employment land.
- A Statement of Common Ground (SoCG) on the redistribution of 18,700 unmet housing need and 23ha of employment within Leicester and Leicestershire was approved in April and would support our Local Plan progressing to an examination subject to approval by the individual councils.
- Housing Supply (See appendices for full list of allocated sites) will be provided as follows:
 - Four Strategic Sites
 - Former Western Park Golf Course (LCC) – Including housing, employment and open space, and permanent Gypsy and Traveller provision
 - East of Ashton Green (LCC) – Including housing, employment and open space

- Land North of A46 bypass (LCC/Private) – Including housing and open space
 - Land at Billesdon Close and the Paddock (Private)
- 48 other sites will be allocated for housing
 - There has been a net reduction of 23 sites from the local plan since the last Regulation 18 plan version
 - The Central Development Area (CDA) will provide around 6286 dwellings largely on brownfield sites to contribute towards housing supply but also focus on retailing, culture, leisure and entertainment. This is an increase on the previous Regulation 18 plan version of 1386 dwellings.
 - Employment – To meet 42ha of employment need, new sites remain proposed to be allocated at the former Western Park Golf course; East of Ashton Green and Beaumont Park as well as two smaller sites at Thurcaston Road/Hadrian Road and Mountain Road. The city centre remains the focus for office proposals. Note, one or both of Beaumont Park and Thurcaston/Hadrian Road sites could provide Gypsy and Traveller transit sites.
 - Open Space – The plan provides for a balance between Housing, employment and open space. Development site proposals include some green wedge loss and public open space reductions. However, there are opportunities to secure new open space on strategic sites and enhance the quality of existing public open space through developer contributions.
 - Transport – The plan will support the emerging Leicester Local Transport Plan, in particular improving key transport hubs; providing a fast and efficient bus network; and promoting and cycling.

3.4 Key planning policies that planning applications will be judged against

The following key policies included in the Reg 19 Local Plan are highlighted:

- Climate Change – Includes air quality, transport, energy and flooding
- Health and Wellbeing – Good design, open spaces, employment, cycling and walking
- Internal Space Standards – City wide
- Affordable Housing 30% on greenfield sites
- Policies in relation to Houses in Multiple Occupation, Student Housing and retention of family housing

- Delivering Quality Places – includes tall buildings where suitable, landscaping, shopfronts, protecting residential amenity
- Policies to preserve our heritage assets and to support tourism in the city
- Maintaining and enhancing the quality of open space
- Protecting designated bio-diversity sites and support for Bio-diversity net gain
- Policies to protect existing sports pitches and support for new one
- Support the city's retail hierarchy and leisure and cultural facilities

3.5 Local Plan Timetable

The following provides a summary of key dates and an estimated forward timetable to plan adoption.

- Housing and EDTCE Scrutiny meeting – 19th Sept
- HCLT and Neighbourhoods Scrutiny meeting – 20th Sept
- ASC, CYPE and Health – 21st Sept
- Special Meeting of OSC – 27th Sept
- Special Full Council – 11th October
- 6 Week Regulation 19 Consultation – from mid-November
- Submit Plan to Government – Spring 2023
- Examination in Public – mid 2023
- Local Plan formally adopted – end 2023

4. Public Consultation

The emerging local plan has been subject to extensive consultation as follows:

- Public Consultation on Key Issues and Options
- Public Consultation on Emerging Options and Development Management Policies
- Public Consultation on Draft Local Plan (Regulation 18)

The final plan (Regulation 19) and supporting evidence will be available for consideration at Full Council on the 11th October.

Officers plan to commence consultation in November in line with the approved Statement of Community Involvement (SCI) document. This sets out how we will involve the public, developers, businesses and other agencies in the preparation of the council's planning policy documents.

5. Financial, legal and other implications

5.1 Financial implications

Whilst a great deal of officer time and effort goes into the development of the Local Plan, these costs are largely funded through existing staff budgets and reserves set aside for this purpose.

Stuart McAvoy – Acting Head of Finance

5.2 Legal implications

The draft local plan will be subject to a further period of public consultation; a public hearing before an independently appointed Inspector prior to adoption by the Council.

Legal

5.3 Climate Change and Carbon Reduction implications

Buildings and land are responsible for the majority of Leicester's Scope 1 & 2 carbon emissions, with new development leading to both operational and embodied emissions. Considering the council's declaration of a climate emergency and ambition to reach carbon neutrality, it is therefore vital that these emissions are considered and addressed, including through the new Local Plan.

The council's current Climate Emergency Action Plan includes an action to ensure that the new Local Plan addresses the climate emergency. As set out within this report, the new Local Plan will include a policy on climate change, which will implement this action. The Climate Emergency Action Plan also contains an action on carrying out a study on sustainable construction to inform the Local Plan, which has been carried out. In addition, the council's Sustainability Service has been consulted on development of the new policy as part of the development of the Plan.

Aidan Davis, Sustainability Officer, Ext 37 2284

5.4 Equalities Implications

Under the Equality Act 2010, public authorities have a Public Sector Equality Duty (PSED) which means that they have a statutory duty to pay due regard to the need to eliminate unlawful discrimination, harassment and victimisation and any other conduct prohibited by the Act, to advance equality of opportunity between people who share a protected characteristic and those who don't and to foster good relations between people who share a protected characteristic and those who don't.

This on-going duty. Where a disproportionate negative impact on a particular protected characteristic/s is identified, steps should be taken to mitigate (reduce or remove) that impact.

Protected Characteristics under the Equality Act 2010 are age, disability, gender reassignment, marriage and civil partnership, pregnancy and maternity, race, religion or belief, sex and sexual orientation.

One of the three overarching objectives in achieving sustainable development is a social objective – to support strong, vibrant and healthy communities, by ensuring that a sufficient number and range of homes can be provided to meet the needs of present and future generations; and by fostering well-designed and safe places, with accessible services and open spaces that reflect current and future needs and support communities' health, social and cultural well-being.

The purpose of the Statement of Community Involvement is to ensure that all members of the public, stakeholders and industry professionals can become involved in the plan making process, and comment on planning applications. It aims to ensure that the views of a wide range of stakeholders from across a range of protected characteristics, backgrounds and communities are considered, supporting the aims of the Public Sector Equality Duty. Meaningful consultation on the local plan is an important method of collating evidence around any potential equalities implications and should aid the authority in paying due regard to the aims of the PSED.

An equality impact assessment has been produced for the plan; the assessment is an iterative document and should be revisited and updated throughout the process and should take into account the consultation findings. It is important that the consultation is accessible.

Equalities Officer, Surinder Singh, Ext 37 4148

5.5 Other Implications (You will need to have considered other implications in preparing this report. Please indicate which ones apply?)

Not applicable

6. Background information and other papers:

Appendix 1 - Previous Scrutiny Comments

Appendix 2 - Strategic Site Allocations

Appendix 3 – Non Strategic Site Allocations

Appendix 1

Record of minutes of Scrutiny Meetings - Reg 18 Consultation Sept to Dec 2020

| | |
|-------------------------------|---|
| 12 th October 2020 | Economic Development, Transport and Tourism |
| 4 th Nov 2020 | Housing |

1. Economic Development, Transport and Tourism - 20th October 2020

Responses in blue

- a) The need for more and better public transport particularly in areas of population growth and an infrastructure that enables and encourages more environmentally friendly transportation.

Due to the fact that the local plan is land use plan rather than a transport plan it has limited control over the provision of public transport. However, the local plan will be supported by a infrastructure assessment which will help set out priorities for infrastructure funding linked to growth. The council is also in the process of preparing a replacement local transport plan which will have shape the council's approach to transport priorities in the future.

- b) The enhancement of green public spaces particularly in areas of dense housing.

The local plan can safeguard sites and facilities, and contributions towards site enhancements can be secured. The requirement for development to provide Biodiversity Net Gain will help enhance existing green spaces as well as create new ones as part of new developments.

- c) The wisdom of designating scarce NHS land at the General Hospital site for new housing in view of the growing need for health services and beds, resulting from population increase and ageing. Land owned and being promoted by the NHS Trust. Planning does not have control over the scope of release of the land for development. Ongoing discussions with the NHS about this site.

Removed from housing allocations, but dialogue to continue on future use.

- d) The development of brown field sites including derelict and disused factory buildings for new employment and business opportunities and for other designated purposes.

Independent capacity work has been carried out to look at how we can maximise the use of brownfield within the CDA but at the same time respecting the important historical assets of the city and ensuring that the homes provided are reflective of the city's need.

- e) Enabling development measures designated to create more local jobs, green jobs and business start-ups.

Policies in the draft plan to facilitate new jobs through provision of new employment land and start-up businesses as well as protecting existing employment in residential areas to support local employment. Policies in the plan, particularly climate change and transport (in

addition to objectives set out in the councils climate change action plan) will aim to ensure that jobs are as green.

- f) Ensuring that accessibility is a thread running through all parts of the Local Plan.

Accessibility is a key theme within the plan. The plan in particular promotes the principle of the '15 minute neighbourhood' which will aim to ensure that all major services are available within a 15 minute walk of where you live. The transportation chapter also has policies which promotes accessibility for all.

- g) Protecting family houses in areas where Houses in Multiple Occupation conversions are adversely impacting upon neighbourhood communities and heritage assets.

Policy Ho10 prevents concentrations of HMOs from developing and existing HMO concentrations from intensifying. Policy Ho09 prevents family houses within HMO Article 4 Direction areas from being converted to flats.

- h) Controlling the numbers of betting shops, massage parlours and food take- away establishments in neighbourhoods with vulnerable populations and/or levels of saturation.

Betting shops: Since 2014/ 2015 we have only received one application for a new betting shop. This was in 2021 and was on Granby Street. It involved the relocation of an existing betting shop to a new premises further down the street.

Numbers of physical betting shops are reducing in the City. The issue is going online. Planning policy is about preventing the proliferation of betting shops. If numbers are reducing in the city it will be difficult to demonstrate that there is a proliferation of betting shops. We would not have the evidence to support a more restrictive policy.

Massage parlours: Policy TCR05 seeks to direct Massage parlours to shopping centres (town district and local shopping centres where they would cause less disturbance to residential areas.

Hot Food Takeaways: Planning and Public Health have thoroughly explored and debated this issue in preparing the Local Plan. A policy would only apply to applications for new HFTs. Food delivery is radically changing the way people can access takeaways (e.g. uber, deliveroo). The physical location of the business is less of a factor in accessing high calorific food than in the past. No longer have to leave home to get a takeaway.

A restrictive policy around secondary schools – would only have a negligible impact on health & wellbeing

- i) That the Director of Planning, Development and Transportation be asked to forward the suggestion of establishing start-up and business development premises to relevant officers for consideration.

Policies within employment chapter address this issue – see e) above

- a) What percentage of the plan would be social housing as opposed to affordable housing or home ownership?

This Social housing will be met through the affordable housing contribution based on the housing mix evidence. Housing provided on council owned sites may be managed by social housing landlords. Affordable housing includes social rent and intermediate rent.

- b) Environmental groups had requested higher housing density in order to create more open space with 100 dwellings per hectare in the Central Development Area and 70 per hectare elsewhere. Additionally, would brownfield sites be developed before greenfield sites and was there any direction on creating housing in such a way as to discourage car use

Local plan suggests minimum densities of 75 dph in CDA and 35 dph elsewhere. This does not preclude schemes coming forward with higher densities subject to adhering to other policies in the plan.

The plan suggests both brownfield and greenfield sites to accommodate growth. However, the aim is that brownfield sites should be developed first subject to viability.

The Transport section in the plan encourages sustainable modes of transport.

- c) Was Student Accommodation built to the same standards as residential accommodation? It was desirable to avoid having to retrofit such accommodation with features such as insulation if they then required to have their use changed, as it had been suggested that such accommodation could be useful to single people within the city.

All new homes will be expected to meet the Nationally Described Space standards. Studios will be exempt from this.

- d) Are there any standards by which we can expect houses to generate some of their own energy.

Policy CCFR01 promotes all development to maximise opportunities to produce and use renewable energy on site, utilising storage technologies.

- e) The Council was constrained by the local plan and what the Government was insisting on. Constituents had expressed the desire for social housing rather than affordable housing as it was not seen as affordable despite its name. As the population grows more people would be unable to afford their own home and would rely on local authorities to provide housing. It was important to take health into the equation and the need for green spaces was seen as important. It was good that Brownfield sites were being considered before Greenfield sites, but further to this an area needs facilities for health and to help the environment

Please see response to (a).

f) How much land in the plan was owned by Leicester City Council? It has not yet been determined how much of the land would be private housing and how much would be social housing. Would the Council Housing Scrutiny and the Housing Lead have a role in determining this.

g) It was important not to lose green areas to housing particularly in Beaumont Leys Ward

All sites have been assessed against the provision of open space within each ward and area, this has been taken into account when allocating sites for development.

Open space is expected to be taken into account within any new development

h) Highways had caused problems on new developments as road layouts had not been consulted on properly. Local Ward Councillors knew their areas best, however, if a site was objected to, then an alternative should be put forward.

Whilst the local plan will set some priorities around transportation requirements, road layouts will ultimately be decided via negotiations with the local highways authority and the site developer. The local plan will require masterplanning for all major developments which will help create suitable highways layouts at an early stage and comments will be allowed on this once an allocation gets to planning application stage.

i) What was the expectation of replacing old housing with new housing

The plan policies do not preclude this.

j) There was a contentious space in Eyres Monsell Ward, and it had been difficult to steer the public towards answering the consultation rather than resorting to petitions and involving the media

Featherstone Drive Open Space – This has been removed from site allocations.

k) It was important for Councillors to engage with constituents as the plan would last for years once adopted.

Noted.

APPENDIX 2

STRATEGIC SITES PROPOSED FOR ALLOCATION IN THE DRAFT LEICESTER LOCAL PLAN (2022)

THIS DOCUMENT IS STILL BEING UPDATED

Introduction

Paragraph 23 of the NPPF states that broad locations for development should be indicated on a key diagram and that land use designations and allocations should be identified on a policies map.

A growth diagram was published with the draft Local Plan consultation in 2020. The growth diagram portrayed the extent of the proposed new Central Development Area (CDA) and showed indicatively the locations of strategic components of the draft Local Plan. A policies map will be published with the Local Plan for the next round of consultation, which will form part of the Local Plan when adopted.

As part of the draft Local Plan, the Council showed proposed land use designation changes to the adopted Local Plan proposals map in the document entitled *Atlas of proposed changes to the Policies Map*. This document sets out the proposed non-strategic site allocations; an accompanying document sets out the proposed strategic site allocations. Both of these documents will be updated prior to the next consultation and will be supported by the Policies Map.

The proposed CDA has the potential to accommodate around 6,200 new homes and 3.92 hectares of land for office development. This is a key component of the draft Local Plan's spatial strategy for the City's growth over the plan period. The 5 strategic allocations (4 housing sites and 1 employment site) proposed in the draft Local Plan and set out in this document collectively make provision for 1,838 new homes over the plan period. They also make provision for 20.42 hectares of land for employment uses and for one new school.

Site Selection and Information

The proposed strategic allocations set out in this document were selected by Council officers having regard to:

- Leicester's Sites Methodology document;
- Sustainability Appraisal; and
- 'Level 2' Strategic Flood Risk Assessment (and 2022 update)

A fuller explanation of the site selection process is provided in the Sites Methodology document referred-to above.

For each strategic allocation in this document there is a map extract, on an Ordnance Survey base, identifying the subject strategic allocation in the centre of the map with the relevant individual site number(s), and showing the extent of the proposed allocation in pink (denoting that the whole of the site is proposed for development¹).

Below each map there is a table of explanatory information, as follows:

| | |
|--------------------------------|---|
| Site No: | the site's unique reference number |
| Site Address: | the name/address by which the site is known |
| Ward/Area: | the Council ward name (and OSSR ² area) |
| Site Area (ha): | the site area, in hectares |
| Category: | either brownfield or greenfield ³ |
| Proposed Uses: | the development uses proposed by the allocation |
| Capacity (Residential): | the number of dwellings proposed by the allocation |
| Capacity (Employment): | the area in hectares and floorspace in square metres for employment uses |
| Capacity (Other): | the area in hectares or floorspace in square metres for any other uses |
| Suitability Summary: | a summary of Council officers' findings of the site's suitability and the site specific issues likely to need particular attention when the site is brought forward for development |
| Notes: | whether the site is wholly or only partially suitable for development |

¹ Except for site 464, where a development area has been defined and the remainder proposed as enhances open space.

² The Open Spaces, Sports and Recreation (OSSR) Study 2017. The OSSR identifies open space planning areas within the City as: North-East, South-East, South, West, North-West, and Inner.

³ Brownfield means land which is previously developed, greenfield means land which is not previously developed.

| | |
|---------------------------------|--|
| Ownership: | either City Council or privately owned |
| Delivery Timeframe: | when the site is likely to be developed (years from 2020) |
| Sustainability Typology: | the site's score from the Sustainability Appraisal (Red, Amber or Clear) |
| Initial RAG Score: | the number of <u>R</u> eds, <u>A</u> mbers and <u>G</u> reens (RAG) scored as a result of initial assessment, following the Sites Methodology, by Council officers |

Next Steps and Timeframes

The sites contained within this document are within the final version of the Local Plan. To view the draft Local Plan and to make your views known about any of the proposed site allocations please click here:


consultations.leicester.gov.uk

The Council has reviewed all comments received as part of the 2020 consultation and have taken these into consideration when deciding the final list of sites that it wishes to be included in the Local Plan for submission for Examination in Public. The upcoming consultation will give the opportunity to review the soundness of the Local Plan and provide any final comments. The Council have engaged with other interested parties including site owners/promoters, neighbouring local authorities and the County Council, utilities providers and others. New sites submitted during the previous consultation have been considered for inclusion in the final list for the Local Plan.

Summary List of Strategic Sites

| Strategic Site | Site Address | Pages |
|---------------------------------|--|-------|
| Ashton Green East | Land to East of Leicester Road Adj Ashton Green (Site 262) Land north of Birstall Golf Course (Site 579) | 5-8 |
| Land West of Anstey Lane | Land North of Billesdon Close (Site 309) The Paddock, Glenfield Hospital, Hallgate Drive (Site 718) Land West of Anstey Lane/South of Gorse Hill Hospital (Site 1054) | 9-11 |
| Land North of A46 | Land to North of A46 Western Bypass Adj Thurcaston (Site 261) Land north of Castle Hill Country Park (Site 580) | 12-14 |
| Western Golf Course | Western Golf Course (Site 702) | 15-17 |
| General Hospital | Land at Leicester General Hospital (Site 1044) | 18-20 |
| Beaumont Park | Beaumont Park (Site 464) | 21-23 |

Strategic Site: ASHTON GREEN EAST



Summary

This strategic opportunity comprises two sites: one north of Greengate Lane (Site 262) and one south of Greengate Lane (Site 579). Both are within a moderately-scoring portion of Green Wedge land. The draft allocation leads to the loss of the Green Wedge but is outweighed by the strategic opportunity of the two sites, as a natural extension of the already approved and partially delivered main Ashton Green development, to help meet the City's housing and employment needs over the Plan period. Site 262 is considered suitable primarily for housing development (including the provision of some plots for custom & self-build dwellings) but with provision made also for new public open space and a new secondary school. The part of the site considered suitable for housing and new public open space is shown as 262a. The part of the site considered suitable for a new secondary school is shown as 262b. Site 579 is considered suitable for employment in view of its relationship with adjacent land upon which employment uses are also to be delivered as part of the approved main Ashton Green development.

In terms of sustainability, the SA finds that Site 262 and Site 579 both perform poorly (red). This is partly attributable to the sites' existing Green Wedge designation and relative remoteness in public transport terms. Mitigations for identified sustainability issues should include: measures to ensure good public transport accessibility; archaeological investigation and heritage protection; retention of broadleaf woodland; ecological protection and enhancement; and retention of existing boundary hedges and ditches where feasible.

| | | | |
|--------------------------------|---|--------------------------------|------------------------------------|
| Site No: | 262 a + b | Site No: | 579 |
| Site Address: | Land to East of Leicester Road Adj Ashton Green | Site Address: | Land north of Birstall Golf Course |
| Ward/Area: | Beaumont Leys (North-West) | Ward/Area: | Beaumont Leys (North-West) |
| Site Area (ha): | 48.10 | Site Area (ha): | 4.86 |
| Category: | Greenfield | Category: | Greenfield |
| Proposed Uses: | Residential and Public Open Space (262a) and Education (262b) | Proposed Uses: | Employment (B1, B2 & B8 Uses) |
| Capacity (Residential): | 660 (including some provision for custom & self-build plots) | Capacity (Residential): | N/A |
| Capacity (Employment): | N/A | Capacity (Employment): | 4.86 hectares / 19,440 sq. m |

| | | | |
|-----------------------------|---|-----------------------------|--|
| Capacity (Other): | Secondary School (1,200 students) Public Open Space (4.94 hectares) | Capacity (Other): | N/A |
| Suitability Summary: | Greenfield site within Green Wedge (GW score 2.75). Scope for comprehensive release with land to south and Ashton Green development to west to form eastward extension of the urban extent beyond Ashton Green Road/Leicester Road up to the former Great Central Railway. Suitable for housing. In addition to usual planning requirements development will need to address: easement of ordinary watercourse; ecology; trees and hedgerows; archaeology; heritage; air quality; traffic noise; and sport provision. | Suitability Summary: | Greenfield site within Green Wedge (GW score 2.75). Scope for development in conjunction with (and access through) consented Ashton Green development to south of Greengate Lane and to east of Thurcaston Road. Suitable for employment. In addition to usual planning requirements development will need to address: easement of ordinary watercourse; ecology; and highways access. |
| Notes: | Whole site suitable for development (but land to be made available within the site for a secondary school and 4.94 hectares of public open space). | Notes: | Whole site suitable for development |
| Ownership: | Leicester City Council | Ownership: | Leicester City Council |

| | | | |
|---------------------------------|--|---------------------------------|--------------------------|
| Delivery Timeframe: | 6-10 yrs and 11-15 yrs Secondary School: 2023 | Delivery Timeframe: | 6-10 yrs |
| Sustainability Typology: | RED | Sustainability Typology: | AMBER |
| Initial RAG Score: | 5 Red; 7 Amber; 10 Green | Initial RAG Score: | 5 Red; 4 Amber; 12 Green |

27



Summary

This strategic opportunity comprises three sites: one north of Billesdon Close (Site 309), one east of Hallgate Drive (Site 718) and a third to the west of Anstey Lane/South of Gorse Hill Hospital (Site 1054). All three are within a high-scoring portion of Green Wedge land. The draft allocation leads to the loss of the Green Wedge but is outweighed by the strategic opportunity of the sites, as a natural extension of the existing residential estate served by Hallgate Drive and Lady Hay Road, to help meet the City's housing needs during the Local Plan period. The strategic opportunity extends to the north and west on land (in separate ownership) within the administrative areas of both Charnwood Borough Council and Blaby District Council. Sites 309, 718 and 1054 are considered suitable primarily for housing development, but with provision made also for new public open space, giving a combined potential capacity of 336 dwellings (not including the potential capacity of land beyond the City boundary).


In terms of sustainability, the SA finds that Site 309 performs poorly (red) whilst Site 718 and site 1054 are found to be neutral (amber). This is partly attributable to the sites' existing Green Wedge designation and relative remoteness in public transport terms. Mitigations for identified sustainability issues should include: measures to ensure good public transport accessibility; archaeological investigation and heritage protection; retention of broadleaf woodland; ecological protection and enhancement; retention of existing drainage/flood relief basins; and retention of existing boundary hedges where feasible.

| | | | | | |
|--------------------------------|-----------------------------------|--------------------------------|---|--------------------------------|---|
| Site No: | 309 | Site No: | 718 | Site No: | 1054 |
| Site Address: | Land North of Billesdon Close | Site Address: | The Paddock, Glenfield Hospital, Hallgate Drive | Site Address: | Land West of Anstey Lane/South of Gorse Hill Hospital |
| Ward/Area: | Beaumont Leys (North-West) | Ward/Area: | Beaumont Leys (North-West) | Ward/Area: | Beaumont Leys (North-West) |
| Site Area (ha): | 12.8 | Site Area (ha): | 4.5 | Site Area (ha): | 0.5 |
| Category: | Greenfield | Category: | Greenfield | Category: | Greenfield |
| Proposed Uses: | Residential and Public Open Space | Proposed Uses: | Residential and Public Open Space | Proposed Uses: | Residential and Public Open Space |
| Capacity (Residential): | 240 | Capacity (Residential): | 85 | Capacity (Residential): | 12 |

| | | | | | |
|-------------------------------|---|-------------------------------|---|-------------------------------|--|
| Capacity (Employment): | N/A | Capacity (Employment): | N/A | Capacity (Employment): | N/A |
| Capacity (Other): | Public Open Space (2.43 hectares across sites 309 & 718) | Capacity (Other): | Public Open Space (2.43 hectares across sites 309 & 718) | Capacity (Other): | Public Open Space (2.43 hectares across sites 309 & 718) |
| Suitability Summary: | Greenfield site within Green Wedge (GW score 3.25). Scope for comprehensive release with Site 718 and adjacent Green Wedge land in Charnwood/Blaby to form north-western extension of the urban extent beyond Glenfrith Way/Anstey Lane to A46 and Gynsill Lane. Subject to comprehensive access solution, site is suitable for housing. In addition to usual planning requirements development will need to address: | Suitability Summary: | Greenfield site within Green Wedge (GW score 3.25). Scope for comprehensive release with Site 309 and adjacent Green Wedge land in Charnwood/Blaby to form north-western extension of the urban extent beyond Glenfrith Way/Anstey Lane to A46 and Gynsill Lane. Subject to comprehensive access solution, site is suitable for housing. In addition to usual planning requirements development will need to address: | Suitability Summary: | TBC |

| | | | | | |
|-------------------------------------|---|-------------------------------------|---|-------------------------------------|-----------------------------|
| | easement of ordinary watercourse and retention of attenuation features; ecology; trees and hedgerows; archaeology; heritage; air quality; and highways access. | | ecology; trees and hedgerows; archaeology; heritage; air quality; and highways access. | | |
| Notes: | Whole site suitable for development (but land to be made available across sites 309 & 718 for 2.43 hectares of public open space). | Notes: | Whole site suitable for development (but land to be made available across sites 309 & 718 for 2.43 hectares of public open space). | Notes: | TBC |
| Ownership: | Private | Ownership: | Private | Ownership: | Private |
| Delivery Timeframe: | 11-15 yrs and 16-17 yrs | Delivery Timeframe: | 11-15 yrs and 16-17 yrs | Delivery Timeframe: | TBC |
| Sustainability Typology: | RED | Sustainability Typology: | CLEAR | Sustainability Typology: | Amber |
| Initial RAG Score: | 4 Red; 9 Amber; 8 Green | Initial RAG Score: | 4 Red; 4 Amber; 13 Green | Initial RAG Score: | 5 Red; 5 Amber; 15 Green |

Strategic Site: LAND NORTH OF A46



Summary

This strategic opportunity comprises of one site to the south of Thurcaston village (Site 261), site 580 has been dropped from this site allocation. Site 261 is within a high-scoring portion of Green Wedge land. The draft allocation leads to the loss of the Green Wedge but is outweighed by the strategic opportunity of the site, as a northward extension of the (in conjunction with the consented Ashton Green development) urban extent of the City beyond the A46, to help meet the City's housing needs during the Local Plan period. There are existing uses on part of this strategic opportunity which will need to be retained or for which a relocation will need to be facilitated, to the satisfaction of the existing user, by the site promotor. Subject to this, the site has been considered as suitable primarily for housing development, but with provision made also for new public open space, giving a combined potential capacity of 420 dwellings.


In terms of sustainability, the SA finds that site 261 perform poorly (red). This is partly attributable to the sites' existing Green Wedge designation and relative remoteness in public transport terms. Mitigations for identified sustainability issues should include: measures to ensure good public transport accessibility; archaeological investigation; ecological protection and enhancement; flood risk management and retention of an on-site pond.

| | | | |
|--------------------------------|--|--------------------------------|--|
| Site No: | 261 | Site No: | 580 |
| Site Address: | Land to North of A46 Western Bypass Adj Thurcaston | Site Address: | Land north of Castle Hill Country Park |
| Ward/Area: | Beaumont Leys (North-West) | Ward/Area: | Beaumont Leys (North-West) |
| Site Area (ha): | 21.95 | Site Area (ha): | 10.6 |
| Category: | Greenfield | Category: | Greenfield |
| Proposed Uses: | Residential | Proposed Uses: | Residential |
| Capacity (Residential): | 412 | Capacity (Residential): | 199 |
| Capacity (Employment): | N/A | Capacity (Employment): | N/A |
| Capacity (Other): | Public Open Space (4.57 hectares across sites 261 & 580) | Capacity (Other): | Public Open Space (4.57 hectares across sites 261 & 580) |
| Suitability Summary: | Greenfield site within Green Wedge (GW score 3). Scope | Suitability Summary: | Greenfield site within Green Wedge (score 3). Scope for |

| | | | |
|---------------------------------|---|---------------------------------|--|
| | for comprehensive release with Site 580 and Ashton Green development to south to form northward extension of the urban extent beyond the A46 to Anstey Lane, but leave gap along Leicester Road site frontage to retain visual separation along Leicester Rd between City and Thurgaston village. Site is suitable for housing. In addition to usual planning requirements development will need to address: easement of ordinary watercourse; ecology; trees and hedgerows; archaeology; heritage; air quality; traffic noise; highways access; and sport provision. | | comprehensive release with Site 261 and Ashton Green development to south to form northward extension of the urban extent beyond the A46 to Anstey Lane. Site is suitable for housing. In addition to usual planning requirements development will need to address: ecology; trees and hedgerows; archaeology; air quality; traffic noise; and highways access. |
| Notes: | Whole site suitable for development (but land to be made available across sites 261 & 580 for 4.57 hectares of public open space). | Notes: | Whole site suitable for development (but land to be made available across sites 261 & 580 for 4.57 hectares of public open space). |
| Ownership: | Private | Ownership: | Leicester City Council |
| Delivery Timeframe: | 5 yrs and 6-10 yrs | Delivery Timeframe: | 6-10 yrs and 11-15 yrs |
| Viability Typology: | Green 400 (20) | Viability Typology: | Green 400 (20) |
| Sustainability Typology: | RED | Sustainability Typology: | RED |

| | | | |
|---------------------------|-------------------------|--------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| Initial RAG Score: | 8 Red; 7 Amber; 5 Green | Initial RAG Score: | 5 Red; 5 Amber; 12 Green |
|---------------------------|-------------------------|--------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|

Strategic Site: WESTERN GOLF COURSE



Summary

This strategic opportunity comprises the majority land area of a former golf course (Site 702). The site is within a high-scoring portion of Green Wedge land. The draft allocation leads to the loss of the Green Wedge but is outweighed by the strategic opportunity of the site, as a natural extension of the existing residential estate served by Ryder Road and the industrial estate served by Scudamore Road, to help meet the City's housing and employment needs over the Plan period. The strategic opportunity of the former golf course extends beyond the City boundary to the north-west on land (also within Leicester City Council's ownership) within the administrative area of Blaby District Council. Whilst the site is considered suitable primarily for housing (including the provision of some plots for custom & self-build dwellings) and employment development, with provision made also for new public open space, the site is also suitable to accommodate the City's need for 7 permanent pitches for Gypsies & Travellers. The wider strategic opportunity, beyond the City boundary, may also make provision for supporting/ancillary retail and/or food and drink uses.

In terms of sustainability, the SA finds that the site (Site 702) performs poorly (red). This is partly attributable to the site's existing Green Wedge designation. Mitigations for identified sustainability issues should include: archaeological investigation; ecological protection and enhancement; and retention of on-site ponds.

| | |
|--------------------------------|---|
| Site No: | 702 |
| Site Address: | Western Golf Course |
| Ward/Area: | Western (West) |
| Site Area (ha): | 52.1 |
| Category: | Greenfield |
| Proposed Uses: | Residential, Employment (B1, B2 & B8 Uses), Gypsy & Traveller Permanent Pitches and Public Open Space |
| Capacity (Residential): | 466 (including some provision for custom & self-build plots) |
| Capacity (Employment): | 20.5 hectares / 70,000 sq. m |
| Capacity (Other): | Gypsy and Traveller Pitches (7 Permanent Pitches) Public Open Space (3.48 hectares) |
| Suitability Summary: | Greenfield site within Green Wedge (GW score 4). Scope for comprehensive release with adjoining land in Blaby to form westward extension of the urban extent beyond up to Ratby |

| | |
|---------------------------------|--|
| | Lane/Optimus Point. Subject to protection of LWS and comprehensive highways access for this and adjoining land in Blaby, site is suitable for mixed use development including Gypsy & Traveller pitches. In addition to usual planning requirements development will need to address: ecology; trees and hedgerows; archaeology; heritage; air quality; traffic noise; highways access; and sport provision. |
| Notes: | Whole site suitable for development (but land to be made available within the site for 7 Gypsy & Traveller permanent pitches and 3.48 hectares of public open space). |
| Ownership: | Leicester City Council |
| Delivery Timeframe: | 6-10 yrs and 11-15 yrs |
| Sustainability Typology: | CLEAR |
| Initial RAG Score: | 4 Red; 8 Amber; 9 Green |

Strategic Site: GENERAL HOSPITAL



Summary

This strategic opportunity arises primarily from the planned transfer of many (but not all) clinical functions from the General Hospital to the Royal Infirmary and Glenfield Hospitals, and is subject to the Central Government funding needed to enable that transfer. A secondary part of the opportunity arises from the planned disposal of land and buildings at Hospital Close. This secondary part would form phase one of development, with the larger part forming a second phase at a later date. Most of the opportunity comprises previously developed land but a part of the site (in phase two) is designated Green Space and could be preserved or re-provided. The proposal represents a strategic redevelopment opportunity within the existing urban area of the City, to help meet the City's housing needs during the Local Plan period. Both phases of the site are considered suitable primarily for housing development, but with provision made also for new public open space and the retention (and reuse) of locally listed buildings.


In terms of sustainability, the SA finds the site's (Site 1044) performance to be neutral (clear). Mitigations for identified sustainability issues should include: archaeological investigation; ecological protection and enhancement; and flood risk management.

| | |
|--------------------------------|---|
| Site No: | 1044 |
| Site Address: | Land at Leicester General Hospital |
| Ward/Area: | Evington (South-East) |
| Site Area (ha): | 28.35 |
| Category: | Brownfield |
| Proposed Uses: | Residential |
| Capacity (Residential): | 532 |
| Capacity (Employment): | N/A |
| Capacity (Other): | Public Open Space (3.98 hectares) and retention/re-provision of existing open space |
| Suitability Summary: | Predominantly a previously developed site with active non-residential uses. Development could involve some loss of existing open space in ward with sufficiency but OSSR area with deficiency, but this could be mitigated through re-provision (in addition to any new open space requirement). Subject to protection of LWS, open space re-provision and retention of locally listed buildings, site is suitable for housing. In addition to usual planning requirements development will need to |

| | |
|---------------------------------|--|
| | address: surface water flood risk; ecology; heritage; archaeology; trees; air quality; and highways mitigation. |
| Notes: | Whole site suitable for development (but land to be made available across both phases for 3.98 hectares of new public open space, and retention/re-provision of existing open space) |
| Ownership: | NHS |
| Delivery Timeframe: | 5yrs, 6-10 yrs and 11-15 yrs |
| Sustainability Typology: | CLEAR |
| Initial RAG Score: | 1 Red; 8 Amber; 13 Green |

Site removed

Strategic Site: BEAUMONT PARK



Summary

This strategic opportunity comprises part of the land area of Beaumont Park (Site 464). The site is designated Green Space. The proposed loss of Green Space is regrettable but would be outweighed by the strategic opportunity of the site, located between Beaumont Leys Town Centre and the industrial estate served by Leycroft Road, to help meet the City's employment needs during the Local Plan period.

In terms of sustainability, the SA finds that the site (Site 464) performs moderately (Amber). This is partly attributable to the loss of public open space. Mitigations for identified sustainability issues should include: sports provision; ecological protection and enhancement; and retention or relocation of an on-site pond. As the land is known to be contaminated, remediation to a standard appropriate for employment use will be required.

*NB: Other parts of Beaumont Park are included in the OSSR as children & young people's playspace and as outdoor sports space.

| | |
|--------------------------------|--|
| Site No: | 464 |
| Site Address: | Beaumont Park |
| Ward/Area: | Beaumont Leys (North-West) |
| Site Area (ha): | 20.74 (development on 8.8ha only) |
| Category: | Greenfield |
| Proposed Uses: | Employment (B1, B2 & B8 Uses) |
| Capacity (Residential): | N/A |
| Capacity (Employment): | 7.53 hectares / 25,000 sq. m |
| Capacity (Other): | N/A |
| Suitability Summary: | Greenfield site. Development would involve loss of open space in ward and OSSR area with sufficiency. Astill Lodge Park (Site 452) and Heard Walk Open Space (Site 544) provide alternative nearby provision. Site is suitable for employment use. In addition to usual planning requirements development will need to address: ecology; trees; land contamination; air quality; and sports provision. |

| | |
|---------------------------------|--|
| Notes: | Approx. 40% of site (7.53Ha) suitable for development. Remainder to be retained and enhanced as green space. |
| Ownership: | Leicester City Council |
| Delivery Timeframe: | 6-10 yrs |
| Sustainability Typology: | AMBER |
| Initial RAG Score: | 3 Red; 9 Amber; 11 Green |

Glossary

| | |
|-------------|---|
| CDA | Central Development Area |
| EDDR | Eastern District Distributor Road |
| LNR | Local Nature Reserve |
| LWS/pLWS | Local Wildlife Site/Proposed Local Wildlife Site |
| NPPF | National Planning Policy Framework (2019) |
| NPPG | National Planning Practice Guidance |
| Plan Period | The time period covered by the draft Local Plan (2019-2036) |
| SA | Sustainability Appraisal |
| SFRA | Strategic Flood Risk Assessment |

APPENDIX 3

NON-STRATEGIC SITES PROPOSED FOR ALLOCATION IN THE DRAFT LEICESTER LOCAL PLAN (2022)

**THIS DOCUMENT IS STILL BEING UPDATED, TAKING INTO ACCOUNT
REVISED DENSITIES ETC.**

Introduction

Paragraph 23 of the NPPF states that broad locations for development should be indicated on a key diagram and that land use designations and allocations should be identified on a policies map.

A growth diagram was published with the draft Local Plan consultation in 2020. The growth diagram portrayed the extent of the proposed new Central Development Area (CDA) and showed indicatively the locations of strategic components of the draft Local Plan. A policies map will be published with the Local Plan for the next round of consultation, which will form part of the Local Plan when adopted.

As part of the draft Local Plan, the Council showed proposed land use designation changes to the adopted Local Plan proposals map in the document entitled *Atlas of proposed changes to the Policies Map*. This document sets out the proposed non-strategic site allocations; an accompanying document sets out the proposed strategic site allocations. Both of these documents will be updated prior to the next consultation and will be supported by the Policies Map.

The proposed CDA has the potential to accommodate around 6,200 new homes and 3.92 hectares of land for office development. This is a key component of the draft Local Plan's spatial strategy for the City's growth over the plan period. The 53 non-strategic site allocations (48 proposed for housing, 2 - employment, 1 - leisure and tourism, 2 – schools) proposed in the Local Plan and set out in this document collectively make provision for 1,232 new homes over the plan period and around 4.8 hectares of land for employment uses.

Site Selection and Information

The proposed non-strategic site allocations set out in this document were selected by Council officers having regard to:

- Leicester's Sites Methodology document;
- Sustainability Appraisal; and
- 'Level 2' Strategic Flood Risk Assessment (and 2022 update).

A fuller explanation of the site selection process is provided in the Sites Methodology document referred-to above.

For each site in this document there is a map extract, on an Ordnance Survey base, identifying the subject site in the centre of the map, and showing the extent of the proposed Local Plan allocation either in pink (denoting that the whole of the site is proposed for development¹) or in yellow (denoting that only part of the site is proposed

¹ Except for sites: 335 and 961 where a development area has been defined and the remainder is proposed as enhanced playing fields; and 559 where a development area has been defined and the

for development)². For those sites shown in yellow as partial development sites, the part of the site that would be developed has not been determined³ and the Council would welcome views on this as part of the consultation.

Below each map there is a table of explanatory information, as follows:

| | |
|---------------------------------|--|
| Site No: | the site's unique reference number |
| Site Address: | the name/address by which the site is known |
| Ward/Area: | the Council ward name (and OSSR ⁴ area) |
| Site Area (ha): | the site area, in hectares |
| Category: | either brownfield or greenfield ⁵ |
| Proposed Uses: | the development uses proposed by the allocation |
| Capacity (Residential): | the number of dwellings proposed by the allocation |
| Capacity (Employment): | the area in hectares and floorspace in square metres for employment uses |
| Capacity (Other): | the area in hectares or floorspace in square metres for any other uses |
| Suitability Summary: | a summary of Council officers findings of the site's suitability and the site specific issues likely to need particular attention when the site is brought forward for development |
| Notes: | whether the site is wholly or only partially suitable for development |
| Ownership: | either City Council or privately owned |
| Delivery Timeframe: | when the site is likely to be developed (years from 2020) |
| Sustainability Typology: | the site's score from the Sustainability Appraisal (Red, Amber or Clear) |
| Initial RAG Score: | the number of <u>R</u> eds, <u>A</u> mbers and <u>G</u> reens (RAG) scored as a result of initial assessment, following the Sites Methodology, by Council officers |

Next Steps and Timeframes

remainder is safeguarded for the route of the Eastern District Distributor Road (EDDR) (the route of the EDDR is shown on the 2006 Local Plan proposals map).

² In this context, 'development' includes the provision (where relevant) of roads, car parking, amenity areas, landscaping etc., not just buildings.

³ Except for site 960, parts of which is subject to environmental/planning constraints.

⁴ The Open Spaces, Sports and Recreation (OSSR) Study 2017. The OSSR identifies open space planning areas within the City as: North-East, South-East, South, West, North-West, and Inner.

⁵ Brownfield means land which is previously developed, greenfield means land which is not previously developed.

The sites contained within this document are within the final version of the Local Plan. To view the draft Local Plan and to make your views known about any of the proposed site allocations please click here:

consultations.leicester.gov.uk


The Council has reviewed all comments received as part of the 2020 consultation and have taken these into consideration when deciding the final list of sites that it wishes to be included in the Local Plan for submission for Examination in Public. The upcoming consultation will give the opportunity to review the soundness of the Local Plan and provide any final comments. The Council have engaged with other interested parties including site owners/promoters, neighbouring local authorities and the County Council, utilities providers and others. New sites submitted during the previous consultation have been considered for inclusion in the final list for the Local Plan.

Summary List of Non-Strategic Sites


| Site No. | Site Address | Page |
|----------|--|------|
| 15 | St. Augustine Road | 7 |
| 19 | Velodrome Saffron Lane | 8 |
| 190 | Lanesborough Road – former allotments | 9 |
| 219 | Rosedale Avenue – Land rear of, and Harrison Road allotments | 10 |
| 222 | Evington Valley Road (former Dunlop Works) | 11 |
| 240 | 114-116 Western Road | 12 |
| 297 | Sturdee Road - The Exchange | 13 |
| 307 | Mary Gee Houses - 101-107 Ratcliffe Road | 14 |
| 335 | Manor House Playing Fields - Narborough Road | 15 |
| 449 | Allexton Gardens Open Space | 16 |
| 463 | Beaumont Lodge Primary School Playing Fields | 17 |
| 473 | Birstall Golf Course (adjacent to Astill Drive) | 18 |
| 474 | Birstall Golf Course (south of Park Drive) | 19 |
| 481 | Brent Knowle Gardens | 20 |
| 485 | Buswells Lodge Primary School Playing Fields | 21 |
| 488 | Carter Street/Weymouth Street/Bardolph Street East | 22 |
| 501 | Croyland Green | 23 |
| 505 | Dorothy Road/Linden Street/Constance Road | 24 |
| 515 | Featherstone Drive Open Space | 25 |
| 516 | Former bus depot, Abbey Park Road | 26 |
| 525 | Fulford Road Open Space | 27 |
| 527 | Gilmorton Avenue Playground | 28 |
| 529 | Glovers Walk Open Space | 29 |
| 546 | Herrick Primary School Playing Fields | 30 |
| 549 | Hockley Farm Road Open Space | 31 |
| 557 | Ingold Avenue Open Space | 32 |
| 559 | Judgemeadow Community College Playing Fields | 33 |
| 566 | Kirminton Gardens | 34 |
| 569 | Krefeld Way/Darenth Drive Open Space | 35 |
| 575 | Land adjacent Great Central Railway | 36 |
| 577 | Land adjacent Keyham Lane/Preston Rise | 37 |
| 589 | Land to east of Beaumont Leys Lane | 38 |

| | | |
|------|--|----|
| 604 | Linden School Playing Fields | 39 |
| 605 | Longleat Close Open Space (Waddesdon Walk) | 40 |
| 620 | Morton Walk Open Space | 41 |
| 626 | Neston Gardens Open Space/Mud Dumps | 42 |
| 627 | Neston Gardens Playing Fields | 43 |
| 629 | Netherhall Road Open Space | 44 |
| 631 | Newlyn Parade/Crayford Way | 45 |
| 646 | Rancliffe Gardens | 46 |
| 647 | Ranworth Open Space | 47 |
| 648 | Rayleigh Green | 48 |
| 653 | Rowlatts Hill School Playing Fields | 49 |
| 663 | Sedgebrook Road Open Space | 50 |
| 665 | Sharmon Crescent Open Space | 51 |
| 669 | Spendlow Gardens | 52 |
| 673 | St. Augustine's | 53 |
| 675 | St. Helen's Close Open Space | 54 |
| 684 | Land adjacent to Evington Leisure Centre | 55 |
| 687 | Thurcaston Road/Hadrian Road Open Space | 56 |
| 715 | Land North of Gartree Road | 57 |
| 956 | Site of 11 Old Barn Walk | 58 |
| 960 | Land west of Bede Island Road (Braunstone Gate) | 59 |
| 961 | Welford Road Playing Fields | 60 |
| 962 | Amenity land between Coleman Road and Goodwood Road | 62 |
| 963 | Southfields Infant School and Newry Specialist Learning Centre | 63 |
| 992 | Woodstock Road | 64 |
| 1001 | Phillips Crescent | 65 |
| 1006 | Kingscliffe Crescent Open Space | 66 |
| 1007 | Glazebrook Square | 67 |
| 1021 | Sunbury Green | 68 |
| 1030 | Dysart Way | 69 |
| 1034 | Forest Lodge Education Centre, Charnor Road | 70 |
| 1035 | VRRE/Gipsy Lane | 71 |
| 1037 | Spence Street | 72 |
| 1039 | Bisley Street/Western Road | 73 |


| | | |
|------|---|----|
| 1040 | Mountain Road | 74 |
| 1041 | Land off Hazeldene Road adj. Kestrel's Field Primary School | 75 |
| 1042 | Land off Heacham Drive (former playing fields) | 76 |
| 1047 | Land at Groby Road/Fosse Road North | 77 |
| 1049 | Land at Manor Farm/Collis Crescent | 79 |
| 1051 | Gilmorton Community Rooms/Hopyard Close shops | 80 |
| 1052 | Railway station, former sorting office and station car park | 81 |
| 1053 | Land at Midland St, Southampton St, Nicholas St & Queen St | 82 |




| | |
|---------------------------------|--|
| Site No: | 15 |
| Site Address: | St. Augustine Road |
| Ward/Area: | Westcotes (Inner) |
| Site Area (ha): | 2.02 |
| Category: | Brownfield |
| Proposed Uses: | Mixed Residential and Employment Uses |
| Capacity (Residential): | 36 |
| Capacity (Employment): | To be determined by scheme feasibility |
| Capacity (Other): | N/A |
| Suitability Summary: | Previously developed / existing allocated site with active non-residential uses. Subject to the exception test (see SFRA) and protection of River Soar LWS, site is suitable for mixed-use redevelopment and conversion of existing buildings. In addition to usual planning requirements development will need to address: flood risk; ecology; heritage; archaeology; trees; air quality; and highways access. |
| Notes: | Other than River Soar, whole site suitable for development |
| Ownership: | Private |
| Delivery Timeframe: | 6-10 yrs |
| Sustainability Typology: | RED |
| Initial RAG Score: | 1 Red; 7 Amber; 10 Green |




| | |
|---------------------------------|---|
| Site No: | 19 |
| Site Address: | Velodrome Saffron Lane |
| Ward/Area: | Saffron (Inner) |
| Site Area (ha): | 1.28 |
| Category: | Brownfield |
| Proposed Uses: | Residential |
| Capacity (Residential): | 32 |
| Capacity (Employment): | N/A |
| Capacity (Other): | N/A |
| Suitability Summary: | Previously developed vacant site with previous outline planning permission (now expired). Site is suitable for housing development. In addition to usual planning requirements development will need to address: Saffron Brook culvert; ecology; air quality; transport impacts; relationship with stadium and railway. |
| Notes: | Whole site suitable for development |
| Ownership: | Leicester City Council |
| Delivery Timeframe: | 5yrs |
| Sustainability Typology: | CLEAR |
| Initial RAG Score: | 1 Red; 3 Amber; 17 Green |




| | |
|---------------------------------|--|
| Site No: | 190 |
| Site Address: | Lanesborough Road – former allotments |
| Ward/Area: | Rushey Mead (North-West) |
| Site Area (ha): | 2.3 |
| Category: | Greenfield |
| Proposed Uses: | Residential |
| Capacity (Residential): | 44 |
| Capacity (Employment): | N/A |
| Capacity (Other): | N/A |
| Suitability Summary: | Greenfield former (declassified) allotment site / existing allocated vacant site with previous planning permission (now expired). Subject to the exception test (see SFRA) and protection of LWS, site is suitable for housing development. In addition to usual planning requirements development will need to address: flood risk; Melton Brook; ecology; trees; archaeology; PROW; and highways access. |
| Notes: | Whole site suitable for development |
| Ownership: | Leicester City Council |
| Delivery Timeframe: | 5yrs |
| Sustainability Typology: | RED |
| Initial RAG Score: | 2 Red; 5 Amber; 14 Green |




| | |
|---------------------------------|--|
| Site No: | 219 |
| Site Address: | Rosedale Avenue – Land at rear of, and Harrison Road allotments |
| Ward/Area: | Rushey Mead (North-East) |
| Site Area (ha): | 1.83 |
| Category: | Greenfield |
| Proposed Uses: | Residential |
| Capacity (Residential): | 46 |
| Capacity (Employment): | N/A |
| Capacity (Other): | N/A |
| Suitability Summary: | Greenfield former (declassified) allotment site. Site is suitable for housing development. In addition to the usual planning requirements development will need to address: ecology; trees; and highways access. |
| Notes: | Whole site suitable for development |
| Ownership: | Leicester City Council |
| Delivery Timeframe: | 6-10 yrs |
| Sustainability Typology: | CLEAR |
| Initial RAG Score: | 1 Red; 2 Amber; 11 Green |




| | |
|---------------------------------|--|
| Site No: | 222 |
| Site Address: | Evington Valley Road (former Dunlop Works) |
| Ward/Area: | Spinney Hills (Inner) |
| Site Area (ha): | 2.37 |
| Category: | Brownfield |
| Proposed Uses: | Mixed Residential and Employment Uses |
| Capacity (Residential): | 45 |
| Capacity (Employment): | To be determined by scheme feasibility |
| Capacity (Other): | N/A |
| Suitability Summary: | Previously developed / existing allocated site with active non-residential uses. Subject to the exception test (see SFRA) site is suitable for mixed-use conversion of this locally listed building. In addition to usual planning requirements development will need to address: flood risk; ecology; heritage; archaeology; and trees. |
| Notes: | Whole site suitable for development |
| Ownership: | Private |
| Delivery Timeframe: | 11-15 yrs |
| Sustainability Typology: | CLEAR |
| Initial RAG Score: | 1 Red; 2 Amber; 14 Green |




| | |
|---------------------------------|--|
| Site No: | 240 |
| Site Address: | 114-116 Western Road |
| Ward/Area: | Westcotes (Inner) |
| Site Area (ha): | 0.14 |
| Category: | Brownfield |
| Proposed Uses: | Residential |
| Capacity (Residential): | 7 |
| Capacity (Employment): | N/A |
| Capacity (Other): | N/A |
| Suitability Summary: | Previously developed site. Withdrawn application (20150498) for 85 flats. Subject to the exception test (see SFRA) site is suitable for housing. In addition to usual planning requirements development will need to address: flood risk; ecology; and heritage. |
| Notes: | Whole site suitable for development |
| Ownership: | Private |
| Delivery Timeframe: | 5 yrs |
| Sustainability Typology: | AMBER |
| Initial RAG Score: | 1 Red; 2 Amber; 18 Green |




| | |
|---------------------------------|--|
| Site No: | 297 |
| Site Address: | Sturdee Road - The Exchange |
| Ward/Area: | Eyres Monsell (South) |
| Site Area (ha): | 0.7 |
| Category: | Brownfield |
| Proposed Uses: | Residential |
| Capacity (Residential): | 18 |
| Capacity (Employment): | N/A |
| Capacity (Other): | N/A |
| Suitability Summary: | Previously developed site with previous outline planning permission (now expired). Extent of Local Centre proposed for revision to reflect recent consented and built retail and other development. Site is suitable for housing. In addition to usual planning requirements development will need to address: heritage. |
| Notes: | Whole site suitable for development |
| Ownership: | Leicester City Council |
| Delivery Timeframe: | 5 yrs |
| Sustainability Typology: | CLEAR |
| Initial RAG Score: | 0 Red; 2 Amber; 18 Green |




| | |
|---------------------------------|---|
| Site No: | 307 |
| Site Address: | Mary Gee Houses - 101-107 Ratcliffe Road |
| Ward/Area: | Knighton (South-East) |
| Site Area (ha): | 1.37 |
| Category: | Brownfield |
| Proposed Uses: | Residential |
| Capacity (Residential): | 100 |
| Capacity (Employment): | N/A |
| Capacity (Other): | N/A |
| Suitability Summary: | Previously developed site. Current application (20190433) for 100 flats with care for the elderly. Site is suitable for housing. In addition to usual planning requirements development will need to address: heritage. |
| Notes: | Whole site suitable for development |
| Ownership: | Private |
| Delivery Timeframe: | 5 yrs |
| Sustainability Typology: | CLEAR |
| Initial RAG Score: | 0 Red; 2 Amber; 14 Green |




| | |
|---------------------------------|--|
| Site No: | 335 |
| Site Address: | Manor House Playing Fields - Narborough Road |
| Ward/Area: | Braunstone and Rowley Fields (Inner) |
| Site Area (ha): | 2.24 (development on 0.4ha only) |
| Category: | Greenfield |
| Proposed Uses: | Residential and Playing Fields |
| Capacity (Residential): | 19 |
| Capacity (Employment): | N/A |
| Capacity (Other): | N/A |
| Suitability Summary: | Greenfield site. Scope for partial development to open-up/enhance public access to playing fields. Site is suitable for housing. In addition to usual planning requirements development will need to address: trees; archaeology; heritage; air quality; highways access; and sport provision. |
| Notes: | One fifth of site (0.4ha) suitable for development. Remainder to be retained and enhanced as green space. |
| Ownership: | Leicester City Council |
| Delivery Timeframe: | 6-10 yrs |
| Sustainability Typology: | CLEAR |
| Initial RAG Score: | 3 Red; 1 Amber; 17 Green |



| | |
|---------------------------------|---|
| Site No: | 449 |
| Site Address: | Allextion Gardens Open Space |
| Ward/Area: | Western (West) |
| Site Area (ha): | 0.86 |
| Category: | Greenfield |
| Proposed Uses: | Residential |
| Capacity (Residential): | 22 |
| Capacity (Employment): | N/A |
| Capacity (Other): | N/A |
| Suitability Summary: | Greenfield site. Development would involve loss of open space in ward and OSSR area with sufficiency. However Western Park provides nearby alternative provision for local open space needs. Site is suitable for housing. In addition to usual planning requirements development will need to address: archaeology; heritage; and sport provision. |
| Notes: | Whole site suitable for development |
| Ownership: | Leicester City Council |
| Delivery Timeframe: | 6-10 yrs |
| Sustainability Typology: | CLEAR |
| Initial RAG Score: | 2 Red; 4 Amber; 15 Green |




| | |
|---------------------------------|---|
| Site No: | 463 |
| Site Address: | Beaumont Lodge Primary School Playing Fields |
| Ward/Area: | Beaumont Leys (North-West) |
| Site Area (ha): | 0.25 |
| Category: | Greenfield |
| Proposed Uses: | Residential |
| Capacity (Residential): | 7 |
| Capacity (Employment): | N/A |
| Capacity (Other): | N/A |
| Suitability Summary: | Greenfield site (part of school grounds). Scope for release of part fronting Bennion Road without prejudice to school playing field. Site is suitable for housing. In addition to usual planning requirements development will need to address: heritage; ecology; and trees. |
| Notes: | Whole site suitable for development |
| Ownership: | Leicester City Council |
| Delivery Timeframe: | 6-10 yrs |
| Sustainability Typology: | AMBER |
| Initial RAG Score: | 3 Red; 6 Amber; 12 Green |




| | |
|---------------------------------|---|
| Site No: | 473 |
| Site Address: | Birstall Golf Course (adjacent to Astill Drive) |
| Ward/Area: | Abbey (North-West) |
| Site Area (ha): | 2.75 |
| Category: | Greenfield |
| Proposed Uses: | Residential |
| Capacity (Residential): | 52 |
| Capacity (Employment): | N/A |
| Capacity (Other): | N/A |
| Suitability Summary: | Greenfield site within Green Wedge (GW score 3.75). Scope for development as an extension of urban extent of Astill Drive estate up to boundary with Great Central Railway without significant harm to the remainder of this Green Wedge parcel. Site is suitable for housing. In addition to usual planning requirements development will need to address: ecology; archaeology; and heritage. |
| Notes: | Whole site suitable for development |
| Ownership: | Private |
| Delivery Timeframe: | 6-10 yrs |
| Sustainability Typology: | AMBER |
| Initial RAG Score: | 3 Red; 7 Amber; 13 Green |




| | |
|---------------------------------|---|
| Site No: | 474 |
| Site Address: | Birstall Golf Course (south of Park Drive) |
| Ward/Area: | Abbey (North-West) |
| Site Area (ha): | 2.78 |
| Category: | Greenfield |
| Proposed Uses: | Residential |
| Capacity (Residential): | 53 |
| Capacity (Employment): | N/A |
| Capacity (Other): | N/A |
| Suitability Summary: | Greenfield site within Green Wedge (GW score 3.75). Scope for development as an extension of urban extent of Birstall without significant harm to the remainder of this Green Wedge parcel. Site is suitable for housing. In addition to usual planning requirements development will need to address: ecology; archaeology; and highways access. |
| Notes: | Whole site suitable for development |
| Ownership: | Private |
| Delivery Timeframe: | 6-10 yrs |
| Sustainability Typology: | RED |
| Initial RAG Score: | 4 Red; 4 Amber; 15 Green |



| | |
|---------------------------------|--|
| Site No: | 481 |
| Site Address: | Brent Knowle Gardens |
| Ward/Area: | Thurncourt (North-East) |
| Site Area (ha): | 0.68 (development on 0.34ha only) |
| Category: | Greenfield |
| Proposed Uses: | Residential and Open Space |
| Capacity (Residential): | 11 |
| Capacity (Employment): | N/A |
| Capacity (Other): | N/A |
| Suitability Summary: | Greenfield site. Development would involve loss of open space in ward with deficiency. However the wider OSSR area has sufficiency. Ocean Road Open Space and Willowbrook Park provide alternative nearby provision. Site is suitable for housing. |
| Notes: | Half of site (0.34ha) suitable for development. Remainder to be retained and enhanced as green space. |
| Ownership: | Leicester City Council |
| Delivery Timeframe: | 5 yrs |
| Sustainability Typology: | CLEAR |
| Initial RAG Score: | 4 Red; 1 Amber; 16 Green |



| | |
|---------------------------------|--|
| Site No: | 485 |
| Site Address: | Buswells Lodge Primary School Playing Fields |
| Ward/Area: | Beaumont Leys (North-West) |
| Site Area (ha): | 0.25 |
| Category: | Greenfield |
| Proposed Uses: | Residential |
| Capacity (Residential): | 8 |
| Capacity (Employment): | N/A |
| Capacity (Other): | N/A |
| Suitability Summary: | Greenfield site (part of school grounds). Scope for release of part fronting Beauville Drive subject to extension of school site into adjacent amenity land (towards Krefeld Way) to ensure no prejudice to school playing field. Site suitable for housing. |
| Notes: | Whole site suitable for development |
| Ownership: | Leicester City Council |
| Delivery Timeframe: | 6-10 yrs |
| Sustainability Typology: | AMBER |
| Initial RAG Score: | 3 Red; 4 Amber; 16 Green |




| | |
|---------------------------------|--|
| Site No: | 488 |
| Site Address: | Carter Street/Weymouth Street/Bardolph Street East |
| Ward/Area: | Belgrave (North-East) |
| Site Area (ha): | 1.05 (development on 0.64ha only) |
| Category: | Brownfield |
| Proposed Uses: | Residential |
| Capacity (Residential): | 16 |
| Capacity (Employment): | N/A |
| Capacity (Other): | N/A |
| Suitability Summary: | Previously developed site with active non-residential uses. Subject to the exception test (see SFRA) and no development on FZ3B parts, site is suitable for housing. In addition to usual planning requirements development will need to address: flood risk; easement of main river; heritage; and air quality. |
| Notes: | Other than FZ3B part, whole site suitable for development |
| Ownership: | Private |
| Delivery Timeframe: | 16-17 yrs |
| Sustainability Typology: | CLEAR |
| Initial RAG Score: | 1 Red; 6 Amber; 16 Green |




| | |
|---------------------------------|---|
| Site No: | 501 |
| Site Address: | Croyland Green |
| Ward/Area: | Thurncourt (North-East) |
| Site Area (ha): | 0.51 (development on 0.25ha only) |
| Category: | Greenfield |
| Proposed Uses: | Residential and Open Space |
| Capacity (Residential): | 8 |
| Capacity (Employment): | N/A |
| Capacity (Other): | N/A |
| Suitability Summary: | Greenfield site. Development would involve loss of open space in ward with deficiency. However the wider OSSR area has sufficiency. Ocean Road Open Space and Willowbrook Park provide alternative nearby provision. Site is suitable for housing. In addition to usual planning requirements development will need to address: heritage. |
| Notes: | Half of site (0.25ha) suitable for development. Remainder to be retained and enhanced as green space. |
| Ownership: | Leicester City Council |
| Delivery Timeframe: | 6-10 yrs |
| Sustainability Typology: | CLEAR |
| Initial RAG Score: | 3 Red; 3 Amber; 17 Green |




| | |
|---------------------------------|---|
| Site No: | 505 |
| Site Address: | Dorothy Road/Linden Street/Constance Road |
| Ward/Area: | Spinney Hills (Inner) |
| Site Area (ha): | 1.07 (development on 1.02ha only) |
| Category: | Brownfield |
| Proposed Uses: | Residential |
| Capacity (Residential): | 26 |
| Capacity (Employment): | N/A |
| Capacity (Other): | N/A |
| Suitability Summary: | Previously developed site with active non-residential uses. Subject to the exception test (see SFRA), no development on FZ3B parts and retention/re-use of locally listed buildings, site is suitable for housing. In addition to usual planning requirements development will need to address: flood risk; heritage; air quality; and highways access. |
| Notes: | Other than FZ3B part, whole site suitable for development |
| Ownership: | Private |
| Delivery Timeframe: | 16-17 yrs |
| Sustainability Typology: | CLEAR |
| Initial RAG Score: | 1 Red; 1 Amber; 20 Green |




| | |
|---------------------------------|---|
| Site No: | 515 |
| Site Address: | Featherstone Drive Open Space |
| Ward/Area: | Eyres Monsell (South) |
| Site Area (ha): | 8.28 (development on 4.14ha only) |
| Category: | Greenfield |
| Proposed Uses: | Residential and Open Space |
| Capacity (Residential): | 78 |
| Capacity (Employment): | N/A |
| Capacity (Other): | N/A |
| Suitability Summary: | Greenfield site. Development would involve loss of open space in ward with deficiency. However the wider OSSR area has sufficiency. Sonning Way Open Space and Her Ladyship's Covert (Site 545) provide alternative nearby provision. Site is suitable for housing. In addition to usual planning requirements development will need to address: ecology; archaeology; air quality; allotment provision; and highways access. |
| Notes: | Half of site (4.14ha) suitable for development. Remainder to be retained and enhanced as green space. |
| Ownership: | Leicester City Council |
| Delivery Timeframe: | 11-15 yrs |
| Sustainability Typology: | RED |
| Initial RAG Score: | 4 Red; 6 Amber; 13 Green |




| | |
|---------------------------------|---|
| Site No: | 516 |
| Site Address: | Former bus depot, Abbey Park Road |
| Ward/Area: | Abbey |
| Site Area (ha): | 3.43 |
| Category: | Brownfield |
| Proposed Uses: | Education |
| Capacity (Residential): | N/A |
| Capacity (Employment): | N/A |
| Capacity (Other): | Secondary School (1,200 students) |
| Suitability Summary: | Previously developed site. Current planning application a two and three storey school building and sports hall (20191730). Subject to outcome of application 20191730 and the exception test (see SFRA), site is suitable for education use. In addition to usual planning requirements development will need to address: flood risk; easement of main river; ecology; heritage; and air quality. |
| Notes: | Whole site suitable for development. |
| Ownership: | Leicester City Council |
| Delivery Timeframe: | 2021 |
| Sustainability Typology: | CLEAR |
| Initial RAG Score: | 0 Red; 5 Amber; 15 Green |




| | |
|---------------------------------|---|
| Site No: | 525 |
| Site Address: | Fulford Road Open Space |
| Ward/Area: | Western (West) |
| Site Area (ha): | 2 |
| Category: | Greenfield |
| Proposed Uses: | Residential |
| Capacity (Residential): | 50 |
| Capacity (Employment): | N/A |
| Capacity (Other): | N/A |
| Suitability Summary: | Greenfield site. Development would involve loss of open space in ward and OSSR area with sufficiency. Kirby Frith LNR, Ryder Road Open Space and Ryder Road Spinney (Sites 565, 656 and 658) provide alternative nearby provision. Subject to protection of LWS site is suitable for housing. In addition to usual planning requirements development will need to address: ecology; trees; air quality; highways access; and sport provision. |
| Notes: | Whole site suitable for development |
| Ownership: | Leicester City Council |
| Delivery Timeframe: | 6-10 yrs |
| Sustainability Typology: | AMBER |
| Initial RAG Score: | 2 Red; 4 Amber; 16 Green |




| | |
|---------------------------------|--|
| Site No: | 527 |
| Site Address: | Gilmorton Avenue Playground |
| Ward/Area: | Aylestone (South) |
| Site Area (ha): | 4.58 (development on 1.5ha only) |
| Category: | Greenfield |
| Proposed Uses: | Residential and Open Space |
| Capacity (Residential): | 38 |
| Capacity (Employment): | N/A |
| Capacity (Other): | N/A |
| Suitability Summary: | Greenfield site within Green Wedge (GW score 3.75). Scope for development as an extension of urban extent of Gilmorton Avenue estate up to boundary with Great Central Way without significant harm to other parcels forming the remainder of this Green Wedge. Site is suitable for housing. In addition to usual planning requirements development will need to address: ecology; highways access; and sports provision. |
| Notes: | One third of site (1.5ha) suitable for development. Remainder to be retained and enhanced as green space. |
| Ownership: | Leicester City Council |
| Delivery Timeframe: | 6-10 & 11-15 yrs |
| Sustainability Typology: | RED |
| Initial RAG Score: | 5 Red; 5 Amber; 13 Green |




| | |
|---------------------------------|--|
| Site No: | 529 |
| Site Address: | Glovers Walk Open Space |
| Ward/Area: | Beaumont Leys (North-West) |
| Site Area (ha): | 2.36 (development on 1.18ha only) |
| Category: | Greenfield |
| Proposed Uses: | Residential and Open Space |
| Capacity (Residential): | 30 |
| Capacity (Employment): | N/A |
| Capacity (Other): | N/A |
| Suitability Summary: | Greenfield site. Development would involve loss of open space in ward and OSSR area with sufficiency. Heacham Drive Open Space, Heard Walk Open Space and Peppercorn Walk Open Space (Sites 543, 544 and 640) provide alternative nearby provision. Site is suitable for housing. In addition to usual planning requirements development will need to address: trees and hedgerows; heritage; highways access; and sports provision. |
| Notes: | Half of site (1.18ha) suitable for development. Remainder to be retained and enhanced as green space. |
| Ownership: | Leicester City Council |
| Delivery Timeframe: | 11-15 yrs |
| Sustainability Typology: | CLEAR |
| Initial RAG Score: | 2 Red; 2 Amber; 19 Green |




| | |
|---------------------------------|--|
| Site No: | 546 |
| Site Address: | Herrick Primary School Playing Fields |
| Ward/Area: | Rushey Mead (North-East) |
| Site Area (ha): | 0.25 |
| Category: | Greenfield |
| Proposed Uses: | Residential |
| Capacity (Residential): | 8 |
| Capacity (Employment): | N/A |
| Capacity (Other): | N/A |
| Suitability Summary: | Greenfield site (part of school grounds). Scope for release of part fronting Gleneagles Avenue without prejudice to school playing field. Site is suitable for housing. In addition to usual planning requirements development will need to address: ecology; and trees. |
| Notes: | Whole site suitable for development |
| Ownership: | Leicester City Council |
| Delivery Timeframe: | 6-10 yrs |
| Sustainability Typology: | AMBER |
| Initial RAG Score: | 3 Red; 0 Amber; 18 Green |




| | |
|---------------------------------|---|
| Site No: | 549 |
| Site Address: | Hockley Farm Road Open Space |
| Ward/Area: | Braunstone Park and Rowley Fields (West) |
| Site Area (ha): | 0.66 (development on 0.22ha only) |
| Category: | Greenfield |
| Proposed Uses: | Residential |
| Capacity (Residential): | 7 |
| Capacity (Employment): | N/A |
| Capacity (Other): | N/A |
| Suitability Summary: | Greenfield site. Development would involve loss of open space in ward and OSSR area with sufficiency. Braunstone Park provides nearby alternative provision. Site is suitable for housing. In addition to usual planning requirements development will need to address: ecology; heritage; and air quality. |
| Notes: | One third of site (0.22ha) suitable for development. Remainder to be retained for trees. |
| Ownership: | Leicester City Council |
| Delivery Timeframe: | 6-10 yrs |
| Sustainability Typology: | CLEAR |
| Initial RAG Score: | 2 Red; 2 Amber; 20 Green |




| | |
|---------------------------------|--|
| Site No: | 557 |
| Site Address: | Ingold Avenue Open Space |
| Ward/Area: | Abbey Ward (North-West) |
| Site Area (ha): | 3.69 (development on 2.46ha only) |
| Category: | Greenfield |
| Proposed Uses: | Residential and Open Space |
| Capacity (Residential): | 47 |
| Capacity (Employment): | N/A |
| Capacity (Other): | N/A |
| Suitability Summary: | Greenfield site. Development would involve loss of open space in ward and OSSR area with sufficiency. Heacham Drive Open Space, Heard Walk Open Space and Peppercorn Walk Open Space (Sites 543, 544 and 640) provide nearby alternative provision. Site is suitable for housing. In addition to usual planning requirements development will need to address: ecology; topography; highways access; and sports provision. |
| Notes: | Two thirds of site (2.46ha) suitable for development. Remainder to be retained and enhanced as green space. |
| Ownership: | Leicester City Council |
| Delivery Timeframe: | 6-10 & 11-15 yrs |
| Sustainability Typology: | CLEAR |
| Initial RAG Score: | 2 Red; 3 Amber; 17 Green |




| | |
|---------------------------------|---|
| Site No: | 559 |
| Site Address: | Judgemeadow Community College Playing Fields |
| Ward/Area: | Evington (South-East) |
| Site Area (ha): | 0.54 |
| Category: | Greenfield |
| Proposed Uses: | Residential |
| Capacity (Residential): | 9 |
| Capacity (Employment): | N/A |
| Capacity (Other): | N/A |
| Suitability Summary: | Greenfield site (part of school grounds) within Green Wedge (GW score 3). Scope for release of part between Biggin Hill Road and Stoughton Lane without prejudice to school playing field and without significant harm to the remainder of this Green Wedge. Subject to safeguarding of the EDDR route this site is suitable for housing. In addition to usual planning requirements development will need to address: heritage; and highways access. |
| Notes: | To safeguard route of EDDR the developable area is 0.28ha. |
| Ownership: | Leicester City Council |
| Delivery Timeframe: | 6-10 yrs |
| Sustainability Typology: | AMBER |
| Initial RAG Score: | 5 Red; 4 Amber; 13 Green |




| | |
|---------------------------------|--|
| Site No: | 566 |
| Site Address: | Kirminton Gardens |
| Ward/Area: | Thurncourt (North-East) |
| Site Area (ha): | 0.68 (development on 0.34ha only) |
| Category: | Greenfield |
| Proposed Uses: | Residential and Open Space |
| Capacity (Residential): | 11 |
| Capacity (Employment): | N/A |
| Capacity (Other): | N/A |
| Suitability Summary: | Greenfield site. Development would involve loss of open space in ward with deficiency. However the wider OSSR area has sufficiency. Ocean Road Open Space and Willowbrook Park (Sites 634 and 707) provide alternative nearby provision. |
| Notes: | Half of site (0.34ha) suitable for development. Remainder to be retained and enhanced as green space. |
| Ownership: | Leicester City Council |
| Delivery Timeframe: | 6-10 yrs |
| Sustainability Typology: | CLEAR |
| Initial RAG Score: | 4 Red; 2 Amber; 15 Green |




| | |
|---------------------------------|--|
| Site No: | 569 |
| Site Address: | Krefeld Way/Darenth Drive Open Space |
| Ward/Area: | Beaumont Leys (North-West) |
| Site Area (ha): | 1.13 |
| Category: | Greenfield |
| Proposed Uses: | Residential |
| Capacity (Residential): | 28 |
| Capacity (Employment): | N/A |
| Capacity (Other): | N/A |
| Suitability Summary: | Greenfield site. Development would involve loss of open space in ward and OSSR area with sufficiency. Keepers Lodge Park (Site 562) provides nearby alternative provision. Site is suitable for housing. In addition to usual planning requirements development will need to address: trees; air quality; and highways access. |
| Notes: | Whole site suitable for development |
| Ownership: | Leicester City Council |
| Delivery Timeframe: | 6-10 yrs |
| Sustainability Typology: | CLEAR |
| Initial RAG Score: | 2 Red; 6 Amber; 15 Green |




| | |
|---------------------------------|---|
| Site No: | 575 |
| Site Address: | Land adjacent Great Central Railway |
| Ward/Area: | Abbey (North-West) |
| Site Area (ha): | 4.38 |
| Category: | Greenfield |
| Proposed Uses: | Leisure/Tourism |
| Capacity (Residential): | N/A |
| Capacity (Employment): | N/A |
| Capacity (Other): | Potential for dual use of any car parking provision with Birstall park and ride service. |
| Suitability Summary: | Greenfield site within Green Wedge (score 3.75). Scope for leisure/tourism uses associated with/supporting the Great Central Railway, subject protection of LWS and preserving the integrity of this Green Wedge parcel. In addition to usual planning requirements development will need to address: ecology; trees; archaeology; heritage; topography; and highways access. |
| Notes: | Whole site suitable for leisure/tourism uses associated with/supporting the Great Central Heritage Railway |
| Ownership: | Leicester City Council |
| Delivery Timeframe: | 6-10 yrs |
| Sustainability Typology: | RED |
| Initial RAG Score: | 5 Red; 5 Amber; 8 Green |




| | |
|---------------------------------|---|
| Site No: | 577 |
| Site Address: | Land adjacent Keyham Lane/Preston Rise |
| Ward/Area: | Humberstone and Hamilton (North-East) |
| Site Area (ha): | 0.8 |
| Category: | Greenfield |
| Proposed Uses: | Residential |
| Capacity (Residential): | 20 |
| Capacity (Employment): | N/A |
| Capacity (Other): | N/A |
| Suitability Summary: | Greenfield site. Development would involve loss of open space in ward and OSSR area with sufficiency. Monks Rest Gardens and Netherhall Recreation Ground (Site 629) (recommended for partial retention) provides alternative nearby provision. Site is suitable for housing. In addition to usual planning requirements development will need to address: trees; archaeology; and highways access. |
| Notes: | Whole site suitable for development |
| Ownership: | Leicester City Council |
| Delivery Timeframe: | 6-10 yrs |
| Sustainability Typology: | CLEAR |
| Initial RAG Score: | 2 Red; 2 Amber; 18 Green |




| | |
|---------------------------------|---|
| Site No: | 589 |
| Site Address: | Land to east of Beaumont Leys Lane |
| Ward/Area: | Abbey (North-West) |
| Site Area (ha): | 1.18 |
| Category: | Greenfield |
| Proposed Uses: | Residential |
| Capacity (Residential): | 30 |
| Capacity (Employment): | N/A |
| Capacity (Other): | N/A |
| Suitability Summary: | Greenfield site. Development would involve loss of open space in ward and OSSR area with sufficiency. Peppercorn Walk Open Space and Ledbury Green (Sites 640 and 977) provide alternative nearby provision. Site is suitable for housing. In addition to usual planning requirements development will need to address: ecology; and trees. |
| Notes: | Whole site suitable for development |
| Ownership: | Leicester City Council |
| Delivery Timeframe: | 6-10 yrs |
| Sustainability Typology: | CLEAR |
| Initial RAG Score: | 2 Red; 6 Amber; 12 Green |




| | |
|---------------------------------|---|
| Site No: | 604 |
| Site Address: | Linden School Playing Fields |
| Ward/Area: | Evington (South-East) |
| Site Area (ha): | 0.69 |
| Category: | Greenfield |
| Proposed Uses: | Residential |
| Capacity (Residential): | 17 |
| Capacity (Employment): | N/A |
| Capacity (Other): | N/A |
| Suitability Summary: | Greenfield site (part of school grounds). Scope for release of part fronting Headland Road without prejudice to school playing field. Site is suitable for housing. In addition to usual planning requirements development will need to address: archaeology. |
| Notes: | Whole site suitable for development |
| Ownership: | Leicester City Council |
| Delivery Timeframe: | 6-10 yrs |
| Sustainability Typology: | CLEAR |
| Initial RAG Score: | 3 Red; 2 Amber; 17 Green |




| | |
|---------------------------------|---|
| Site No: | 605 |
| Site Address: | Longleat Close Open Space (Waddesdon Walk) |
| Ward/Area: | North Evington (North-East) |
| Site Area (ha): | 1.76 (development on 0.6ha only) |
| Category: | Greenfield |
| Proposed Uses: | Residential and Open Space |
| Capacity (Residential): | 15 |
| Capacity (Employment): | N/A |
| Capacity (Other): | N/A |
| Suitability Summary: | Greenfield site. Development would involve loss of open space in ward and OSSR area with sufficiency. Craven Recreation Ground (Site 498) provides alternative nearby provision. Subject to safeguarding the route of the Catherine Street-Tailby Avenue road scheme this site is suitable for housing. In addition to usual planning requirements development will need to address: ecology and highways access. |
| Notes: | Third of site (0.6ha) suitable for development. Part to be safeguarded for Catherine Street-Tailby Avenue road scheme and remainder to be retained for enhanced as green space. |
| Ownership: | Leicester City Council |
| Delivery Timeframe: | 6-10 yrs |
| Sustainability Typology: | AMBER |
| Initial RAG Score: | 4 Red; 2 Amber; 16 Green |



| | |
|---------------------------------|--|
| Site No: | 620 |
| Site Address: | Morton Walk Open Space |
| Ward/Area: | North Evington (North-East) |
| Site Area (ha): | 0.76 (development on 0.25ha only) |
| Category: | Greenfield |
| Proposed Uses: | Residential and Open Space |
| Capacity (Residential): | 8 |
| Capacity (Employment): | N/A |
| Capacity (Other): | N/A |
| Suitability Summary: | Greenfield site. Development would involve loss of open space in ward and OSSR area with sufficiency. Craven Recreation Ground (Site 498) provides alternative nearby provision. Site is suitable for housing. In addition to usual planning requirements development will need to address: ecology. |
| Notes: | Frontage development only alongside Hastings Road (0.25ha). Remainder to be retained and enhanced as green space. |
| Ownership: | Leicester City Council |
| Delivery Timeframe: | 6-10 yrs |
| Sustainability Typology: | CLEAR |
| Initial RAG Score: | 2 Red; 3 Amber; 15 Green |




| | |
|---------------------------------|--|
| Site No: | 626 |
| Site Address: | Neston Gardens Open Space/Mud Dumps |
| Ward/Area: | Saffron (South) |
| Site Area (ha): | 1.63 |
| Category: | Greenfield |
| Proposed Uses: | Residential |
| Capacity (Residential): | 41 |
| Capacity (Employment): | N/A |
| Capacity (Other): | N/A |
| Suitability Summary: | Greenfield site. Development would involve loss of open space in ward with deficiency. However the wider OSSR area has sufficiency. Elston Fields Recreation Ground and Meadow Gardens (Sites 614 & 615) provide alternative nearby provision. Subject to securing satisfactory access, site is suitable for housing. In addition to usual planning requirements development will need to address: ecology; highways access and preserve footpath link underneath railway. |
| Notes: | Whole site suitable for development |
| Ownership: | Leicester City Council |
| Delivery Timeframe: | 11-15 yrs |
| Sustainability Typology: | CLEAR |
| Initial RAG Score: | 1 Red; 8 Amber; 14 Green |



| | |
|---------------------------------|--|
| Site No: | 627 |
| Site Address: | Neston Gardens Playing Fields |
| Ward/Area: | Saffron (South) |
| Site Area (ha): | 1.83 |
| Category: | Greenfield |
| Proposed Uses: | Residential |
| Capacity (Residential): | 46 |
| Capacity (Employment): | N/A |
| Capacity (Other): | N/A |
| Suitability Summary: | Greenfield site. Development would involve loss of open space in ward with deficiency. However the wider OSSR area has sufficiency. Elston Fields Recreation Ground and Meadow Gardens (Sites 614 & 615) provide alternative nearby provision. Subject to securing satisfactory access (including relocation of electricity substation) and mitigation for loss of playing fields, site is suitable for housing. In addition to usual planning requirements development will need to address: ecology; trees; highways access; and sports provision. |
| Notes: | Whole site suitable for development |
| Ownership: | Leicester City Council and Private |
| Delivery Timeframe: | 6-10 yrs |
| Sustainability Typology: | CLEAR |
| Initial RAG Score: | 3 Red; 6 Amber; 14 Green |




| | |
|---------------------------------|--|
| Site No: | 629 |
| Site Address: | Netherhall Road Open Space |
| Ward/Area: | Humberstone and Hamilton (North-East) |
| Site Area (ha): | 7.06 (development on 3.53ha only) |
| Category: | Greenfield |
| Proposed Uses: | Residential and Open Space |
| Capacity (Residential): | 67 |
| Capacity (Employment): | N/A |
| Capacity (Other): | N/A |
| Suitability Summary: | Greenfield site. Development would involve loss of open space in ward and OSSR area with sufficiency. Partial development only to leave remainder of Nether Hall Recreation Ground to meet local open space needs. Subject to the exception test (see SFRA) site is suitable for housing. In addition to usual planning requirements development will need to address: flood risk; easement of main river; ecology; and archaeology. |
| Notes: | Half of site (3.53ha) suitable for development. Remainder to be retained and enhanced as green space. |
| Ownership: | Leicester City Council |
| Delivery Timeframe: | 6-10 yrs |
| Sustainability Typology: | AMBER |
| Initial RAG Score: | 2 Red; 7 Amber; 14 Green |



| | |
|---------------------------------|--|
| Site No: | 631 |
| Site Address: | Newlyn Parade/Crayford Way |
| Ward/Area: | Humberstone and Hamilton (North-East) |
| Site Area (ha): | 0.72 (development on 0.36ha only) |
| Category: | Greenfield |
| Proposed Uses: | Residential and Open Space |
| Capacity (Residential): | 11 |
| Capacity (Employment): | N/A |
| Capacity (Other): | N/A |
| Suitability Summary: | Greenfield site. Development would involve loss of open space in ward and OSSR area with sufficiency. Netherhall Recreation Ground (Site 629) (recommended for partial retention) provides alternative nearby provision. Site is suitable for housing. In addition to usual planning requirements development will need to address: archaeology. |
| Notes: | Half of site (0.36ha) suitable for development. Remainder to be retained and enhanced as green space. |
| Ownership: | Leicester City Council |
| Delivery Timeframe: | 6-10 yrs |
| Sustainability Typology: | CLEAR |
| Initial RAG Score: | 2 Red; 4 Amber; 17 Green |




| | |
|---------------------------------|--|
| Site No: | 646 |
| Site Address: | Rancliffe Gardens |
| Ward/Area: | Braunstone Park and Rowley Fields (West) |
| Site Area (ha): | 1.8 |
| Category: | Greenfield |
| Proposed Uses: | Residential |
| Capacity (Residential): | 45 |
| Capacity (Employment): | N/A |
| Capacity (Other): | N/A |
| Suitability Summary: | Greenfield site. Development would involve loss of open space in ward and OSSR area with sufficiency. Braunstone Park and Bronte Close Open Space (Site 965) provides nearby alternative provision. Site is suitable for housing. In addition to usual planning requirements development will need to address: easement of main river; ecology; archaeology; and highways access |
| Notes: | Whole site suitable for development |
| Ownership: | Leicester City Council |
| Delivery Timeframe: | 11-15 yrs |
| Sustainability Typology: | AMBER |
| Initial RAG Score: | 3 Red; 1 Amber; 19 Green |




| | |
|---------------------------------|---|
| Site No: | 647 |
| Site Address: | Ranworth Open Space |
| Ward/Area: | Abbey (North-West) |
| Site Area (ha): | 1.23 |
| Category: | Greenfield |
| Proposed Uses: | Residential |
| Capacity (Residential): | 31 |
| Capacity (Employment): | N/A |
| Capacity (Other): | N/A |
| Suitability Summary: | Greenfield site. Development would involve loss of open space in ward and OSSR area with sufficiency. Heacham Drive Open Space, Heard Walk Open Space, Peppercorn Walk Open Space and Hefford Gardens (Sites 543, 544, 640 and 983) provide alternative nearby provision. Site is suitable for housing. In addition to usual planning requirements development will need to address: ecology; archaeology; and highways access. |
| Notes: | Whole site suitable for development |
| Ownership: | Leicester City Council |
| Delivery Timeframe: | 6-10 yrs |
| Sustainability Typology: | CLEAR |
| Initial RAG Score: | 3 Red; 1 Amber; 21 Green |




| | |
|---------------------------------|--|
| Site No: | 648 |
| Site Address: | Rayleigh Green |
| Ward/Area: | Humberstone and Hamilton (North-East) |
| Site Area (ha): | 0.64 |
| Category: | Greenfield |
| Proposed Uses: | Residential |
| Capacity (Residential): | 16 |
| Capacity (Employment): | N/A |
| Capacity (Other): | N/A |
| Suitability Summary: | Greenfield site. Development would involve loss of open space in ward and OSSR area with sufficiency. Netherhall Recreation Ground (Site 629) (recommended for partial retention) provides alternative nearby provision. Site is suitable for housing. In addition to usual planning requirements development will need to address: archaeology. |
| Notes: | Whole site suitable for development |
| Ownership: | Leicester City Council |
| Delivery Timeframe: | 6-10 yrs |
| Sustainability Typology: | CLEAR |
| Initial RAG Score: | 2 Red; 4 Amber; 17 Green |



| | |
|---------------------------------|--|
| Site No: | 653 |
| Site Address: | Rowlands Hill School Playing Fields |
| Ward/Area: | Evington (South-East) |
| Site Area (ha): | 0.48 |
| Category: | Greenfield |
| Proposed Uses: | Residential |
| Capacity (Residential): | 12 |
| Capacity (Employment): | N/A |
| Capacity (Other): | N/A |
| Suitability Summary: | Greenfield site (part of school grounds). Scope for release of strip adjacent to Lily Marriot Garden without prejudice to school playing field. Site is suitable for housing. In addition to usual planning requirements development will need to address: ecology; trees; archaeology; and highways access. |
| Notes: | Whole site suitable for development |
| Ownership: | Leicester City Council |
| Delivery Timeframe: | 6-10 yrs |
| Sustainability Typology: | CLEAR |
| Initial RAG Score: | 2 Red; 5 Amber; 15 Green |




| | |
|---------------------------------|--|
| Site No: | 663 |
| Site Address: | Sedgebrook Road Open Space |
| Ward/Area: | Evington (South-East) |
| Site Area (ha): | 1.08 (development on 0.54ha only) |
| Category: | Greenfield |
| Proposed Uses: | Residential and Open Space |
| Capacity (Residential): | 14 |
| Capacity (Employment): | N/A |
| Capacity (Other): | N/A |
| Suitability Summary: | Greenfield site. Development would involve loss of open space in ward and OSSR area with sufficiency. Retain half to help meet local open space needs. Subject to retention of the play area and the exception test (see SFRA), site is suitable for housing. In addition to usual planning requirements development will need to address: trees; and archaeology. |
| Notes: | Half of site (0.54ha) suitable for development. Remainder to be retained and enhanced as green space. |
| Ownership: | Leicester City Council |
| Delivery Timeframe: | 6-10 yrs |
| Sustainability Typology: | AMBER |
| Initial RAG Score: | 2 Red; 2 Amber; 17 Green |




| | |
|---------------------------------|--|
| Site No: | 665 |
| Site Address: | Sharmon Crescent Open Space |
| Ward/Area: | Western (West) |
| Site Area (ha): | 0.66 (development on 0.33ha only) |
| Category: | Greenfield |
| Proposed Uses: | Residential and Open Space |
| Capacity (Residential): | 10 |
| Capacity (Employment): | N/A |
| Capacity (Other): | N/A |
| Suitability Summary: | Greenfield site. Development would involve loss of open space in ward and OSSR area with sufficiency. Western Park (Site 703) provides alternative nearby provision. Subject to retention of the play area, site suitable for housing. |
| Notes: | Half of site (0.33ha) suitable for development. Remainder to be retained and enhanced as green space. |
| Ownership: | Leicester City Council |
| Delivery Timeframe: | 6-10 yrs |
| Sustainability Typology: | CLEAR |
| Initial RAG Score: | 2 Red; 5 Amber; 16 Green |



| | |
|---------------------------------|---|
| Site No: | 669 |
| Site Address: | Spendlow Gardens |
| Ward/Area: | Eyres Monsell (South) |
| Site Area (ha): | 0.61 (development on 0.3ha only) |
| Category: | Greenfield |
| Proposed Uses: | Residential and Open Space |
| Capacity (Residential): | 9 |
| Capacity (Employment): | N/A |
| Capacity (Other): | N/A |
| Suitability Summary: | Greenfield site. Development would involve loss of open space in ward with deficiency. However the wider OSSR area has sufficiency. Sturdy Well Recreation Park provides alternative nearby provision. Site suitable for housing. In addition to usual planning requirements development will need to address: trees. |
| Notes: | Half of site (0.3ha) suitable for development. Remainder to be retained and enhanced as green space. |
| Ownership: | Leicester City Council |
| Delivery Timeframe: | 6-10 yrs |
| Sustainability Typology: | CLEAR |
| Initial RAG Score: | 1 Red; 3 Amber; 19 Green |




| | |
|---------------------------------|---|
| Site No: | 673 |
| Site Address: | St. Augustine's |
| Ward/Area: | Fosse (Inner) |
| Site Area (ha): | 4.98 |
| Category: | Brownfield |
| Proposed Uses: | Education |
| Capacity (Residential): | N/A |
| Capacity (Employment): | N/A |
| Capacity (Other): | Secondary School (1,200 students) |
| Suitability Summary: | Previously developed site within SRA. Subject to the exception test (see SFRA) site is suitable for new secondary school. |
| Notes: | Other than FZ3B parts, whole site suitable for development |
| Ownership: | Leicester City Council and Private |
| Delivery Timeframe: | 2021 |
| Sustainability Typology: | RED |
| Initial RAG Score: | 0 Red; 7 Amber; 13 Green |




| | |
|---------------------------------|---|
| Site: | 675 |
| Site Address: | St. Helen's Close Open Space |
| Ward/Area: | Abbey (North-West) |
| Site Area (ha): | 1.07 (development on 0.53ha only) |
| Category: | Greenfield |
| Proposed Uses: | Residential and Open Space |
| Capacity (Residential): | 14 |
| Capacity (Employment): | N/A |
| Capacity (Other): | N/A |
| Suitability Summary: | Greenfield site. Development would involve loss of open space in ward and OSSR area with sufficiency. Jean Drive Open Space (Site 558) provides alternative nearby provision. Subject to retention of the play area, site is suitable for housing. In addition to usual planning requirements development will need to address: ecology; topography; and highways access. |
| Notes: | Half of site (0.53ha) suitable for development. Remainder to be retained and enhanced as green space. |
| Ownership: | Leicester City Council |
| Delivery Timeframe: | 6-10 yrs |
| Sustainability Typology: | CLEAR |
| Initial RAG Score: | 2 Red; 3 Amber; 17 Green |




| | |
|---------------------------------|--|
| Site No: | 684 |
| Site Address: | Land adjacent to Evington Leisure Centre |
| Ward/Area: | Evington (South-East) |
| Site Area (ha): | 0.5 |
| Category: | Greenfield |
| Proposed Uses: | Residential |
| Capacity (Residential): | 13 |
| Capacity (Employment): | N/A |
| Capacity (Other): | N/A |
| Suitability Summary: | Greenfield site. Development would involve loss of open space in ward and OSSR area with sufficiency. Scope for release of part fronting Downing Drive, retaining open space at rear to help meet local needs. Site is suitable for housing. |
| Notes: | Whole site suitable for development |
| Ownership: | Leicester City Council |
| Delivery Timeframe: | 6-10 yrs |
| Sustainability Typology: | CLEAR |
| Initial RAG Score: | 3 Red; 1 Amber; 18 Green |




| | |
|---------------------------------|---|
| Site No: | 687 |
| Site Address: | Thurcaston Road/Hadrian Road Open Space |
| Ward/Area: | Abbey (North-West) |
| Site Area (ha): | 2.7 |
| Category: | Greenfield |
| Proposed Uses: | Employment (B1, B2 & B8 Uses) |
| Capacity (Residential): | N/A |
| Capacity (Employment): | 2.7 hectares / 19,800 sq. m |
| Capacity (Other): | N/A |
| Suitability Summary: | Greenfield site. Development would involve loss of open space in ward and OSSR area with sufficiency. Mowmacre Sports Ground and Ledbury Green (Sites 621 and 977) and partial retention of this site provide alternative nearby provision. Subject to mitigation for loss of playing fields, site is suitable for employment. In addition to usual planning requirements development will need to address: ecology; archaeology; and sports provision. |
| Notes: | Whole site suitable for development |
| Ownership: | Leicester City Council |
| Delivery Timeframe: | 6-10 yrs |
| Sustainability Typology: | AMBER |
| Initial RAG Score: | 2 Red; 2 Amber; 17 Green |




| | |
|---------------------------------|--|
| Site No: | 715 |
| Site Address: | Land north of Gartree Road |
| Ward/Area: | Evington (South-East) |
| Site Area (ha): | 2.36 (development on 1.2ha only) |
| Category: | Greenfield |
| Proposed Uses: | Residential |
| Capacity (Residential): | 30 |
| Capacity (Employment): | N/A |
| Capacity (Other): | N/A |
| Suitability Summary: | Greenfield site within Green Wedge (GW score 4). Scope for development as an extension of urban extent of existing adjacent housing (to west) fronting Gartree Road and of approved development to south (Oadby & Wigston). Subject to protection of LWS, site is suitable for housing. In addition to usual planning requirements development will need to address: ecology; archaeology; heritage; gas pipeline easement; and highways access. |
| Notes: | Whole site suitable for development (however site promotor advises that restrictive covenants reduce the developable area to 1.2ha) |
| Ownership: | Private |
| Delivery Timeframe: | 6-10 yrs |
| Sustainability Typology: | RED |
| Initial RAG Score: | 5 Red; 9 Amber; 8 Green |



| | |
|---------------------------------|---|
| Site No: | 956 |
| Site Address: | Site of 11 Old Barn Walk |
| Ward/Area: | Beaumont Leys (North-West) |
| Site Area (ha): | 0.05 |
| Category: | Brownfield |
| Proposed Uses: | Mixed Use |
| Capacity (Residential): | N/A |
| Capacity (Employment): | N/A |
| Capacity (Other): | Retail or community (500 sq. m) |
| Suitability Summary: | Previously developed vacant site. Local Centre. Site is suitable for retail, community and mixed-use development. In addition to usual planning requirements development will need to address: heritage; and highways access. |
| Notes: | Whole site suitable for development |
| Ownership: | Leicester City Council |
| Delivery Timeframe: | 6-11 yrs |
| Sustainability Typology: | CLEAR |
| Initial RAG Score: | 1 Red; 5 Amber; 15 Green |




| | |
|---------------------------------|---|
| Site No: | 960 |
| Site Address: | Land west of Bede Island Road (Braunstone Gate) |
| Ward/Area: | Westcotes (Inner) |
| Site Area (ha): | 0.85 (development on 0.15ha only) |
| Category: | Brownfield/Greenfield |
| Proposed Uses: | Mixed Use and Open Space |
| Capacity (Residential): | 8 |
| Capacity (Employment): | N/A |
| Capacity (Other): | Retained/enhanced public open space (0.7 hectares) |
| Suitability Summary: | Part previously developed vacant site, part greenfield site. River Soar (FZ3B) flows through. Development of part south/east of River Soar would involve loss of open space in ward and OSSR deficient. Subject to consideration of retention of former railway viaduct and the exception test (see SFRA), part not forming open space and FZ3B suitable for mixed-use. In addition to usual planning requirements development will need to address: flood risk, easement of main river; ecology; archaeology; heritage; and air quality. |
| Notes: | Part of site (0.15ha) suitable for development. Remainder to be retained and enhanced as green space. |
| Ownership: | Leicester City Council and Private |
| Delivery Timeframe: | 6-10 yrs |
| Sustainability Typology: | RED |
| Initial RAG Score: | 1 Red; 10 Amber; 12 Green |



| | |
|--------------------------------|--|
| Site No: | 961 |
| Site Address: | Welford Road Playing Fields |
| Ward/Area: | Knighton (South) |
| Site Area (ha): | 3.8 (development on 0.5ha only) |
| Category: | Greenfield |
| Proposed Uses: | Residential and Playing Fields |
| Capacity (Residential): | 13 |
| Capacity (Employment): | N/A |
| Capacity (Other): | Retained/re-provided playing fields (3.3 hectares) |
| Suitability Summary: | Greenfield site within Green Wedge (GW score 3.25). Scope for development replacing existing pavilion and as an extension of urban extent of existing adjacent housing (to north) fronting Welford Road to secure re-provision of sports pitches on the remainder. Subject to the exception test (see SFRA) and retention of TPO trees along Welford Road frontage, site is suitable for housing. In addition to usual planning requirements development will need to address: flood risk; easement of main river; ecology; trees; archaeology; heritage; highways access; and sports provision. |
| Notes: | Frontage development only alongside Welford Road (0.5ha). Remainder to be retained and enhanced as playing fields within the Green Wedge. |
| Ownership: | Private |

| | |
|---------------------------------|--------------------------|
| Delivery Timeframe: | 6-10 yrs |
| Sustainability Typology: | RED |
| Initial RAG Score: | 4 Red; 6 Amber; 11 Green |




| | |
|---------------------------------|--|
| Site No: | 962 |
| Site Address: | Amenity land between Coleman Road and Goodwood Road (east of Hazelnut Close and Ellwood Close) |
| Ward/Area: | Evington (South-East) |
| Site Area (ha): | 0.257 |
| Category: | Greenfield |
| Proposed Uses: | Residential |
| Capacity (Residential): | 8 |
| Capacity (Employment): | N/A |
| Capacity (Other): | N/A |
| Suitability Summary: | Greenfield site. Development would involve loss of open space in ward and OSSR area with sufficiency. Evington Park provides alternative nearby provision. Subject to safeguarding of Eastern District Distributor Road proposal, site is suitable for housing. In addition to usual planning requirements development will need to address: ecology; trees; heritage; air quality; and highways access. |
| Notes: | Whole site suitable for development, but development fronting Goodwood Road must be set-back to safeguard route of Eastern District Distributor Road. |
| Ownership: | Leicester City Council |
| Delivery Timeframe: | 6-10 yrs |
| Sustainability Typology: | AMBER |
| Initial RAG Score: | 2 Red; 4 Amber; 16 Green |



| | |
|---------------------------------|--|
| Site No: | 963 |
| Site Address: | Southfields Infant School and Newry Specialist Learning Centre |
| Ward/Area: | Eyres Monsell (South) |
| Site Area (ha): | 1.2 |
| Category: | Brownfield |
| Proposed Uses: | Residential |
| Capacity (Residential): | 30 |
| Capacity (Employment): | N/A |
| Capacity (Other): | N/A |
| Suitability Summary: | Previously developed site. Site is suitable for community use and housing. In addition to usual planning requirements development will need to address: heritage; and air quality. |
| Notes: | Whole site suitable for development |
| Ownership: | Leicester City Council |
| Delivery Timeframe: | 6-10 yrs |
| Sustainability Typology: | CLEAR |
| Initial RAG Score: | 0 Red; 4 Amber; 17 Green |




| | |
|---------------------------------|---|
| Site No: | 992 |
| Site Address: | Woodstock Road |
| Ward/Area: | Abbey (North-West) |
| Site Area (ha): | 0.15 |
| Category: | Greenfield |
| Proposed Uses: | Residential |
| Capacity (Residential): | 5 |
| Capacity (Employment): | N/A |
| Capacity (Other): | N/A |
| Suitability Summary: | Greenfield site. Development would involve loss of open space in ward and OSSR area with sufficiency. Site is suitable for housing. In addition to usual planning requirements development will need to address: ecology; trees; topography; and highways access. |
| Notes: | Whole site suitable for development |
| Ownership: | Leicester City Council |
| Delivery Timeframe: | 6-10 yrs |
| Sustainability Typology: | CLEAR |
| Initial RAG Score: | 2 Red; 1 Amber; 20 Green |




| | |
|---------------------------------|---|
| Site No: | 1001 |
| Site Address: | Phillips Crescent |
| Ward/Area: | Beaumont Leys (North-West) |
| Site Area (ha): | 0.14 |
| Category: | Greenfield |
| Proposed Uses: | Residential |
| Capacity (Residential): | 5 |
| Capacity (Employment): | N/A |
| Capacity (Other): | N/A |
| Suitability Summary: | Greenfield site. Development would involve loss of open space in ward and OSSR area with sufficiency. Subject to TPO tree protection, site is suitable for housing. In addition to usual planning requirements development will need to address: ecology; trees; and footway provision. |
| Notes: | Whole site suitable for development |
| Ownership: | Leicester City Council |
| Delivery Timeframe: | 6-10 yrs |
| Sustainability Typology: | CLEAR |
| Initial RAG Score: | 2 Red; 5 Amber; 16 Green |




| | |
|---------------------------------|--|
| Site No: | 1006 |
| Site Address: | Kingscliffe Crescent Open Space |
| Ward/Area: | Evington (South-East) |
| Site Area (ha): | 0.34 |
| Category: | Greenfield |
| Proposed Uses: | Residential |
| Capacity (Residential): | 11 |
| Capacity (Employment): | N/A |
| Capacity (Other): | N/A |
| Suitability Summary: | Greenfield site. Development would involve loss of open space in ward and OSSR area with sufficiency. Nearby Sedgebrook Road Open Space (Site 663) proposed for partial retention to help meet local open space needs. Site is suitable for housing. In addition to usual planning requirements development will need to address: trees. |
| Notes: | Whole site suitable for development |
| Ownership: | Leicester City Council |
| Delivery Timeframe: | 6-10 yrs |
| Sustainability Typology: | CLEAR |
| Initial RAG Score: | 2 Red; 4 Amber; 16 Green |




| | |
|---------------------------------|--|
| Site No: | 1007 |
| Site Address: | Glazebrook Square |
| Ward/Area: | Western (West) |
| Site Area (ha): | 0.33 |
| Category: | Greenfield |
| Proposed Uses: | Residential |
| Capacity (Residential): | 10 |
| Capacity (Employment): | N/A |
| Capacity (Other): | N/A |
| Suitability Summary: | Greenfield site. Development would involve loss of open space in ward and OSSR area with sufficiency. Stokes Wood Park (Site 681) provides alternative nearby provision. Site is suitable for housing. |
| Notes: | Whole site suitable for development |
| Ownership: | Leicester City Council |
| Delivery Timeframe: | 6-10 yrs |
| Sustainability Typology: | CLEAR |
| Initial RAG Score: | 3 Red; 4 Amber; 15 Green |




| | |
|---------------------------------|--|
| Site No: | 1021 |
| Site Address: | Sunbury Green |
| Ward/Area: | Thurncourt (North-East) |
| Site Area (ha): | 0.29 |
| Category: | Greenfield |
| Proposed Uses: | Residential |
| Capacity (Residential): | 9 |
| Capacity (Employment): | N/A |
| Capacity (Other): | N/A |
| Suitability Summary: | Greenfield site. Development would involve loss of open space in ward with deficiency. However the wider OSSR area has sufficiency. Ocean Road Open Space and Willowbrook Park provide alternative nearby provision. Site is suitable for housing. |
| Notes: | Whole site suitable for development |
| Ownership: | Leicester City Council |
| Delivery Timeframe: | 6-10 yrs |
| Sustainability Typology: | CLEAR |
| Initial RAG Score: | 3 Red; 1 Amber; 17 Green |




| | |
|---------------------------------|---|
| Site No: | 1030 |
| Site Address: | Dysart Way |
| Ward/Area: | Wycliffe (Inner) |
| Site Area (ha): | 0.25 |
| Category: | Greenfield |
| Proposed Uses: | Residential |
| Capacity (Residential): | 8 |
| Capacity (Employment): | N/A |
| Capacity (Other): | N/A |
| Suitability Summary: | Greenfield site. Development would involve loss of open space in ward and OSSR area with deficiency. However this is not a good quality site (configuration/location) and other play areas are integrated into the layout of this estate. Site is suitable for housing. |
| Notes: | Whole site suitable for development |
| Ownership: | Leicester City Council |
| Delivery Timeframe: | 6-10 yrs |
| Sustainability Typology: | CLEAR |
| Initial RAG Score: | 1 Red; 4 Amber; 16 Green |




| | |
|---------------------------------|--|
| Site No: | 1034 |
| Site Address: | Forest Lodge Education Centre, Charnor Road |
| Ward/Area: | Western (West) |
| Site Area (ha): | 0.91 |
| Category: | Brownfield |
| Proposed Uses: | Residential |
| Capacity (Residential): | 23 |
| Capacity (Employment): | N/A |
| Capacity (Other): | N/A |
| Suitability Summary: | Previously developed site. Site is suitable for housing. In addition to usual planning requirements development will need to address: trees; ecology; heritage; and air quality. |
| Notes: | Whole site suitable for development |
| Ownership: | Leicester City Council |
| Delivery Timeframe: | 6-10 yrs |
| Sustainability Typology: | CLEAR |
| Initial RAG Score: | 1 Red; 3 Amber; 19 Green |




| | |
|---------------------------------|--|
| Site No: | 1035 |
| Site Address: | VRRE/Gipsy Lane |
| Ward/Area: | Troon (North-East) |
| Site Area (ha): | 0.41 |
| Category: | Brownfield |
| Proposed Uses: | Residential |
| Capacity (Residential): | 11 |
| Capacity (Employment): | N/A |
| Capacity (Other): | N/A |
| Suitability Summary: | Previously developed site. Site is suitable for housing. In addition to usual planning requirements development will need to address: ecology; and heritage. |
| Notes: | Whole site suitable for development |
| Ownership: | Private |
| Delivery Timeframe: | 11-15 yrs |
| Sustainability Typology: | CLEAR |
| Initial RAG Score: | 0 Red; 3 Amber; 20 Green |




| | |
|---------------------------------|--|
| Site No: | 1037 |
| Site Address: | Spence Street |
| Ward/Area: | North Evington (South-East) |
| Site Area (ha): | 0.99 (development on 0.77ha only) |
| Category: | Brownfield |
| Proposed Uses: | Residential |
| Capacity (Residential): | 19 |
| Capacity (Employment): | N/A |
| Capacity (Other): | N/A |
| Suitability Summary: | Previously developed site. Subject to the exception test (see SFRA) site is suitable for housing. In addition to usual planning requirements development will need to address: flood risk; easement of main river; ecology; heritage; air quality; and sports provision. |
| Notes: | Other than FZ3B part, whole site suitable for development |
| Ownership: | Leicester City Council and Private |
| Delivery Timeframe: | 11-15 and 16 & 17 yrs |
| Sustainability Typology: | CLEAR |
| Initial RAG Score: | 0 Red; 5 Amber; 18 Green |




| | |
|---------------------------------|---|
| Site No: | 1039 |
| Site Address: | Bisley Street/Western Road |
| Ward/Area: | Westcotes (Inner) |
| Site Area (ha): | 0.6 |
| Category: | Brownfield |
| Proposed Uses: | Residential |
| Capacity (Residential): | 15 |
| Capacity (Employment): | N/A |
| Capacity (Other): | N/A |
| Suitability Summary: | Previously developed site. Site is suitable for housing. In addition to usual planning requirements development will need to address: ecology; air quality; and noise/vibration from railway. |
| Notes: | Whole site suitable for development |
| Ownership: | Private |
| Delivery Timeframe: | 11-15 yrs |
| Sustainability Typology: | CLEAR |
| Initial RAG Score: | 0 Red; 3 Amber; 19 Green |



| | |
|---------------------------------|--|
| Site No: | 1040 |
| Site Address: | Mountain Road |
| Ward/Area: | Troon (North-East) |
| Site Area (ha): | 2.1 |
| Category: | Greenfield |
| Proposed Uses: | Employment (B1, B2 & B8 Uses) |
| Capacity (Residential): | N/A |
| Capacity (Employment): | 2.1 hectares / 8,400 sq. m |
| Capacity (Other): | N/A |
| Suitability Summary: | Greenfield land allocated in existing Local Plan as an employment opportunity site. Development would involve loss of open space in ward and OSSR area with sufficiency. Hamilton District Park (Site 542) provides alternative nearby provision. Subject to protection of the LWS, site is suitable for employment. In addition to usual planning requirements development will need to address: flood risk; easement of main river; and ecology. |
| Notes: | Whole site suitable for development |
| Ownership: | Private |
| Delivery Timeframe: | 6-10 yrs |
| Sustainability Typology: | RED |
| Initial RAG Score: | 4 Red; 4 Amber; 15 Green |



| | |
|---------------------------------|---|
| Site No: | 1041 |
| Site Address: | Land off Hazeldene Road adjacent to Kestrel's Field Primary School |
| Ward/Area: | Humberstone and Hamilton (North-East) |
| Site Area (ha): | 0.74 |
| Category: | Greenfield |
| Proposed Uses: | Residential |
| Capacity (Residential): | 19 |
| Capacity (Employment): | N/A |
| Capacity (Other): | N/A |
| Suitability Summary: | Greenfield site allocated in existing Local Plan as part of a wider housing development site (Site H01e). Subject to resolving access issue, site is suitable for housing. In addition to usual planning requirements development will need to address: ecology; archaeology; air quality; and highways access. |
| Notes: | Whole site suitable for development |
| Ownership: | Leicester City Council |
| Delivery Timeframe: | 11-15 yrs |
| Sustainability Typology: | CLEAR |
| Initial RAG Score: | 2 Red; 6 Amber; 15 Green |




| | |
|---------------------------------|---|
| Site No: | 1042 |
| Site Address: | Land off Heacham Drive (former playing fields) |
| Ward/Area: | Abbey (North-West) |
| Site Area (ha): | 2.4 |
| Category: | Greenfield |
| Proposed Uses: | Residential |
| Capacity (Residential): | 45 |
| Capacity (Employment): | N/A |
| Capacity (Other): | N/A |
| Suitability Summary: | Greenfield site allocated in existing Local Plan as green space part of a wider housing development site (Site H01k). S.106 funding was secured to compensate for the loss of sports pitches on the wider development site and provision of 5.9ha open space was made elsewhere on-site. Site is suitable for housing. In addition to usual planning requirements development will need to address: ecology; and air quality. |
| Notes: | Whole site suitable for development |
| Ownership: | Private |
| Delivery Timeframe: | 6-10 yrs |
| Sustainability Typology: | CLEAR |
| Initial RAG Score: | 3 Red; 3 Amber; 17 Green |




| | |
|--------------------------------|---|
| Site No: | 1047 |
| Site Address: | Land at Groby Road/Fosse Road North |
| Ward/Area: | Majority Fosse ward, part Beaumont Leys ward (majority Inner, part West) |
| Site Area (ha): | 5 |
| Category: | Greenfield |
| Proposed Uses: | Education |
| Capacity (Residential): | N/A |
| Capacity (Employment): | N/A |
| Capacity (Other): | Early Years, Primary & Secondary School (52 early years, 420 primary pupils and 900 secondary students) |
| Suitability Summary: | Greenfield site. Planning permission already granted on part of site for a two storey primary school and sports facilities (20171236). Development on remainder would involve loss of open space in (majority) ward and OSSR area with deficiency, and in (part) ward and OSSR area with sufficiency. However, loss of open space outweighed by public benefit of school place provision; Stokes Wood Park to west of Forest Way would be retained. Subject to protection of LWS, wider site is suitable for expansion to include a secondary school. In addition to usual planning requirements development will need to address: flood management infrastructure; easement of main river; ecology; archaeology; heritage; air quality; retention of adjacent cycle route; and satisfactory access/highway mitigation. |
| Notes: | Other than LWS part, whole site suitable for development. |

| | |
|---------------------------------|--------------------------|
| Ownership: | Leicester City Council |
| Delivery Timeframe: | 2023 |
| Sustainability Typology: | AMBER |
| Initial RAG Score: | 1 Red; 5 Amber; 16 Green |




| | |
|---------------------------------|--|
| Site No: | 1049 |
| Site Address: | Land at Manor Farm/Collis Crescent |
| Ward/Area: | Humberstone and Hamilton (North-East) |
| Site Area (ha): | 3.88 |
| Category: | Greenfield |
| Proposed Uses: | Early Years & Secondary School (472 early years and 900 secondary students) |
| Capacity (Residential): | N/A |
| Capacity (Employment): | N/A |
| Capacity (Other): | N/A |
| Suitability Summary: | Greenfield site. Current planning application for a two and three storey school building and sports hall (20191832). Subject to outcome of application 20191832, site is suitable for education use. In addition to usual planning requirements development will need to address: easement of ordinary watercourse; ecology; trees; archaeology; and heritage. |
| Notes: | Whole site suitable for development |
| Ownership: | Leicester City Council |
| Delivery Timeframe: | 2021 |
| Sustainability Typology: | AMBER |
| Initial RAG Score: | 3 Red; 8 Amber; 10 Green |



| | |
|---------------------------------|--|
| Site No: | 1051 |
| Site Address: | Gilmorton Community Rooms/Hopyard Close shops |
| Ward/Area: | Aylestone (South) |
| Site Area (ha): | 0.26 |
| Category: | Brownfield |
| Proposed Uses: | Residential |
| Capacity (Residential): | 8 |
| Capacity (Employment): | N/A |
| Capacity (Other): | N/A |
| Suitability Summary: | Previously developed site. Site is suitable for housing. |
| Notes: | Whole site suitable for development |
| Ownership: | Leicester City Council |
| Delivery Timeframe: | 6-10 yrs |
| Sustainability Typology: | AMBER |
| Initial RAG Score: | 4 Red; 1 Amber; 17 Green |



| | |
|---------------------------------|---|
| Site No: | 1052 |
| Site Address: | Railway station, former sorting office and station car park, Campbell Street |
| Ward/Area: | Castle (Inner) |
| Site Area (ha): | 2.74 |
| Category: | Brownfield |
| Proposed Uses: | Offices (B1a Use) |
| Capacity (Residential): | N/A |
| Capacity (Employment): | 2.74 hectares / 20,000 sq. m |
| Capacity (Other): | N/A |
| Suitability Summary: | Previously developed site. Subject to retention of grade II listed railway station building, site is suitable for offices. In addition to usual planning requirements development will need to address: archaeology; heritage; and air quality. |
| Notes: | Other than grade II listed railway station building, whole site suitable for development |
| Ownership: | Private |
| Delivery Timeframe: | 6-10 yrs |
| Sustainability Typology: | CLEAR |
| Initial RAG Score: | 0 Red; 5 Amber; 16 Green |



| | |
|---------------------------------|---|
| Site No: | 1053 |
| Site Address: | Land at Midland Street, Southampton Street, Nicholas Street and Queen Street |
| Ward/Area: | Castle (Inner) |
| Site Area (ha): | 1.18 |
| Category: | Brownfield |
| Proposed Uses: | Offices (B1a Use) |
| Capacity (Residential): | N/A |
| Capacity (Employment): | 1.18 hectares / 20,000 sq. m |
| Capacity (Other): | N/A |
| Suitability Summary: | Previously developed site. Subject to retention of TPO trees, site is suitable for offices. In addition to usual planning requirements development will need to address: trees; archaeology; heritage; and air quality. |
| Notes: | Whole site suitable for development |
| Ownership: | Leicester City Council and Private |
| Delivery Timeframe: | 6-10 yrs |
| Sustainability Typology: | CLEAR |
| Initial RAG Score: | 0 Red; 7 Amber; 14 Green |

Glossary

| | |
|-------------|---|
| CDA | Central Development Area |
| EDDR | Eastern District Distributor Road |
| LNR | Local Nature Reserve |
| LWS/pLWS | Local Wildlife Site/Proposed Local Wildlife Site |
| NPPF | National Planning Policy Framework (2019) |
| NPPG | National Planning Practice Guidance |
| Plan Period | The time period covered by the draft Local Plan (2019-2036) |
| SA | Sustainability Appraisal |
| SFRA | Strategic Flood Risk Assessment |

Leicester City Council

Scrutiny Review

Housing Crisis in Leicester

A Review Report of the Housing Scrutiny Commission

22 September 2022

Contents

| | Page |
|--|-------------|
| Chair's Foreword | 2 |
| 1 Executive Summary | 3 |
| 1.1 Background to the Review and Key Findings | 3 |
| 1.2 Recommendations | 4 |
| 2 Report | 10 |
| 2.1 Background | 10 |
| 2.2 Conclusions | 13 |
| 3 Financial, Legal and Other Implications | 14 |
| 3.1 Financial implications | 14 |
| 3.2 Legal implications | 15 |
| 3.3 Equality Impact Assessment | 15 |
| 4 Summary of Appendices | 16 |
| 5 Officers to Contact | 16 |

Housing Scrutiny Commission

Task Group Members:

Councillor Stephan Gee (Chair of the review)
Councillor Hanif Aqbany
Councillor Padmini Chamund
Councillor Luis Fonseca
Councillor Patrick Kitterick
Councillor Gary O'Donnell
Councillor Vandeviji Pandya
Councillor Elaine Pantling
Councillor Sharmen Rahman
Councillor Sue Waddington
Councillor Paul Westley (Chair of the Commission)
Councillor Geoff Whittle

Chair's Foreword

I would firstly like to thank the many members from across the authority who took the time and trouble to take part in this review. Membership came from not just the Housing Scrutiny Commission but all members who were not executive members were invited to attend, and the expertise and observations they provided were deeply appreciated.

On behalf of the Task Group, I would also like to thank the many officers, from inside the housing department and across other departments, for the contributions they have made to the work.

The review was prompted by a range of factors, but most keenly felt was the erosion of affordable social housing through the right to buy mechanism which has put a choke on housing for those who most directly need it.

One of the upshots of the review was to look to capture and use the very detailed knowledge that members have within their own communities and reflected the many comments and observations that came from members and their knowledge of local issues.

While a shortage of new social rented housing was a contributing feature of the housing crisis, a full range was highlighted, including problems facing those who own their own homes or living in the private rented sector (PRS).

Members recognise the value of the PRS, while also pointing to adverse issues within the sector, particularly high rents, poor housing, antisocial behaviour by tenants and landlords who fail to maintain fully the homes occupied by their tenants.

Frustration with the planning system, which appears to freeze potential housing sites out of the reach of housing providers, and by extension was beyond the reach of those in greatest need, was also a feature of the review.

The related developing crisis of rocketing inflation and energy costs only highlights and underscores the issues which have contributed to the housing disaster facing so many people.

And so does the failure of government policy to even begin to recognise, let alone solve, the problems tens of thousands of people across our communities' face.



Councillor Stefan Gee; Task Group chair

1 Executive Summary

1.1 Background to the Review and Key Findings

- 1.1.1. A national picture of growing pressure on housing and other services is described in this review. Within the city of Leicester one figure stands out which maybe above any other reflects the crisis and pressures facing the city council and its residents.
- 1.1.2. Since the early 1980s the council has lost around 14,000 homes through the right to buy (RTB). Council tenants within the city have been targeted by agencies encouraging them to take up RTB. They have been helped in this by the standards of housing maintained by the city council.
- 1.1.3. The reduction in available social rented housing to 19,673 by the end of the last financial year would have been even lower without the acquisition or building of 1,150 new council homes. How the council can use RTB receipts has changed and the rules governing them have become more restrictive.
- 1.1.4. Leicester is a generally low-wage city, and this is reflected in the difficulties people have faced in getting housing and staying in the housing they have. Housing conditions mean that one of the major reasons for being accepted as homeless stems from overcrowding.
- 1.1.5. Overcrowding featured as the main reason for households being put on the housing register more than three times the number being homeless or facing the threat of homelessness. 2,927, or 46% of those being put on the register, cited overcrowding, against 867 (14%) who were homeless or threatened with homelessness.
- 1.1.6. Rising population numbers, now and in the future, underscored the need for further housing. Population trends include rising numbers of older people and/or households including disabled people.
- 1.1.7. The task group highlighted the need for housing which could be adapted to cater for those needs without requiring people to move out of their own home.
- 1.1.8. A theme from members through the inquiry was the inability to get land which had been zoned for other uses, for example industrial development, but which had remained undeveloped, to be re-allocated for housing, and specifically for social rented housing.
- 1.1.9. A further planning issue for members was the time taken to produce and approve a new Local Plan for the city, although they also recognised national government had not helped the process by making changes to the rules around local plans.

- 1.1.10. The most recent changes, in May 2022, saw the Department for Levelling Up, Housing and Communities (DLUHC) introduce planning reforms via the Queen's Speech in and alongside a new [Levelling Up and Regeneration Bill](#). The reforms outlined in [DLUHC's policy paper](#) "seek to improve the planning system and further empower local leaders to regenerate their local area and will be introduced through primary and secondary legislation, and through non-legislative measures."

1.2 RECOMMENDATIONS

The Assistant Mayor for Housing and the Executive consider the following recommendations:

Call for action by Central Government

This Commission calls on the Government to act now to end the housing crisis by:

1.2.1 Funding for 150,000 New Social Homes a year

Fully funding councils to deliver the building of 150,000 social rent homes each year, including 100,000 council homes. Invest £12.8 billion a year over the next ten years to deliver the social rented homes needed to break the back of the national housing crisis.

- 1.2.2 Announce the next 10 years of the Affordable Homes Programme (AHP) now, rather than waiting until 2025/6 to announce the next tranche of funding. This will provide long-term certainty to local authorities and housing associations, allowing them to deliver far more homes at a faster pace.

The government must also significantly increase the proportion of the AHP which is spent on genuinely affordable social rented housing

1.2.3 Long term, no-strings fully funded Climate Retro Fitting for Council Housing

Fund the retrofitting of council housing to cut greenhouse gases, provide jobs and promote a shift from outsourcing to Direct Labour Organisations. & Pilot a programme of Net Zero social housing to help deploy and reduce the cost of technology needed to meet the Future Home Standard and deliver on our commitment to Net Zero.

This should be funded in addition to the AHP and co-owned by BEIS and MHCLG to reduce to cost of the Net Zero transition in a socially equitable way

1.2.4 Cancel Housing Revenue Account Council house debt

Removing council housing debt to address underfunding of Housing Revenue Accounts.

1.2.5 End the Right to Buy Scheme for Council Housing

End the Right to Buy Scheme to stop the loss of truly affordable housing for those people that cannot afford to access other Housing

1.2.6 Longer Term and increased levels of Viability Land Funding

Govt funding support to help with the release of new housing sites including on brownfield land to increase viability and delivery.

PRIVATE SECTOR HOUSING

1.2.7 Large, sustained Increase in Local Housing Allowance rates to address affordability

Government to increase Local Housing Allowance levels in line with inflation. Local Housing Allowance must be unfrozen and kept in line with at least the 30th percentile of rents to enable people on benefits to access Private sector housing

1.2.8 Legislate to End Section 21 'no fault' evictions

Ending Section 21 (no fault) evictions to reduce the number of people going through homelessness and spending time in temporary accommodation

1.2.9 Legislate to create 5-year minimum private sector tenancies

Demand government legislate for five-year minimum tenancies as standard, with a rolling break clause of 2 months to allow tenants flexibility to increase tenancy sustainment

1.2.10 Close loopholes with regulation on holiday accommodation

Government to review policies to ensure all holiday accommodation is properly regulated, complying with local planning policies and taxes. This could include an extension of the 90-day short let legislation, a proper planning class for short lets and proper licencing for them. With the focus

of preventing people finding loopholes in the taxation system and prevent too many local homes being converted to holiday accommodation

1.2.11 Give Local control and ownership of setting Holiday Home Council tax levels

Local control of Government to allow local councils to be able to charge 200% Council Tax on second / holiday homes, as they do in Wales.

1.2.12 Policy change to help those with No Recourse to Public Funds access Housing

Lobby government to push for change in no recourse to public funds policies to support those currently unable to access benefits support to access Housing to be able to do so.

1.2.13 Introduce a National Landlord register

Government introduce a National Landlord register to give greater oversight of the PRS including rogue landlords

1.2.14 Fund the retrofitting of council housing to cut greenhouse gases, provide jobs and promote a shift from outsourcing to Direct Labour Organisations. & Pilot a programme of Net Zero PRS housing to help deploy and reduce the cost of technology needed to meet the Future Home Standard and deliver on our commitment to Net Zero.

NEW HOUSE-BUILDING

1.2.15 New Council tax charges on undeveloped Housing sites

Government to allow a Council Tax charge on housing plots with planning permission if they have not been built after a specified period. This would encourage developers to get on and build their sites without delay. Also lobby to introduce planning contracts rather than permissions with penalties for undue delays

1.2.16 Enhanced arrangements to enable developers deliver Affordable Homes

In the wholesale review of S106 arrangements (linked to Levelling up) taking place Government should strengthen arrangements to ensure delivery of the required affordable housing and remove the opportunity for developers to avoid Affordable housing delivery for 'viability' reasons.

- 1.1.17 The city welcomes incoming communities, but the Government's refugee settlement programmes be on a national basis rather than focussed on already crowded urban settlements.

1.3 City Council Asks

COUNCIL HOUSING

1.3.1 The Council to maximise its own Council Housing delivery

The Council to develop an active Housing delivery plan for the next 10 years.

Leicester City Council continues to actively seek opportunities to invest in Council owned social housing with highly sustainable specification.

The Council to provide even more suitable and affordable temporary & stepped accommodation with a long-term sheltered accommodation offer.

The council delivery programme to have clearly identified objectives and targets over the time of the programme.

1.3.2 Increase and free up existing Council Housing for those in greatest need

Use some of the affordable housing revenue to introduce payments to those council tenants downsizing to make the move more attractable and affordable in order to free up homes for families

1.3.3 The Council to deliver Exemplar Low and No carbon new build sites

The Council establish the development of an exemplar site of low carbon modular housing, to show that developments like this can be both stylish and great to live in

1.3.4 Tackle Overcrowding & the need for Adaptations in the City

The Council utilises its Overcrowding Strategy and develop an Adaptations Strategy to help those on the Housing Register in the most serious Housing need

PRIVATE SECTOR HOUSING

1.3.5 Tackle poor quality PRS housing in the City

The Council to deliver its PRS Strategy including PRS consultation and implementation to drive up the standard in this sector and expand the PRS regulatory framework to drive up standards and improve the lives of tenants in the PRS.

The Council to rigorously pursue unauthorised developments and breaches of planning control to safeguard residential amenity and improve quality of stock

There should be further promotion of the Private Rented Sector offer from the Council to Private landlords to make properties available for local families in need.

1.3.6 Work with other providers to facilitate affordable housing in the City

The City Council work closely with registered providers to ensure the best use of those properties, such as to encourage tenants to downsize where possible and make larger properties available for larger households

1.3.7 Reduce the level of empty homes in the City

Development of an Empty Homes strategy. Owners of empty homes be helped by repurposing their empty homes or second homes back into use

1.3.8 Investigate the viability and effectiveness of a Housing company to meet market needs

The Council urgently investigates the viability and justification for a Housing Delivery company vehicle for Leicester.

NEW HOUSE BUILDING

1.3.9 Work with other providers to facilitate affordable housing in the City

Secure more house building sites through an urgent review of existing undeveloped Local Plan sites designated for non-housing purposes e
To review all Brownfield sites within the City and develop an urgent programme for the delivery of new Council housing on these sites
A mechanism be set up to enable Ward Councillors to feed in any localised site (brownfield/greenfield/conversion) that should be reviewed for Housing with a mechanism for review by senior officers and the Lead member for Housing

To maximise the opportunities within the new local plan to secure sufficient Housing land plots suitable to achieve the strategic and political aims of the Local Authority over the next 10 years

- 1.3.10 The work of the recently created housing board be concentrated on identifying development or conversion opportunities to provide the urgently required social and other housing needed within the city. That the housing board report to scrutiny within six months on its aims, objectives and work done so far.
- 1.3.11 The task group is asked to engage in the formulation and oversight of a target-based action plan to deliver the Council asks, and that regular reports be submitted for consideration on progress and delivery, including an update at the first Housing Scrutiny Commission meeting in 2023.

2 REPORT

2.1 Background

- 2.1.1 A national picture has emerged, which is reflected perhaps even more acutely in Leicester, of growing pressure on housing and other services. The Office for National Statistics estimates there will be a population increase of 11m over the next two decades.
- 2.1.2 This task group was shown evidence of trends within this increase: “People are growing older and living longer. It is estimated that over the coming years the population of over-65s will rise by 7m.”
- 2.1.3 Meanwhile, 2.9m people aged 20-34 are living with parents, and for many, home ownership is no longer a tenure of choice or aspiration, and the private sector is often the only choice for newly formed households, which is producing “generation rent.”
- 2.1.4 The 2016/2017 English House Condition Survey concluded that “while the under-35s have always been under-represented in the private rented sector (PRS), over the last decade or so the increase in the proportion of such households in the PRS has been particularly pronounced. In 2006/2007 27% of those aged 25-34 lived in the PRS. By 2016/2017 this had increased to 46%.
- 2.1.5 Over the same period the proportion of 25-34-year-olds in owner-occupation fell sharply, from 57% to 37%, meaning households in the 25-34 age range were more likely to be renting privately than buying their own home.
- 2.1.6 Other issues, including quality of living in households, demonstrated signs of erosion of standards and quality. For example, in 2016/2017 five per cent of households in the PRS were living in overcrowded accommodation.
- 2.1.7 The supply of truly affordable homes for rent falls well short of what historically was delivered to meet the needs of people living in inadequate housing. [The Centre for Social Justice](#) reported in November 2021 that:
- tonight, over 90,000 families and more than 120,000 children will go to sleep in ‘temporary accommodation’ (including bed and breakfasts), with serious implications for health and education.
 - over two thirds (69 per cent) of private renters in the lower two income quintiles spend 30 per cent or more of their disposable income on rent, representing 1.2 million households.
 - an estimated 150,000 properties see parents sharing a bedroom with their children.
 - high housing costs have critically undermined the impact of positive government initiatives to raise incomes among lower earners (such as

increasing the minimum wage and personal tax allowance), constituting a key driver of 'in-work poverty'.

- 60 per cent of private renters have less than £100 in savings, making even low-cost home ownership affordable housing products (such as Shared Ownership or First Homes) unattainable.

2.1.8 The financial consequences of this multi-faceted housing crisis are just as stark with housing benefit spending rising dramatically to account for the systemic changes which have been made in the way our nation is housed.

2.1.9 With more reliance on the PRS to house lower-income households spending on housing benefits (HB) was forecast to be £30.5bn by 2021-22, more than double the total government grant allocation for affordable housing until 2026, in just one year.

2.1.10 While the total benefit spending is higher in the social rented sector the spending per home in the PRS is considerably higher.

2.1.11 Other social attitudes are amplified within the housing crisis. Two million adults in Britain say they have faced discrimination when looking for a home. The housing crisis is likely to have a greater impact on you if you are Black or Asian, gay or bisexual, disabled or a single mother.

2.1.12 Structural racism and discrimination means many marginalised groups are likely to be on low income and thereby forced into unsuitable housing. The Government's "no recourse to public funds" policy stops many migrants from accessing Universal Credit (UC) and homelessness assistance, disproportionately affecting people of colour.

2.1.13 Nearly 1.4m people are affected by the "no recourse to public funds" policy which disproportionately affects people of colour and is directly responsible for forcing people into homelessness.

2.1.14 "No DSS" policies and practices from private landlords and letting agents have created huge barriers to accessing PRS homes, a discriminatory practice with greatest impact on women, disabled people and Black and Bangladeshi families.

The changing face of housing

2.1.15 While owner-occupancy (65% of households) remains the most common housing tenure, but recent decades have seen seismic shifts within the rented sectors of the housing system.

2.1.16 In the early 1980s just under a third of households lived in homes let by either a council or housing association. This proportion has fallen to 16.7%, with the social rented sector down from 5.4m households to 4m.

- 2.1.17 At the same time the PRS has grown sharply; after housing one in ten households in the early 1980s the PRS has increased by 2.4m since 2000 and now houses nearly one in five households.
- 2.1.18 This growth has been driven in part by the inability of tenants to become home-owners. In 2004 nine per cent of those aged 34-44 lived in the PRS. By 2020 this had tripled to 27% while the rate of owner-occupancy in the sector had fallen from 74% to 56%.
- 2.1.19 Another trend has been for those on low or modest incomes who might once have lived in council or HA social rented housing but who now struggle to access social housing due to a limited and shrinking housing stock and rising demand.
- 2.1.20 Today 1.15m households are on official social housing waiting lists and the Local Government Association (LGA) estimates this figure could almost double to two million as the economic effects of Covid-19 continue to materialise.¹

The position in Leicester

- 2.1.21 Leicester is the largest city in the East Midlands and has two universities and three hospitals. The combined student population was just over 43,000 in the 2017/18 academic year.
- 2.1.22 By 2021 a BRE survey showed the city had around 142,000 dwellings; 43% were owner-occupied, 35% PRS and 22% social rented homes. But Right to Buy (RTB) sales saw the stock reduce by 409 homes in 2020/21. The council has lost 1,890 homes in the last five years.
- 2.1.23 Since 1980s the city council has lost more than 14,000 homes and its share of housing in the city has fallen to 15.5% in 2017 from 36% in 1981. Around 6,000 households are waiting for council housing and are on the register. In 2020/21 2,600 households approached the authority saying they were homeless or being threatened with it.
- 2.1.24 Growth of the PRS in the city means it now stands at 50,000 homes (35% of the stock, against a national average of 19%). Nineteen out of 21 wards have a proportion of PRS housing higher than the national average.
- 2.1.25 Leicester's people suffer lower incomes than those in many cohort communities. Recent research has concluded that Leicester has seen
- A worsening of its housing affordability ratio
 - A level of unemployment (7.5%) which is almost double the regional average
 - A high proportion of residents in elementary occupations and/or low-level earnings

¹ This is without taking into account the further impacts of inflation and heating costs.

- 2.1.26 The rate of housing overcrowding in the city at 15.2% is almost three times the regional figure. Between 2001 and 2011 there was a rise of almost 60% in the level of overcrowded households -almost double the national growth.
- 2.1.27 Overcrowding is given as a major reason for appearing on the city council's housing register at almost three times the rate of those applying through homelessness or threat of homelessness.
- 2.1.28 In Leicester there are around 9,600 houses in multiple occupation (HMOs). Around 2,250 have come under the influence of a newly created mandatory licensing scheme. 48% are in the Westcotes, Castle, Stoneygate and Fosse wards.
- 2.1.29 The licensing system is aimed at driving up housing standards and housing management quality in a sector to which the council has had to look increasingly for help in easing the pressures caused by increased homelessness (4,803 in 2019/20 approached the council for help), the collapse in the supply of truly affordable housing and the continued erosion of stock through RTB.
- 2.1.30 Members during the review expressed concern about the flexibility of the planning process. Work was continuing on a new Local Plan, and Leicestershire district councils had agreed² to take the pressure off the city's development programmes by taking 18,700 new homes from the Government-imposed target for the city.
- 2.1.31 However there was support for the view that where industrial, commercial, or other non-housing sites had not been developed, perhaps for decades, those sites should be re-zoned as housing.

2.2. Conclusions

- 2.2.1 The wide-ranging and deeply damaging impacts of the crisis in housing, in terms of standards, quality of buildings and the sheer lack of enough affordable housing for the communities within the city was set out in graphic detail in the data and information provided for this review.
- 2.2.2 The loss of social housing through the right to buy does not mean the housing is "lost" but it does become beyond the reach of those who through a variety of reasons cannot gain access to housing.
- 2.2.3 The task group did not take evidence on the way in which rising inflation, particularly relating to heating costs, will affect the city's communities. The sense is that the sharply rising cost of heating will act as an accelerator for all the issues which have driven so many people into housing poverty over the past two decades.

² [Thousands of new homes need to be built in Leicestershire](#)

3 Financial, Legal and Other Implications

3.1 Financial Implications

3.1.1 Overview

The general principle for assessing the financial viability of a site is to consider (a) the total expenditure that will be incurred in building the dwellings, bringing them to a lettable standard, and managing them over their life, and (b) the total income that will be received over that same period through the rent that can be charged.

3.1.2 Expenditure

Expenditure on managing and maintaining the dwellings on an on-going basis is projected forward, including capital maintenance, day-to-day repairs, property management costs, interest, and debt. Because of the high up-front costs, new builds and acquisitions are typically financed with 50% from prudential borrowing. This borrowing is repaid on a flat line basis over a 50-year period, subject to the length of the asset life. Interest is charged on the debt, with annual interest charges reducing over the life of the asset as the borrowing is gradually repaid. Whilst interest rates are currently low, these are assumed to rise in the long-term.

3.1.3 Income

For most Council-owned new build or dwelling acquisition projects Right to Buy (RTB) receipts are used to part-finance the build; where this is the case, the Council charges 'Affordable Rent', equal to 80% of market rent for those properties. Rents are capped at the LHA rate to ensure they are genuinely affordable. Assumptions are made as to likely void levels and debt that may have to be written off. Together these give an estimate of the annual net rental income.

3.1.4 Financial Affordability Assessment

As a general rule of thumb, the desire is for the cumulative income over a 30-year period to equal or exceed the cumulative expenditure over the same period. However, since most dwellings are expected to have a life of at least 50 years, a longer period of time will also be considered. It is important to note that this is not an exact science; assumptions need to be made about what will happen over a long period of time.

The outcome of a financial assessment will provide an indication of whether proceeding with a site is likely to provide a positive financial impact to the HRA; this can then be considered alongside other factors so that a decision can be made whether to proceed with a site.

The level of funding available to the Council is limited, and for the HRA specifically this is limited to the amount of money raised through rents & service charges, plus grants from central government. The financial implications of initiatives will be considered at the time of proposals being developed. The Council will remain alert to government funding opportunities to help address the issues identified in this report.

Stuart McAvoy – Acting Head of Finance

3.2 Legal Implications

There are no direct legal implications arising out of this review. If proposals are developed into potential policy or decisions, then detailed legal advice would need to be taken nearer the time.

Kamal Adatia, City Barrister ext 37 1401

3.3 Equality Implications

This report highlights a number of equalities issues that may impact people from a range of protected characteristics in relation to housing in the city. As proposals are developed, there needs to be greater consideration given to the impacts with the need to give due regard to how it will affect people who share a protected characteristic.

Protected Characteristics under the Equality Act 2010 are age, disability, gender reassignment, marriage and civil partnership, pregnancy and maternity, race, religion or belief, sex, sexual orientation.

Kalvaran Sandhu, Equalities Manager, Ext 37 6344

3.4 Climate change Implications

Housing is the biggest single source of carbon emissions in the city, and is responsible for a third of Leicester's carbon footprint. Following the city council's declaration of a Climate Emergency in 2019, and its aim to achieve carbon neutrality, addressing these emissions therefore vital in achieving this ambition. Tackling this challenge will require funding and support on a massive scale, to meet the challenge of retrofitting the city's housing stock.

This report calls for further support from Government, to fund the measures required to achieve net zero for both the council's own housing stock and within the private rented sector. As noted within the report, home energy costs are also a major contributor to the current cost of living crisis, with Leicester facing high rates of fuel poverty due to the condition of its housing stock, which can also be mitigated through increasing the energy efficiency of housing.

The report also sets out the need to ensure that new council housing is delivered to the highest possible standards of carbon reduction. This should include the installation of high-performing insulation, energy efficient heating, low energy lighting and low carbon/renewable energy systems such as solar PV panels and heat pumps. Any development will nonetheless be required to follow policy CS2 of the Adopted Leicester Core Strategy and relevant Building Regulations. A toolkit is also being developed to support the achievement of reduced carbon emissions in council capital construction and renovation projects.

Aidan Davis, Sustainability Officer, Ext 37 2284

4 Summary of Appendices

Appendix A – Scoping document
Appendix B – Meeting notes
Appendix C – Report to the Task Group in August 2022
Appendix D – Report to the Task Group in February 2022

5 Officers to Contact

Jerry Connolly
Scrutiny Policy Officer
Tel: 0116 454 6343
E-mail Jerry.connolly@leicester.gov.uk

APPENDIX A: Scoping document

The scoping document below was agreed by the Overview Select Committee on 24 March 2022

| To be completed by the Member proposing the review | | |
|--|---|---|
| 1. | Title of the proposed scrutiny review | <u>Housing Crisis in Leicester</u> |
| 2. | Proposed by | Cllr Paul Westley (Housing Commission chair) |
| 3. | Rationale | <p>To understand and influence the factors which have combined to create a shortage of affordable housing for the communities within Leicester.</p> <p>Influences will include regional and national policy and economic and social factors</p> |
| 4. | Purpose and aims of the review | <p>To propose changes to local and national policy to mitigate the effects of housing shortages and poor-quality housing.</p> <p>To provide a platform for campaigning on a local basis for a wider pool of affordable social and other housing.</p> <p>To contribute by so doing to council (and other) policy formation and to encourage outside agencies</p> |
| 5. | Links with corporate aims / priorities | <p>Housing, and good quality housing, have a direct bearing on homelessness, overcrowding, mental and physical health and employment and education performance.</p> <p>Social housing in particular helps the life chances of the most deprived members of our communities.</p> |

| | | |
|----|---------------------------------|--|
| 6. | Scope | <p>The review will include issues relating to the</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • housing department, which has direct responsibility for the council's housing stock, • environmental health, which has oversight of private rented sector housing conditions; • economic development, which covers skill supply and shortage issues within the construction sector; • Planning and development control, and the clear definition of section 106 objectives to support the growth of social housing on a site-specific and more city-wide basis. |
| 7. | Methodology | <p>The review will be conducted by a task group chaired by Cllr Gee.</p> <p>Membership need not be confined to members of the housing scrutiny commission.</p> <p>A series of meetings will receive local and national evidence on the issues set out in sections 4-6.</p> <p>The meetings will look at a range of issues, including tenure, supply of housing and affordability.</p> <p>The commission will seek information from local interested organisations and individuals, and will be provided with summaries of and links to national reports and data.</p> |
| | Witnesses | <p>City council witnesses (officers, executive members and councillors)</p> <p>Local organisations dealing with homelessness and associated issues (health, poverty, private housing standards) may also be called upon.</p> |
| 8. | Timescales | Up to six months |
| | Proposed start date | April 2022 |
| | Proposed completion date | By September 2022 |

| | | |
|--|---|--|
| 9. | Resources / staffing requirements | <p>Scrutiny officer time within existing workload.</p> <p>The officer time from services within Housing and possibly other divisions contributing to the review.</p> <p>It is not anticipated that any additional resources will be required.</p> |
| 10. | Review recommendations and findings | Executive |
| 11. | Likely publicity arising from the review | The review will from time to time attract media attention depending on the nature and quality of the material provided. |
| 12. | Publicising the review and its findings and recommendations | <p>These will go to the OSC (and executive).</p> <p>Usual media resources will be used to highlight the work in progress and the outcomes</p> |
| 13. | How will this review add value to policy development or service improvement? | The issues are national and regional, but the impacts are regional and local. They may help influence and frame policy development at council level. |
| To be completed by the Executive Lead | | |
| 14. | Executive Lead's Comments | <p>I warmly welcome the Housing scrutiny reviews focus on the housing crisis which is probably one of the biggest challenges we face as a City now and also going in to the future. Failure by Central government to lead the way and affect new build delivery because of poor policies and lack of financial investment in new build housing has led us to a crisis where people's health and wellbeing is being significantly affected because they are unable to find the home that meets their and their families' needs.</p> <p>It is essential from this piece of work that as a local authority we are able as a single voice to loudly call for much, much more to be done by Central government to rectify their failings and help the people of Leicester to get the home they deserve.</p> |

| Comments from the relevant Director | | |
|---|--|---|
| 15. | Observations and comments on the proposed review | The Scrutiny review working party by Housing scrutiny commission is welcomed to focus more attention on the Housing crisis that the City is facing. Highlighting the significant challenges and issues that brings for the people of Leicester and the ability for the City Council to be able to meet its statutory legal duties in relation to Homelessness and to enable people to be able to find suitable, secure and long term homes that meet their needs. |
| | Name | Chris Burgin |
| | Role | Director of Housing |
| | Date | 14/3/2022 |
| To be completed by the Scrutiny Support Manager | | |
| 16. | Will the proposed scrutiny review / timescales negatively impact on other work within the Scrutiny Team? | The review as anticipated can be carried out within existing team resources. |
| | Do you have available staffing resources to facilitate this scrutiny review | Yes |
| | Name | Francis Connolly |
| | Date | 15 March 2022 |

APPENDIX B

Task Group meetings – notes of proceedings

Wednesday 27 April 2022

In attendance

Cllr Gee (Chair)
Cllr Fonseca
Cllr Kitterick
Cllr O Donnell
Cllr Pandya
Cllr Pickering
Cllr Rahman
Cllr Waddington
Cllr Whittle

Cllr Cutkelvin

Chris Burgin, Director of Housing
Jerry Connolly, Scrutiny Support Officer
Francis Connolly, Scrutiny Support Manager

Introductions

Cllr Gee welcomed all present to the meeting. He explained that this work would examine both the issues and challenges facing communities and individuals in Leicester as well as possible initiatives to confront such issues and challenges. It was noted that given the broad remit of the review members from across the City Council had been invited to participate.

Cllr Gee noted that this initial meeting would receive an outline presentation from Chris which set out some of the factors that have led to the current crisis, as well as the broader local and national context.

Presentation on the 'Housing Crisis'

Cllr Cutkelvin welcomed the work of this task group. She referred to the many occasions in which she and other councillors deal with those who are facing severe problems in respect of housing, with the most fundamental issue being an overall shortage of homes. She invited the group to inspect these problems in detail and to draw conclusions around what else can be undertaken by the City Council to support people and how the government can create better conditions to effectively deal with the problems.

Cllr Cutkelvin felt that it was vital that the extent of the current pressures was communicated publicly in a meaningful way and invited the task group to recommend appropriate action in respect of this.

Chris provided a presentation which set out some of the fundamental points that the task group could examine and was based upon a contextual paper that had been submitted to Housing Scrutiny Commission on 28 February. The following key points were made:

- The three key factors that have led to a crisis are population increase, lack of land/house building and tenure change/affordability.
- A national population increase of 11m over the next two years is anticipated.
- Due to the population increase, a further 1.5m new homes in the UK are required by 2031.
- There has been a sharp decline in access to affordable homes, and the government's target of 300,000 new homes each year has not been achieved since 1969.
- As a result, homelessness has increased, there is a lack of truly affordable housing and a lack of suitable housing to meet changing and more complex needs.
- Nationally, there has recently been a significant increase in the number of private renters as opposed to social renters.
- In Leicester, there has been a 20% reduction in the percentage of Council rented properties since 1981.
- Council stock continues to be lost via the Right to Buy scheme with 1,890 properties being lost in the past five years.
- Housing problems have led to increased health issues. 1 in 9 children now live in overcrowded properties. Poor housing standards are linked closely with chronic ill health, debt, disruptive child development and relationship breakdown.

Contributions from Task Group Members

Following the presentation, members asked questions and raised suggestions in terms of the future activity of the task group. The key points raised were as follows:

- (i) The review should explore in more detail the availability of land in the city for house building ventures.
- (ii) The review should also examine problems and constraints with the planning system that pose additional difficulties in helping to address the overall shortage of homes.
- (iii) This should include interaction with those developing the Local Plan in terms of how opportunities to develop more social housing are being taken forward. In particular, it was felt that the designation of some particular sites should be questioned and that more imaginative solutions (including greater provision of social housing) for the city's more significant sites should be proposed.

- (iv) The review could to some extent consider how some services to tenants could be improved such as improvements to home maintenance. It was accepted that the review will primarily focus on the key themes that contribute to the housing crisis and the overall shortage of housing, and that issues that relate to various channels of LCC service delivery would be raised, and in many cases, it may be recommended for these to be examined as part of the Housing Scrutiny Work Programme.
- (v) In addition to improvements to home maintenance, one issue suggested for separate exploration by the Housing Scrutiny Commission concerned the process behind void property and the need to understand more about void turnaround times.
- (vi) The issue of imposing rent caps was raised, with it being noted that this may be an area to seek further exploration by national government.
- (vii) Consideration could be given in seeking the views of Housing Associations/Shelter on the issues faced by the housing crisis.
- (viii) Further information was sought in respect of the level of overcrowding that related specifically to City Council tenants.
- (ix) It was also questioned whether land owned by the city council beyond the LCC boundary could be utilised to help fulfil house building requirements. Chris sought to find out more detail.

Next Steps

- A programme of further task group meetings should be arranged throughout the summer.
- That the next meeting would focus on the need to provide more homes and would examine the challenges to house building with the Head of Planning and other key internal stakeholders.
- Further sessions would then be held to cover the broader themes set out in Chris' presentation and suggested by members as above.
- Consideration was needed in respect of the involvement of external stakeholders including those suggested in point vii above.
- Further information be provided by the Director of Housing in response to the points outlined in points viii and ix above.

Wednesday 29 June 2022

In attendance

Cllrs Whittle; Westley; Cutkelvin; Fonseca; Kitterick; Pandya
Chris Burgin, Director of Housing
Richard Sword: Director: City developments and neighbourhoods
Grant Butterworth: LCC Head of Planning
Jerry Connolly, Scrutiny Support Officer
Francis Connolly, Scrutiny Support Manager

Apologies

Cllrs Gee; Waddington: O'Donnell; Pickering

Richard Sword opened the meeting by setting the local and national context. There was a national crisis in housing... with Leicester part of that pattern.

What challenges face us? Leicester is quite small, and available sites are small and quite complex.

We needed to deliver 14,700 new homes in ten years... Planning team had been conducting development work and consultation on local plan...

During preparation the government had added 35% to development numbers from original targets in 2020.

There were 18,700 homes to deliver that we can't deliver on the sites on the city...

He said that while it was easy to focus on negatives there are lots of positives in the development picture. For example, housing associations had delivered effectively. Difficulties included cost inflation and many sites were in private ownership and this provided a barrier to development of social rented housing. Cllr Westley commented that the government wanted private developments and not social housing and suggested housing associations were a law unto themselves...

Grant Butterworth introduced a review of progress on the Local Plan. He said there were a number of major routes to affordable housing provision

- Via housebuilders through S106 funding
- Direct delivery by council housing or development teams (supported by HRA funds, Homes England sometimes with S106 funds)
- Direct delivery by Housing associations (who act as developers)
- Through the council acting as a master developer bringing sites to market

He said that for the next Local Plan we were looking with five strategic sites, not all owned by the council, but that some of the sites may not be allocated...

With small sites, many are owned by the council, most are designated open space but have been subject to opposition through the consultation process.

Cllr Kitterick asked: Are student numbers included?

The response was that student accommodation does count towards the target and had contributed to up to half the delivery target in some previous years.

Grant Butterworth said the government kept increasing targets... the new 35% increase for the 20 largest Cities was undeliverable for many including Leicester. Unless districts agree to take some of the city's allocations the City would not be able "we cannot meet to meet the unmet housing need target. "

The previous local plan target had been c 30k houses. The Strategic Growth Plan envisaged Districts taking around a third of city growth to 2031 and two-thirds to 2050. The 35% uplift now means around 19,000 of the new local Plan target would need to be met across the county between now and 2036.

If county district councils don't sign up the local plan could not progress, he told the task group.

Delivering affordable housing was more difficult on brownfield sites.

He was asked: Why can't we deliver social housing on all sites?

He responded that the Government Guidance required a Local Plan supply to be proven as being viable and deliverable so such an aspiration would not comply with this.

Cllr Kitterick pointed to the undeveloped Dover Street site. He said it was allocated for prime office development. This will not happen. Why can we not reclassify it? The meeting was told there were two active sites, including Dover Street, under discussion. We are still seeing strong demand for high grade office land.

Cllr Kitterick responded that the land "has been empty for decades." He felt there was a lack of imagination in the development team. He commented that the private sector would build houses on Dover Street.

The chair asked: "Are the needs of Leicester people taken into account in the local plan?"

Grant Shuttlesworth responded that we did housing demand assessments to establish an evidence-based assessment of this.

He was asked: Could we demolish bungalows?

He responded that the accessible single storey dwellings such as bungalows were in demand and needed as part of the supply but were not provided by developers so re-provision would fall to the council to deliver and such developments were land hungry.

Cllr Cutkelvin said: "We are looking to secure a policy of housing crisis to embed it in wider council policy."

Future action: Members were told about the setting up and early operations of a city council **Housing Delivery Board...**

Tuesday 26 July 2022

Present

Cllr Geoff Whittle, Cllr Gary O'Donnell, Cllr Sue Waddington, Cllr Stephan Gee (chair), Cllr Fonseca
Cllr Paul Westley, Cllr Patrick Kitterick
Sean Atterbury; Chris Burgin, Simon Nichols, Justin Haywood, Alison Lea.

Homeless Prevention and Support

Justin Haywood briefed members on issues relating to how market pressures are affecting communities within the city. He indicated that being excluded from a family home was a significant cause of evictions.

Renting itself was becoming more expensive and presented the following data:

- £85 a week for 3-bed council house
- £89 a week for ha housing
- £150 or more in the private sector

He said the freezing of the Housing Support limit was an issue which contributed to rising housing-related debt and poverty and said that 30% of tenants experienced in-work poverty, a rate which had doubled since 2000.

Private rented sector (PRS)

Alison Lea, manager of the PRS licensing team, spoke about the trends and issues found in PRS housing. For tenants, issues mainly related to housing disrepair, but overcrowding was also an issue.

PRS was not a solution to housing problems but it did provide an avenue of relief, Cllr Cutkelvin said. Generation rent people may spend their whole lives in the private rented sector.

Forty-six per cent of those under 35 were renting. There was an entire generation renting rather than buying. House prices had risen, but rising rents have meant people cannot afford to save up to make a deposit.

Looking at Leicester, of the 142k homes in city 35% were in the PRS; this compared with a 19% PRS share in the national picture. 50k homes in Leicester were PRS and some wards had 70% PRS housing. 43k students might contribute to the growth and concentrations in some areas of the city of PRS.

Common issues within the sector's housing included mould, disrepair and overcrowding. Alison stressed, however, that large numbers of PRS homes were in good condition.

Within Leicester, part of the PRS team deals with houses in multiple occupation (HMOs). There were around 1k licenced HMOs Alison said: We have a push to find unlicensed HMOs. the evidence was that there were more HMOs which should be licensed.

Around 50 properties had been licensed within the last few weeks, she said. We have signposted tenants to getting rent rebates because of the unlicensed HMOs, she told the Task Group.

There were two different licensing schemes, relating to:

- Smaller HMOs
- Selective licensing for areas where all rented homes are licensed...

The selective licensing scheme went live on 7 July. There is a three months freeze before it goes live in October.

Officers think there are 9k properties whose owners will need to apply for a licence.

Members were invited to comment on issues raised during the meeting.

On control of HMOs Cllr Kitterick said Article 4 directions had resisted attacks on it.

Cllr Waddington asked Cllr Cutkelvin why the authority had been worse hit by right to buy (RTB) sales than comparable authorities. A combination of the council's housing being kept in good condition and tenants being targeted by organisations encouraging people to buy their homes had contributed to the higher RTB sales.

Tuesday 2 August 2022

Present

Cllr Gee, (Chair); Cllrs Whittle, Pandya, Chamund, Fonseca, Waddington and Kitterick

Apologies

Cllr Westley

UPDATED REPORT ON HOUSING DELIVERY NUMBERS

Simon Nicholls briefed members of the Task group on progress towards the Labour Manifesto commitment of 1500 homes during the four-year term of the administration.

His report was based on report to the Housing Scrutiny Commission on 1 August covering the same topic.³ The report suggested that the department was likely to achieve at least 1100 new homes. This was 77% of the manifesto target, and a 37% increase on any previous administration's provision of affordable housing in the city.

Simon said a number of factors had combined or were combining to slow the development programme. Covid 19 issues had hit development and building programmes since 2020, and high inflation and materials and labour shortages were affecting current and future building rates.

A further factor was a growing shortage of housing development land. This was an issue relating to the delay in setting a new Local Plan. Sites available on the current Local Plan were becoming more expensive to develop in terms of both ground conditions and diminishing size of sites available for housing development.

The department had been able to use receipts from right-to-buy (RTB) sales to buy private or non-affordable homes to add to the council's stock. Changes to the rules governing how much RTB funds could be used to buy housing made this a more difficult option.

In response to questions from members of the task group Simon said the council was keen to influence space standards; many of those in need of housing were family units with several children.

Members were also keen to know if homes could be adapted for use of people with disabilities, including wheelchair access. Officers said space standards were an important factor in both development of housing and when acquiring homes from the private sector.

³ [House Building Delivery: Housing Scrutiny Commission, 1 August 2022](#)

In a wider development context members were directed to the Stocking Farm redevelopment, which included housing and local facilities and amenities.

Member local knowledge

Discussion with members indicated that local councillors might be aware of homes, sites or developments which had been empty or under-occupied, and it was suggested a mechanism be devised for members to provide potentially useful information to officers be devised. (Possible recommendation).

Local housing company

Work had been done to prepare the way to set up a third-party local housing company controlled by the council but this had been deferred for a variety of reasons. With the increasing restrictions being brought in by government, particularly relating to RTB rules and rules relating to spending RTB receipts it was suggested that further work be done on establishing a local housing company.

Work could include research on the economics and finances of housebuilding.

Members also asked for information on formulae used to define what was affordable. Officers said this could be provided.

IMPACT OF HOMELESSNESS SERVICES

Justin Haywood presented a report to members.

He said the reasons for homelessness were complex but that homelessness was likely to increase. A shortage of homes and high private sector rents made it hard for families to move into permanent accommodation

The department was finding itself increasingly using temporary accommodation, and the lengths of stay in the accommodation were also increasing. This was putting stress on the functioning of the homelessness unit.

Staff had found there was an increase in street homelessness (with for example sofa surfers being evicted by friends and family). This was a change in pattern from the entrenched street homeless cadre.

The private rented sector (PRS) played a vital role in housing people. We should not turn away from it, should embrace good landlords and taking actions to improve landlord behaviour as well as preventing evictions.

“We try to reach out to and help landlords who are prepared to rent homes to “difficult” clients. It’s increasingly difficult to find housing affordable to tenants but we are looking to provide pathway from homelessness to tenancies.

We ringfence a proportion of housing for vulnerable families and single people. Vulnerable clients were often in danger of or recovering from rough sleeping...

Also, we are seeing more new faces.

It is hard to stress too highly the danger which the housing crisis poses ... we will see the approach of the crisis - with rising evictions through rent or mortgage arrears.

Cllr Waddington commented that officers had referred to the problem of affordability and the use of discretionary housing support (DHP) for at least an interim period for households but felt that this was not a sustainable model.

Cllr Cutkelvin said vulnerable family groups being put into the PRS to remove the threat of homelessness were able to stay on the council's waiting list as priorities.

The meeting was told that around a third of council home lettings went to people who were homeless or on the verge of being homeless. While it was hard to get data from other authorities it was estimated that in a major East Midlands authority up to 80% of lettings went to homeless or near-homeless households.

Cllr Fonseca commented that rents in the private sector in the east of the city were escalating.

Cllr Pandya said that most of her casework related to housing problems.

Justin Haywood commented that there was a huge variance in rental rates across the city...N Evington rents were very high, he said.

Cllr Cutkelvin said this working party can put pressure on other parts of the council to recognise there is a wide-ranging housing crisis. The crisis spread to other services, including health and mental health issues.

But she added that some work with private landlords had been going on for some time... feedback from landlords was vital in developing useful measures to help people who were homeless or threatened with it. Members asked whether Border House might ever be viable.

Cllr Cutkelvin said it had been condemned by the Fire Service and added that the units at Border House were very institutionalised.

Current planning was not to increase temporary accommodation but to increase the supply of stepped housing, with Dawn Centre being adapted for use as an assessment centre.

IMPACT OF THOSE WITH NO RESOURCE TO PUBLIC FUNDS

Officers said these client groups were among the most vulnerable. Typically they might be coming through the refugee resettlement system –

Afghan, Ukraine and other troubled nations- or be described as illegal immigrants.

Rough Sleepers Initiative funding combined with severe weather periods helped the authority to work with inkling groups or individuals within this general heading.

Cllr Waddington commented that (due to their vulnerable status) this was almost the most worrying group. "I find it worrying that people are on the streets and there is nothing we can do. Can we support charities to help these groups?" she asked.

Officers said: "We work with One Roof. We allocate RTB receipts to homes they are buying.

We are working with the homelessness charter..one thing they are considering is having a scheme (like with Ukrainians) but also looking at the safety issues

" We've been working with some quite large organisations to see if they can provide housing..

Members asked about severe hot weather, as well as cold.

The task group was told a severe weather protocol (over 25deg for two days) had been signed off by government. But we would like to be able to help more quickly.

COMPLEX NEEDS OF TENANTS

Gurjit Minhas commented that housing and other service areas were affected, but the housing department has ended up dealing with a wide range of issues. These included working with refugees, more people with complex needs and often without any other support. Housing staff have been providing mental health and health support.

The STAR service helped tenants with most complex needs...

Trainer accommodation (stepped with training on life skills). We are working with ASC – that is what is needed in very many cases.

HOUSING REGISTER

Members were told that the numbers on the register were consistent but that this was not a measure of housing need. This was more reflected in an increase in waiting times: band one waiting times had doubled (and band two times extended by a year. People were having to go to the PRS.

Later this year there will be a review of housing allocation policy to make sure people in most need have best access to housing. Those with lesser need will wait longer.

APPENDIX C

A summary of the work & Proposals by the Council to tackle the crisis

To: Housing Scrutiny Commission: 1 August 2022

Housing Crisis Working Party

From: Chris Burgin, Director of Housing

Purpose of Briefing Note

To inform the Working party about the ongoing work taking place to tackle the Housing Crisis by the Council and pressures and proposals to consider to further tackle it.

Summary

This briefing contains potential Central Government asks and actions and also Local Authority asks and actions under the following areas;

- Council Housing
- Private Sector Housing
- New Builds

The options are intended to drive national policy change and alongside this be clear about local commitments to address the Housing crisis.

Leicester City Council has been working hard to tackle the Housing challenges in the City and this has been driven by the Councils political priorities.


BACKGROUND

Leicester City is the largest City in the immediate area of the East Midlands. It is a predominantly urban areas located in the centre of the County of Leicestershire.

| East Midlands City Populations | | | | |
|--------------------------------|---------|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| Area | Status | Census 2001 | Census 2011 | Census 2021 |
| Derby City | Unitary | 221,708 | 248,752 | 261,400 |
| Leicester City | Unitary | 279,923 | 329,839 | 368,600 |
| Nottingham City | Unitary | 266,988 | 305,680 | 323,700 |

Leicester provides housing, employment, shopping, public administration, leisure and has three Hospitals and two Universities. The Universities had a combined student population of 43,100 students in the 2017/2018 academic year.

Leicester is one of the fastest growing Cities in England as can be seen by the changing table set out below which demonstrates a continual growth in households and homes and the changing face of Housing over the years 1991 to 2021.



Leicester City's Current Actions to Tackle the Housing Crisis

Leicester City Council has been working hard to tackle the Housing challenges in the City and this has been driven by the Council's political priorities. In the context of the challenges set out Nationally and in Leicester, highlights of the efforts being made by the Council are set out below.

The Affordability of Housing


Our council rents remain the lowest in the city for any tenure type making them the most affordable. Average private rented sector rents for a 3-bedroom house are currently around £155 per week, average Housing Association rents average out at £89 per week, whilst council rents are £85, for this type of property.

| Tenure Type | Average weekly rent |
|-----------------------|---------------------|
| LCC | £85.22 |
| Housing Association | 88.59 |
| Private Rented Sector | 155.34 |

Comparing ourselves with other local authorities in the country and Leicester's overall average council rents are amongst the lowest in the country, 19th lowest out of 20 for comparator authorities.

| Authority | Average rent | Authority | Average rent |
|------------------|--------------|----------------------|---------------|
| 1. Slough | £102.83 | 11. Wolverhampton | £77.34 |
| 2. Bradford | £100.07 | 12. Derby | £77.26 |
| 3. Liverpool | £85.45 | 13. Newcastle | £74.53 |
| 4. Milton Keynes | £84.59 | 14. Manchester | £74.19 |
| 5. Luton | £82.37 | 15. Nottingham | £72.78 |
| 6. Salford | £82.04 | 16. Kingston | £72.21 |
| 7. Birmingham | £79.82 | 17. Leeds | £72.01 |
| 8. Oldham | £79.78 | 18. Sheffield | £71.13 |
| 9. Bristol | £79.29 | 19. Leicester | £69.57 |
| 10. Sandwell | £79.06 | 20. Stoke | £69.04 |

Even when comparing ourselves with other local authorities in the East Midlands we have amongst the lowest rents. Northampton's average weekly rent is £82, North West Leicestershire's is £77 and Oadby and Wigston's is £74. We have only found Lincoln and Broxtowe to have slightly lower average rents than our £69 per week.



The Council has strong services to support and assist tenants living in Council housing, ensuring tenancies are sustained and maintained and income is maximised for both the local authority and for the tenants. In 2021/22 the Income Management collected a total of 99.86% of rent due with only 7 evictions taking place in that year for rent arrears.

A total of 95.6% of new Council tenancies have been sustained in 2021/22 by the hard work and efforts of our Tenancy Management team and STAR team, with the STAR team helping tenants to generate and maximise income totalling over one million pounds of additional income claimed over the 21/22 year.

Work is ongoing to improve the thermal efficiency of Council Housing stock with ongoing investment through the Housing capital programme to maintain Council Housing while improving the thermal efficiency and reduce running costs for those living in these properties.

During the manifesto period the Council have invested over £80m on improving our Council Housing which includes work to improve the thermal efficiency of these properties such as external wall insulation. The Council has been successful in securing £1.8m of funding from the Green Homes grant towards this work on Council Housing and has recently been successful in securing £3.4m of funding from the Social Housing Decarbonisation fund towards this work.

A Quality PRS Sector

Council housing now makes up only 15.5% of Leicester's properties and while low rental levels can help those in the greatest need from an affordability perspective, wait times and very limited supply mean that

the City must have a quality private rented sector because of the growing size of this sector standing at 35% of the City's housing stock.

To this end the Council has launched a Private rented sector strategy that has the driver of improving Housing standards in the Private rented sector to ensure that housing in Leicester is the best standard it can be for those in need of housing.

Another key strand is to increase tenancy sustainment in the sector.



The overall objective of the strategy is to have a holistic approach that ensures tenants and landlords are appropriately supported, as well as retaining and improving our ability to protect tenants' safety and rights, and tackle rogue/poor landlords. Maximising this, whilst maintaining a balanced, fair, and proportionate approach, will ultimately lead to the raising of housing standards within the sector

Included within the strategy and already being consulted upon is the option to utilise licencing scheme to drive up standards. This is a key strand in targeting and addressing unfit accommodation in the City.

Another key strand is supporting and helping the sector to improve its climate credentials in accessing and drawing in funding to achieve this work. The Council successfully secured £6.37m from the Green Homes Grant funding with over 1,100 households having bid for support through the local scheme.

A further key strand is the Homelessness Call before you Serve offer & strengthened Homelessness offer to PRS landlords and managing agents to aid the increase in tenancy sustainment in this sector.

Beyond these items, work is ongoing to improve our communications online to ensure we have quality advice and information to landlords and agents linked to the PRS.

Our PRS team is working hard focussing on Multi use HMO buildings that are not correctly licenced with good success in identifying these and tackling landlords. This team is also working on wider property compliance and tackling rogue landlords.

Homelessness

The City Council's current Homelessness strategy 2019 – 2023, drives ongoing strong delivery of the homeless services in the city.

LCC has been successful in securing over £6m additional revenue across 10 external funding pots to enhance and strengthen Homelessness services in the city.

Further funding has been secured through the Health Inequalities fund for 2x additional Social Workers to work with those going through Homelessness who do not meet the ASC Statutory threshold. The Changing Futures bid for £2.6m has been successful working with partners to help and support the most complex clients. A further bid to the Rough Sleeper Drug and Alcohol treatment funding for £1.2m to provide extra support to help people recover from drugs and alcohol misuse has also been successful. A bid has also been submitted linked to Offenders Accommodation & a recent bid to undertake a pilot providing additional Respite Rooms for those suffering Domestic Abuse and facing Homelessness has also strengthens the offer.

The Council has significantly reduced the number of rough sleepers on the street and is clear that 'No one needs to sleep rough on Leicester streets'. Over recent years significant investment and efforts have gone in to reducing down Rough Sleepers to single figures with anyone on the streets refusing to come into available Temporary accommodation. A Rough Sleepers Next Step Strategy has been developed and implemented and this has now been superseded by an Ending Rough Sleeping strategy.

Services continue to be strengthened through the Strategy actions including procurement of Temporary accommodation for those leaving prison completed securing 30 units increased from 20 and a Leicestershire wide new Pathway has been developed and signed off by all District and City partners in conjunction with Prison and Probation

Temporary accommodation has also been re-procured for singles and wider work to develop the singles offer at the Dawn Centre is ongoing. Alongside this officers are working on the development of increased numbers and types of stepped accommodation for singles. Through the acquisitions strategy, additional accommodation has been secured to facilitate this accommodation type.

A joint procurement exercise to procure young person temporary accommodation has just successfully concluded and being implemented.

Launch of the St Mungos Hub to facilitate work placement and work opportunities has now taken place. LCC are also piloting development of employment opportunities with BEAM for 1 year to test this opportunity.

The Family offer of Homes not hostels is in progress with the development of a network of independent homes across the city available as the Family temporary accommodation offer moving away from an institutional hostel with the staffing elements complete and the procurement just concluding.

Officers are now preparing the evidence base that will form the basis of the new Homelessness strategy for the city for the period 2023 to 2028.

Collapse in the supply of truly affordable homes

The Council has now approved over £200m to the delivery of the manifesto commitment to increase the supply of affordable housing. A pipeline of delivery of 1500 units on multiple sites has been identified and agreed between 2019 and 2023. The manifesto target has resulted in long-term concerted efforts across the council to seek to deliver more affordable housing and, by 13th June 2022, 853 new affordable housing homes had been completed, and a further 298 are currently in the pipeline

Delivery of Housing Leicester Phase 1 of new Council Housing has delivering 29 units across 6 small sites including bungalows which are wheelchair accessible. Full planning has been secured on Saffron Velodrome for 38 properties and procurement has been completed and a builder secured for this site which is now in the process of building these homes. The Lanesborough Rd site is pending full planning permission and this will deliver a further 37 units. Additional Phase 2 B sites are also being worked on to deliver a further 18 new units during 22/23 and other work on Stocking Farm (50), FLEC (33 units) , Southfields Newry (30). Early preparations work is now starting on Phase 3 which should deliver 53 new homes across a further 7 sites.

A roadmap of delivery is now being created to maximise the Council's opportunities to build more new homes for the City in the coming years.

An extensive Acquisitions programme has been going on for the duration of the manifesto commitment and by the end of this financial year 21/22 a total of 664 properties will have been acquired.

During the manifesto period it is expected to invest over £9m on the provision of adaptations to ensure that this Housing is suitable for those living in it. To date since 2019 the Council has invested over £8m in to Disabled Facilities grant and Council House adaptations to facilitate the Adaptations service and help people that need adaptations to continue to be able to live in their current home. A total of 1,889 adaptation/DFGs have been completed to date providing help to over 1000 people to stay in their own homes.

The Council has also recently launched an Overcrowding Strategy to tackle the significant challenges faced in the City, which far exceed regional neighbours.

Appendix D

Housing crisis assessment: Housing Scrutiny Commission: 28 February 2022

1. Summary

- 1.1 This report sets out the Housing crisis that is going on in this country and in Leicester.
- 1.2 The report guides you through why the Country is facing a Housing crisis and how the changing face of Housing in this country and this City mean that for many Home ownership is not even a dream, renting in the ballooning private rented sector is unaffordable and the severe lack of truly affordable homes is placing peoples finances, health and wellbeing at serious risk.
- 1.3 This report contains and covers;
 - The Changing face of Housing in this country and Leicester (3.2 & 4.1)
 - The Affordability of Housing (3.3 & 4.2)
 - Homelessness (3.4 & 4.3)
 - Collapse in the supply of truly affordable homes (3.5 & 4.4)
 - The Council's efforts to tackle the Housing Challenges
- 1.4 The report is intended to drive national policy change and alongside this be clear about local commitments to address the Housing crisis.

2. Recommended action

- 2.1 That the Housing Scrutiny Commission note the urgency of action on the Housing crisis and in response set up a task group to determine clear asks of central government and the local authority.

3. Background – The National Context

- 3.1.1 The Office for National Statistics (ONS) reports that there will be a population increase of 11 million over the next 2 decades. People are growing older and living longer. It is estimated that over the coming years the population of over 65's will increase by 7 million.
- 3.1.2 2.9 million people aged 20-34 are living with parents and for many home ownership is no longer a tenure of choice or aspiration and the private rented sector is often the only choice for newly forming households which is producing "generation rent".
- 3.1.3 The English Housing survey 2016/2017 reports that "While the under 35s have always been overrepresented in the private rented sector, over the last decade or so the increase in the proportion of such households in the Private Rented Sector has been particularly pronounced. In 2006-07, 27%

of those aged 25-34 lived in the private rented sector. By 2016-17 this had increased to 46%.

- 3.1.4 Over the same period, the proportion of 25-34 year olds in owner occupation decreased from 57% to 37%. In other words, households aged 25-34 are more likely to be renting privately than buying their own home.
- 3.1.5 In 2016/2017 5% of households in the Private Rented Sector were living in over-crowded accommodation.
- 3.1.6 The supply of truly affordable homes for rent still falls well short of what was delivered historically to meet the needs of the population living in inadequate housing and for whom buying remains a distant dream. Research by the Centre for Social Justice found that;
- tonight, over 90,000 families and more than 120,000 children will go to sleep in 'temporary accommodation' (including bed and breakfasts), with serious implications for health and education;
 - over two thirds (69 per cent) of private renters in the lower two income quintiles spend 30 per cent or more of their disposable income on rent, representing 1.2 million households;
 - an estimated 150,000 properties see parents sharing a bedroom with their children;
 - high housing costs have critically undermined the impact of positive government initiatives to raise incomes among lower earners (such as increasing the minimum wage and personal tax allowance), constituting a key driver of 'in-work poverty'; and
 - 60 per cent of private renters have less than £100 in savings, making even low-cost home ownership affordable housing products (such as Shared Ownership or First Homes) unattainable.
- 3.1.7 The fiscal consequences of this hidden crisis are just as stark, as housing benefit spending has risen dramatically to account for systemic changes in the way our nation is housed. With more reliance on the ballooning private rented sector to house lower earners, expenditure on housing benefits is forecast to be £30.3 billion by 2021–22 – more than double the total government grant allocated for new affordable housing until 2026, in just one year. While the total benefit expenditure is higher overall in the social rented sector, the spending is considerably higher per home in the private rented sector.
- 3.1.8 Two million adults in Britain say they've faced discrimination when looking for a home. If you're Black or Asian, gay or bisexual, disabled, or a single mum, the housing crisis is much more likely to impact you. Structural racism and discrimination mean the odds are stacked. For example, many marginalised groups are more likely to be on a low income, so are forced into unsuitable homes. The government's 'no recourse to public funds' policy stops many migrants from accessing Universal Credit and homelessness assistance, and disproportionately affects people of colour. And 'No DSS' policies and practices from private landlords and letting

agents create huge barriers to accessing private rented homes. This discrimination is more likely to affect women, disabled people and Black and Bangladeshi families.


- 3.1.9 Nearly 1.4 million people are affected by the 'no recourse to public funds' policy. No recourse to public funds stops migrants with time-limited leave to remain in the UK from accessing statutory homelessness assistance or welfare benefits. The policy disproportionately hits people of colour and is directly responsible for forcing people into homelessness.

3.2 The Changing Face of Housing

- 3.2.1 Housing tenure has changed significantly exposing the underbelly of the housing crisis means appreciating the extent to which housing in England has changed in recent decades.
- 3.2.2 Over the course of the twentieth century, English society transformed from one primarily composed of private renters in the Edwardian era to one of 'mass homeownership'. But while owner-occupancy remains the most common housing tenure (at 65 per cent of households), recent decades have seen seismic shifts in the rented sectors of the housing system.
- 3.2.3 In the early 1980s, 31.7% of households lived in homes let by either a council or a housing association. Today this has fallen to 16.7%, the social rented sector having contracted from 5.4m households to 4m. Over the same period we have seen explosive growth in the private rented sector (PRS). Where this accommodated just one in ten households in the early 1980s, the PRS has since doubled to house nearly one in five (19%). This represents an increase of 2.4 million households since 2000.

Figure 1. Trends in tenure (%), 1980 to 2019–20

Figure 1. Trends in tenure (%), 1980 to 2019–20



Source: English Housing Survey

- 3.2.4 A large proportion of the growth of the PRS can be explained by the increase in ‘would-be homebuyers’ spending more time renting: in 2004, 9 per cent of those aged 34–44 lived in the private rented sector; by 2020 this had tripled to 27 per cent. Meanwhile, the rate of owner occupancy in this age band fell from 74 per cent to 56 per cent.
- 3.2.5 Yet a less remarked upon driver of growth in the PRS has been the influx of those on low to modest incomes who might once have lived in a council or housing association home, but now struggle to access social housing due to the limited, shrinking stock and increased demand. Today, 1.15 million households sit on official social housing waiting lists; the Local Government Association estimate that this could double to two million as economic impact of Covid-19 continues to materialise.
- 3.2.6 As such, the PRS now accounts for a much larger proportion of people living in ‘relative low income’ – that is, below 60 per cent of the median income. The tenure shift for this group has been particularly stark: in 2000, social rented housing provided 40 per cent of homes for those of working age on relative low incomes while the PRS housed 18 per cent. By 2020, the number of working age households on relative low incomes living in social rented housing had fallen to 33 per cent while the PRS had grown to 32 per cent.
- 3.2.7 There are now 1.6 million families raising children and 371,000 older households living in the PRS. It is the case that the private rented sector is much more expensive than other tenures. As such, the tenure shift described above has had profound implications for both the costs of living for people on low incomes and the Government’s welfare expenditure as this group is supported through housing benefits.

- 3.2.8 43% of families worry about their landlord ending their contract early, and section 21 means this is a constant possibility. Moving is expensive, you might lose your deposit, you have to pay moving costs, and rents might have risen since you last moved, so you might have to move away, or into a smaller place. Living in an insecure home has an impact on mental health. Children who moved once in the past year were almost 50% more likely to have lower wellbeing than those who hadn't. Chronic instability is particularly detrimental to children, affecting cognitive skills, academic achievement, social competence and behaviour. Children living in private rents and homeless accommodation may have to move frequently (as many as 5-10 times), disrupting their education and affecting their grades. Government research found that frequent movers are significantly less likely to obtain five A*-C GCSEs, or to be registered with a GP. Our broken private renting system is overdue serious reform.


3.3 Affordability of Housing

- 3.3.1 A 2019 study by the Institute for Fiscal Studies found housing costs to have undermined positive steps to increase incomes in recent years, such as rising minimum wage levels. It concluded: 'the factor that has increased in-work poverty the most has been increased housing costs for lower income households compared to higher income households.'
- 3.3.2 The latest research on housing affordability among low-income private renters has been conducted by the Joseph Rowntree Foundation (JRF). Focusing on 1.8 million low-income private renting households, they have found that 55 per cent of these – close to one million – are struggling to afford their rents. Of these households, 624,000 have rents which are 'unaffordable' where this is defined as spending more than 30 per cent of household income on costs of accommodation (a widely-accepted definition). Crucially, this is measured after housing benefit is factored in. More than a fifth (22 per cent) of the overall group (and more than half of the group whose rents are unaffordable) in fact spend 40 per cent or more of net income on housing costs, representing a major squeeze on household budgets.
- 3.3.3 In addition to those whose rents are formally 'unaffordable', many experience 'affordability pressures'. This means that although they spend less than 30% of household income on rental costs (after housing benefit), their gross rental levels are disproportionately high as compared to their incomes. The JRF point out that those in this group have incomes that are so low that 'the vast majority of this group are in [relative] poverty after housing costs'.
- 3.3.4 Looking at the issue of work and housing affordability, the JRF analysis further bolsters the evidence that housing costs are undermining the financial benefits of employment for many low-income families. They note that '748,000 families who cannot afford their rent have one or more adult in work, two-thirds of whom work full-time'. This means that 'four in five

low income, private renting households who are in work find too much of their earnings are eaten up by high rents’.

- 3.3.5 One might think that affordability issues are at their most acute in London and the South East of England where rental costs tend to be highest, but the JRF analysis shows that high numbers of private renters with low incomes in the North and **Midlands** are still facing ‘substantial affordability pressures’. They point out that the differences in rental costs between north and south are also counterbalanced by the fact that, among privately renting households, a substantially larger proportion are on low incomes in the north than in the south: 55 per cent in the North and **48 per cent in the Midlands**, as compared to 35 per cent in the South and 25 per cent in London. Housing affordability must be understood as a crucial component of regional inequality in the UK today.
- 3.3.6 In recent decades government have decided to reduce the supply of low-cost rented homes on the supply-side and shift the primary source of government intervention to the demand-side, in the form of housing benefit.
- 3.3.7 As the number of low-income households living in the private rented sector has grown dramatically, this has contributed extraordinary and highly inefficient costs to the welfare system. The ‘strain’ taken by housing benefit as the supply of truly affordable homes has collapsed (see below, Figure 3) hit £26.1 billion in 2020. For context, this represents four times the Government’s budget for building homes in the same year – or twice the national police budget.
- 3.3.8 By 2021, in the fallout of the pandemic, this had risen to ‘almost’ £30 billion according to the Department for Work and Pensions. Critically, housing benefit is on average 25 per cent more expensive in the private rented sector than the social rented sector. The annual housing benefit spend on private rented housing support more than doubled to £9.3 billion in the 10 years between 2005–06 and 2015–16 as the sector grew. This has averaged approximately £8 billion every year thereafter. While data is not available for 2020–21, housing benefit spending on the PRS this year is likely to exceed £10 billion – a record high. Housing benefit spent on private rents exits the public purse in the form of an income transfer to private landlords, critically producing scant additional housing in the process, whereas spending directed at social landlords is reinvested into the construction of new homes. It has been estimated that every new social home built realises £780 in annual housing benefit savings.

Figure 3. Housing benefit expenditure (£ million real terms)



Source: DWP, Benefit Expenditure Caseload Tables, Outturn and Forecast: Spring Budget 2021

- 3.3.9 Consequently, private renters are growing as a proportion of the claimant population. In 2019, around a fifth of existing benefit claimants were renting in the private rented sector where rents are high – often surpassing housing benefit allowances and passing on high housing costs to low-income tenants. This has risen to a third after Covid-19. Given the rising number of older private renters – and families renting for longer


periods. The Government has been warned by internal forecasters that the total bill could reach £50 billion by 2050.

- 3.3.10 Housing benefit plays an important role in support families with the high costs of the private rental market as seen above. However, its role in taking the strain of the profound growth of the PRS fuelled by lower-income households is unsustainable and fiscally inefficient. Whilst it is true that public spending on housing costs are still larger in the social rented sector, crucially, as mentioned, the significant difference is that public funds spent in the social rented sector tend to produce additional social housing, marking a significant difference between the sectors.
- 3.3.11 The hidden housing crisis far from excluding people from home ownership alone carries with it a range of social, economic, and fiscal costs. These are holding back the gains of employment and making it harder for families to reverse the pathways to poverty. But we have also found ourselves with a deeply inefficient reliance on housing benefit.

3.4 **Homelessness**

- 3.4.1 At the sharpest edge of the hidden housing crisis are those without a home at all. A key consequence of England's changing tenure balance has been the rapid increase in homelessness seen in recent years. Despite the effective 'Everyone In' programme, it remains the case that rough sleeping has risen at an alarming pace in the past decade. In 2019, the total rough sleeper count was 141 per cent higher than in 2010 with 4,266 sleepers on any given night. Recent government initiatives in response to the Covid-19 pandemic have brought the numbers of people sleeping rough down to the snapshot figure of 2,688 in those sleeping rough since last year.
- 3.4.2 Yet most people who are considered homeless are not sleeping rough on the street but are living in emergency or 'temporary' accommodation. This can range from temporary self-contained flats, to hostels with shared facilities, bed and breakfasts (B&Bs) or converted office blocks.

Figure 2. Households and children in temporary accommodation




Source: MHCLG, Statutory Homelessness Live table TA1

- 3.4.3 As a larger proportion of low-income households have experienced less secure and more expensive private rentals, official data shows that the termination of a private tenancy has become the principal trigger for statutory homelessness in England. In the absence of sufficient social housing, the number of families housed in ‘temporary’ accommodation (including hotels and B&Bs) has reached 95,000, rising from 51,000 in 2010. Within these households are over 120,000 children, whose significantly worsened educational outcomes and mental health has been highlighted by the Children’s Commissioner as a consequence of the associated disturbance to their lives.

3.5 Collapse in the supply of truly affordable homes

- 3.5.1 The latest authoritative studies suggest there is ‘housing need’ of 1–1.5 million homes, requiring the annual delivery of new homes to reach 340,000 per year until at least 2031 to account for new household formation, concealed households and the backlog of existing need for suitable housing.
- 3.5.2 Recent governments have adopted 300,000 new homes a year as a target (with varying degrees of formality). Net additional dwellings in 2019–20 reached 243,000, a record high since the millennium. Still, the long-held 300,000 a year target has not been achieved since 1969 (see Figures 4 and 5). Meanwhile there have been prolonged periods of limited supply, for example between 2001 and 2010 where an average of 144,000 new homes were completed annually – 100,000 fewer per year than in the 1970s. In addition, recent prolonged periods of low interest rates, as well as fiscal schemes to support new homeowners, have added pressure on the demand-side of the market as well.


Figure 4. Net additional homes and estimated 'housing need'



Source: MHCLG Live Table 120, ONS Household projections for England⁵¹


- 3.8.3 Focusing on the gross number of homes delivered does not tell us much about the types of homes being built, and for whom they best cater. For while additional housing supply at the higher end of the market can trickle down – eventually – to reduce demand at the lower end, the scale of need at the lower end of the market is so high that the Government intervenes to support the delivery ‘sub-market’ or ‘affordable homes’ at reduced rents and prices. £11.5 billion in central government grant has been committed to the Affordable Homes Programme 2021–26.
- 3.8.4 Historically, state intervention in delivering ‘affordable housing’ took the form of ‘social housing’ – provided by either local authorities or housing associations to meet the demand for affordable and secure housing at the lower end of the income distribution (see Figure 5). However, since the late 1980s there has been steep decline in the delivery of new social housebuilding. By 2019–20, social rented housing delivery was just over 6,600 while the Government has focused on the delivery of ‘affordable rented’ housing. Around 28,000 ‘affordable rented’ homes (set at 80 per cent of market rents) were delivered in 2020, in contrast to the 40,000 social rented homes completed in 2010 and 100,000s built annually in the 1960s.

Figure 5. Permanent dwellings completed in England



Source: MHCLG Live Table 244

Figure 6. New supply, sales and demolitions of social rented housing in England



Source: MHCLG Live tables 1000c, 678 (sales) and 684 (demolitions)⁶⁷

- 3.8.5 Critically, while the supply of new social housing has collapsed, the existing stock is also shrinking rapidly. Sales, demolitions, and conversions from social rent to less affordable tenures, including private rent, mean that approximately 31,000 units of social housing are lost each year. Right to Buy has provided millions of social renters with a pathway to home ownership. However, the lack of a replacement for homes sold has denied thousands of others this transformative hand-up. When newly delivered social rented housing is factored in, we have still seen a net loss of around 17,000 social rented homes every year.
- 3.8.6 The impact of the lack of decent, affordable and secure housing goes far beyond reducing the amount of money households have to live on; this also has a wider social impact. The cost of housing is directly related to housing quality and standards. For many, being unable to afford decent housing means having to live in poor quality homes unfit for habitation or overcrowded conditions to reduce costs, to the detriment of physical and mental health. Analysis of the English Housing Survey shows that around one in nine children today – that is, 1.36 million – are living in overcrowded accommodation. An estimated 150,000 families with children in England share properties with just one bedroom. Nearly a quarter of private rented homes (23.3 per cent) are officially deemed ‘non-decent’ by Government (that is, falling short of required standards of health and safety, repair, and thermal adequacy), compared to 16.3 per cent of social rented homes and 12.3 per cent of owner-occupied homes.
- 3.8.7 There is increasingly strong evidence to show housing problems being linked to broader social issues such as family breakdown, low productivity, chronic ill-health, disrupted child development, poor educational outcomes, and problem debt. A study conducted by the JRF found that households on low incomes under the combined pressure of expensive rents and housing insecurity were more likely to respond poorly to ‘complex life events’ such as relationship breakdown, job insecurity, and the onset of poor health or caring responsibilities than those in stable and affordable housing. Yet the tenure shift and attendant issues with housing affordability and quality is not only marked by its social impact, but also its fiscal consequences.


4. The Leicester Context

4.1 The Changing Face of Housing

- 4.1.1 Leicester City is the largest City in the immediate area of the East Midlands. It is a predominantly urban areas located in the centre of the County of Leicestershire.
- 4.1.2 Leicester provides housing, employment, shopping, public administration, leisure and has three Hospitals and two Universities. The Universities had a combined student population of 43,100 students in the 2017/2018 academic year.

- 4.1.3 Leicester is a growing City as can be seen by the changing table set out below which demonstrates a continual growth in households and homes and the changing face of Housing over the years 1981 to 2017.


Figure 8



- 4.1.4 By 2021, a recent housing stock condition report for the City has been produced by the BRE which identified there are 142,379 dwellings in Leicester, 43% are owner occupied, 35% private rented and 22% social rented.

- 4.1.5 Delivery of new build homes in Leicester has increased since 2001 with a peak reached in 2017/18 of 1,954 new homes completed, with 1,437 delivered in 2018/19 and a 1,448 delivered in 2019/20.


Figure 9



Source: https://assets.publishing.service.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment_data/file/756098/Live_Table_123.xls

- 4.1.6 The City Council will have since the start of the manifesto period and up to the end of the current 21/22 financial period delivered over 1,250 additional Council homes spending £100m on the delivery of these additional Council properties. The Council now has a total of 20,011 council properties.

- 4.1.7 In Leicester, the latest available Housing Needs Assessment sets out a need for 33,840 new dwellings over the period 2020 to 2030 (1,734pa) for Leicestershire with 14,734 of these needed in Leicester. The Housing and Economic Development Needs Assessment (HEDNA) 2017 established that the city has a need for an additional 718 new Affordable Housing dwellings a year for the period 2020 -30.
- 4.1.8 Conversely to a growing Private Rented Sector, Leicester City Council's role as landlord is diminishing, from 36% of all dwellings in 1981, to 15.5% in 2017.
- i. Taken together, and additionally combined with market rent increases, puts huge demands on the city's social housing register.
 - ii. Currently around 6000 households are waiting for accommodation on the register, with an average of just 1,200 lets each year. Furthermore, 2,600 households approached the authority as homeless, or at risk of homelessness, in 2020/2021.
 - iii. Prioritisation by level of housing need ensures that those in greatest need have best access to limited stock, but waiting times are increasing year on year and not all households who apply to the register will be successful in realising an offer of accommodation.
- 4.1.9 We still have the challenge that we continue to lose Council Housing stock through the right to buy. Since the 1980s the Council has lost over 14,000 homes. In 20/21 it lost another 409 properties or over 2% of stock. Leicester is the worst affected area against comparators. The City Council has lost 1,890 properties in the last 5 years.



- 4.1.11 There are an estimated 9,649 Houses in Multiple Occupation in Leicester, of which approximately 2,249 potentially come under the mandatory licensing scheme; with 48% of them in the Westcotes, Castle, Stoneygate and Fosse Wards. The proactive acquisition of this commissioned research data is feeding into the work of the Council's Private Rented Sector Team and their resourcing requirements to support the identification of any unlicensed property. It is also helping to inform the consideration of other discretionary licensing schemes.
- 4.1.12 The data from our housing condition report shows that the performance of the housing stock in Leicester compared to the English Housing Survey (EHS) average is generally worse with the exception of excess cold which is slightly better in Leicester.
- 4.1.13 Levels of all hazards and fall hazards are notably higher in Leicester, and the proportion of low income households is high compared to the England average. In Leicester, 17% of Private rented sector accommodation is believed to have category 1 hazards.
- 4.1.14 Compared to the regional average the picture is similar with Leicester generally performing worse with the exception of excess cold and fuel poverty.

- 4.1.15 Market rental prices in the East Midlands increased by 2.1% in the past 12 months⁴ and are now on average 20-30% higher than Local Housing Allowance rates, creating a market that is difficult to access for those on low incomes, or those dependent on welfare benefits.

4.2 Affordability of Housing

- 4.2.1 Not all households have sufficient income to buy or rent a home in the private sector in Leicester that adequately meets their housing needs at acceptable standards.
- 4.2.2 Whilst Leicester's cheapest homes to buy or rent (those within the lower quartile of sale prices and private rents) might appear affordable compared with the city's average full-time resident earnings, they are not always affordable to those in the city with the lowest incomes.
- 4.2.3 In fact, recent research has concluded that Leicester has seen;
- An increase (ie worsening) in its housing affordability ratio;
 - Leicester's level of unemployment (7.5%) is almost double the regional level;
 - The city has a relatively high proportion of its population employed in Group 9 elementary occupations; Leicester's residents' earnings were the lowest in the Housing Market Area;
 - Leicester's overcrowding rate (15.2%) was almost three times the regional figure (5.5%); between 2001 and 2011 there was an increase of almost 60% in the level of over-crowded households in Leicester – almost double the national growth;
 - Leicester is the only authority across the HMA that has a higher rate of concealed and shared households than the regional and national average.
- 4.2.4 Affordable Housing itself includes several tenures including Intermediate Affordable Housing for sale, Intermediate Affordable Housing for rent and social/affordable rent. The table below sets even for "affordable housing options" in Leicester, those with incomes in the lower of median quartiles still cannot afford many of these so-called affordable options.

Figure 12

| AFFORDABLE HOUSING OPTIONS | New households accessing at LHA rates | Lower quartile income | Median income |
|---|---------------------------------------|-----------------------|---------------|
| Starter homes | N/A | x | x |
| Intermediate (shared ownership/shared equity) | N/A | ? | ✓ |
| Median private rented | x | ? | ✓ |
| Affordable social rents | x | ? | ✓ |
| Social rents | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ |

KEY: **✓** should be able to access this housing option ; **x** = unlikely to be able to access this housing option; **?** = marginal that this housing option could be accessible; **N/A** = this housing option is not available

This table is based on resident-based earnings and does not take into account that some households will use multiple incomes as part of a household to access housing that would otherwise be unaffordable to a single income (this can also be a barrier to access where it causes a household to exceed the housing register income threshold). In addition to this the requirement for different size homes will also impact on affordability.

Starter homes

The income required in Leicester to access starter homes (HEDNA) is £26,100, while £22,199 is the median gross annual residence based earnings for Leicester, 2016. It is also not considered affordable for individuals whose income is in the lower quartile.

Intermediate options

The HEDNA estimates that an income of £16,800 is required to access this housing option. This is around the lower quartile income levels in Leicester (of £16,980) so may not be affordable to all households whose income is in the lower quartile.

Private renting

For individuals in Leicester who receive the gross median monthly salary, median rents in the private sector would make up 32% of their income. This figure is higher than that which the HEDNA considers to be a reasonable start point (25% of income) – however, the HEDNA suggests other sources (letting agencies and housing benefit calculations) raise this figure as high as 40%+. So, in this context, this option is considered affordable for individuals whose income is at median levels however it will

become more unaffordable for those with lower incomes (estimated ratio of their earnings would be 39%). People on lower incomes may be able to access cheaper housing options in the private rented market. Private rented accommodation is not generally accessible to new households accessing at LHA rates – national survey showed that 63% of landlords would prefer not to let to HB claimants, and research undertaken by Housing Options Private Rented Housing Team found a significant difference between private market rents and LHA rents.

Affordable social rent

Affordable social rent are rents set at up to 80% of market rent. Local housing allowance is 30th percentile of market rent, meaning at its higher levels this housing options is unaffordable for LHA households. An assessment % rent of incomes indicates at lower quartile incomes rent would be 32% of their total income (again higher than the 25% HEDNA level but lower than 40%). At medium incomes % rent to income level is 24% so would be affordable for the majority of households.

Social rent

Social rented properties are generally available at local housing allowance rates therefore would generally be affordable to all households at different income levels. However there is an income cap to be able to be eligible for the housing register so is not currently available to any households with a single income of £25,000 or a joint income of £30,000.

- 4.2.5 The HEDNA calculated that 19% of households in Leicester who require Affordable Housing can afford Intermediate Housing; that's 149 households a year (of our total of 786). The remaining 81% (637 households a year) will need social/affordable rented housing.
- 4.2.6 Social/Affordable Rent is affordable to a range of households as long as the rent to be paid falls at or below Local Housing Allowance (LHA) limits (many of the households will need to claim housing benefit). Council housing is generally the most affordable rental option. Where households are eligible, council rents will be fully covered by benefits unless the household is under-occupying. There may be a small number of households who are affected by the introduction of the LHA shared room rate for people aged under 35. This is likely to result in a relatively small shortfall between their benefits and rent. The benefit cap has only affected households in the very largest of council properties (ie 6-bedroomed).


4.3 Homelessness

- 4.3.1 Homelessness services in Leicester have faced year-on-year increases in people approaching the Council for help who are facing Homelessness (4,803 in 2019-20) and positively, the Council continue to provide strong

services, maintaining strong services and prevention rates at over 85% in 19/20.


Figure 13

Number of Households approaching Housing Options for advice and support



4.3.2 From the Who gets Social Housing data the Council has an increasing number of people on the Housing register, up to 6,366. Overcrowding continues to be a significant problem in the city with more than 15% of households stating they are overcrowded overall. This is supported by our Housing Register data where 46% of the applicant on the register are overcrowded.


Figure 14



4.3.3 Demand for Council Housing far outstrips supply. The average wait times for LCC housing shows significantly increasing wait times for all sizes of

properties with minimum wait times now at 4 months for the highest Band 1 priority cases and significantly higher wait times for those in the lowest band 3.

Housing Register Information



4.4 Collapse in the supply of truly affordable homes

4.4.1 As can be seen in Figure 8 above and 4.1.4, the make-up of the Housing market in Leicester has changed significantly, with Council housing which is for many the only affordable housing now becoming a scarce resource with limited supply, very large demand (6000) and a growing need for it (786pa) as the City grows.


4.4.2 The loss of Council Housing through the right to buy scheme for the City has already been referenced in 4.19, this is very relevant to the collapse in the supply of truly affordable housing because, while efforts are being made in the City to increase new housing (see Figure 9) this is being undermined by the ongoing sale and reduction in Council Housing through the Right to Buy scheme of over 400 homes on average each year and 14,000 overall since the 1980's.

4.4.3 Although house building in the city is at the highest it has been for many years (1,437 in 18/19), the lack of land in the city has seriously undermined this delivery.

5. Leicester City Council's effort to tackle the Housing crisis

5.1 Leicester City Council has been working hard to tackle the Housing challenges in the city and this has been driven by the Council's political priorities. In the context of the challenges set out Nationally and in

Leicester in this report, highlights of the efforts being made by the Council are set out in the following section.



5.2.1 The Affordability of Housing

5.2.2 Our council rents remain the lowest in the city for any tenure type. Average private rented sector rents for a 3-bedroom house are currently around £155 per week, average Housing Association rents average out at £89 per week, whilst council rents are £85, for this type of property.

Figure 17

| Tenure Type | Average weekly rent |
|-----------------------|---------------------|
| LCC | £85.22 |
| Housing Association | 88.59 |
| Private Rented Sector | 155.34 |


- 5.2.3 Comparing ourselves with other local authorities in the country and Leicester's overall average council rents are amongst the lowest in the country, 19th lowest out of 20 for comparator authorities.

Figure 18

| Authority | Average rent | Authority | Average rent |
|------------------|--------------|----------------------|---------------|
| 1. Slough | £102.83 | 11. Wolverhampton | £77.34 |
| 2. Bradford | £100.07 | 12. Derby | £77.26 |
| 3. Liverpool | £85.45 | 13. Newcastle | £74.53 |
| 4. Milton Keynes | £84.59 | 14. Manchester | £74.19 |
| 5. Luton | £82.37 | 15. Nottingham | £72.78 |
| 6. Salford | £82.04 | 16. Kingston | £72.21 |
| 7. Birmingham | £79.82 | 17. Leeds | £72.01 |
| 8. Oldham | £79.78 | 18. Sheffield | £71.13 |
| 9. Bristol | £79.29 | 19. Leicester | £69.57 |
| 10. Sandwell | £79.06 | 20. Stoke | £69.04 |

- 5.2.4 Even when comparing ourselves with other local authorities in the East Midlands we have amongst the lowest rents. Northampton's average weekly rent is £82, North West Leicestershire is £77 and Oadby and Wigston is £74. We have only found Lincoln and Broxtowe to have slightly lower average rent than our £69 per week.

Figure 19



- 5.2.5 Council housing now makes up only 15.5% of Leicester's properties and while low rental levels can help those in the greatest need, wait times and

very limited supply mean that the City must have a quality private rented sector.

5.2.6 To this end the Council has written and launched a Private rented sector strategy that has the driver of improving Housing standards in the Private rented sector to ensure that housing in Leicester is the best standard it can be for those in need of housing.

5.2.7 The overall objective of the strategy is to have a holistic approach that ensures tenants and landlords are appropriately supported, as well as retaining and improving our ability to protect tenants' safety and rights, and tackle rogue/poor landlords. Maximising this, whilst maintaining a balanced, fair, and proportionate approach, will ultimately lead to the raising of housing standards within the sector

5.2.8 Included within the strategy and already being consulted upon is the option to utilise licencing scheme to drive up standards. This is a key strand in targeting and addressing unfit accommodation in the City.

5.3 Homelessness

5.3.1 The City Council's current Homelessness strategy 2019 – 2023, drives ongoing strong delivery of the homeless services in the city.

5.3.2 LCC has been successful in delivering £3.5m additional revenue across 9 external funding pots to enhance Homelessness services Further funding has been secured through the Health Inequalities fund for two additional Social Workers to work with those going through Homelessness whom do not meet the ASC Statutory threshold. The Changing Futures bid for £3m has been successful working with partners and also a further bid to the Rough Sleeper Drug and Alcohol treatment funding for £1.2m to provide extra support to help people recover from drugs and alcohol misuse has also been successful. A bid has also been submitted linked to Offenders Accommodation

5.3.3 The Council has significantly reduced the number of rough sleepers on the street and is clear that 'No one needs to sleep rough on Leicester streets'. Over recent years significant investment and efforts have gone in to reducing down Rough Sleepers to single figures with anyone on the streets refusing to come in to available Temporary accommodation. A Rough Sleepers Next Step Strategy has been developed and implemented and this will shortly be followed by an Ending Rough Sleeping strategy.

5.3.4 Services continue to be strengthened through the Strategy actions including procurement of Temporary accommodation for those leaving prison completed securing 30 units increased from 20 and a Leicestershire wide new Pathway has been developed and signed off by all District and City partners in conjunction with Prison and Probation

- 5.3.5 Temporary accommodation has also been re-procured for singles and wider work to develop the singles offer at the Dawn Centre is ongoing. Alongside this officers are working on the development of increased numbers and types of stepped accommodation for singles.
- 5.3.6 A joint procurement exercise to procure young person temporary accommodation has just successfully concluded and being implemented.
- 5.3.7 Launch of the St Mungos Hub to facilitate work placement and work opportunities has now taken place. LCC are also piloting development of employment opportunities with BEAM for 1 year to test this opportunity.
- 5.3.8 The Family offer of Homes not hostels is in progress with the development of a network of independent homes across the City available as the Family temporary accommodation offer moving away from an institutional hostel with the staffing elements complete and the procurement just concluding.

5.4 Collapse in the supply of truly affordable homes

- 5.4.1 The Council has now approved over £100m to the delivery of the manifesto commitment to increase the supply of affordable housing. A pipeline of delivery of 1500 units on multiple sites has been identified and agreed between 2019 and 2023. The Council and partners will by the end of 21/22 have delivered a total of 871 social housing properties.
- 5.4.2 Delivery of Housing Leicester Phase 1 of new Council Housing has delivering 29 units across 6 small sites including bungalows which are wheelchair accessible. Full planning has been secured on Saffron Velodrome for 38 properties and procurement has been completed and a builder secured for this site which is aiming to start build in Autumn 2021. Additional Phase 2 sites are also being worked on to deliver a further 18 new units during 22/23. Early preparations work is now starting on Phase 3 has been agreed to proceed by CMB and this has started which should deliver 52 new homes.
- 5.4.3 An extensive Acquisitions programme has been going on for the duration of the manifesto commitment and by the end of this financial year 21/22 a total of 572 properties will have been acquired.
- 5.4.4 During the manifesto period it is expected to invest over £9m on the provision of adaptations to ensure that this Housing is suitable for those living in it. To date since 2019 the Council has invested over £8m in to Disabled Facilities grant and Council House adaptations to facilitate the Adaptations service and help people that need adaptations to continue to be able to live in their current home. A total of 1,889 adaptation/DFGs have been completed to date providing help to over 1000 people to stay in their own homes.

6. Conclusion

- 6.1 This report clearly sets out the National and Local Housing challenges and problems that are causing a perfect storm for a housing crisis. It clearly demonstrates that holistic and national policy change is required by Central Government to deal with the crisis and this is why it is essential the Council have very clear demands and asks of government.

DRAFT

Executive Response to Scrutiny

The executive will respond to the next scrutiny meeting after a review report has been presented with the table below updated as part of that response.

Introduction

...

| Scrutiny Recommendation | Executive Decision | Progress/Action | Timescales |
|-------------------------|--------------------|-----------------|------------|
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |



District Heating Service Charges

For consideration by:
Housing Scrutiny Commission

Date: 22 September 2022
Lead Director: Chris Burgin

Useful information

- Ward(s) affected: All
- Report authors: Chris Burgin, Director of Housing

1. Purpose

- 1.1 The purpose of this report is to present to Housing Scrutiny Commission a draft copy of a report that is due to be considered by Full Council on 29th September. The full details are included as an appendix to this covering note.

2. Recommendations

- 2.1 Housing Scrutiny Commission is recommended to:
- i) Note and comment on the proposal to recover the full cost of the fuel incurred for domestic properties on the District Heating network from tenants and leaseholders on the scheme from the end of October 2022.

3. Financial, legal and other implications

All implications are included in the draft report to Council.

4. Summary of appendices:

Appendix A – Draft Report to Council

5. Is this a private report (If so, please indicated the reasons and state why it is not in the public interest to be dealt with publicly)?

No



District Heating Service Charges

Full Council: 29th September 2022

Assistant Mayor for Housing: Cllr. Elly Cutkelvin
Lead director: Chris Burgin

Useful information

- Ward(s) affected: All
- Report authors: Chris Burgin, Director of Housing

1. Purpose

- 1.1 Energy prices have been rising at unprecedented rates since August 2021, with households across the country facing gas and electricity bills that have more than doubled in the last 12 months. The purpose of this report is to present Full Council with an overview of the charging arrangements for tenants and leaseholders in receipt of heating and hot water through the District Heating network, and recommends an in-year increase to service charges.

2. Summary

- 2.1 On average, the charges paid by tenants and leaseholders on Leicester's district heating network are **35%** cheaper than open market prices. Lower prices, along with the way in which the Council charges for heat, has allowed tenants and leaseholders to be protected from rising prices.
- 2.2 Despite being 35% cheaper than the open market, the unit price of the gas which feeds the network is **86%** higher in 2022/23 than in 2021/22. Current estimates are that this will rise in 2023/24 by up to a further 200%.
- 2.3 The escalation in gas prices means that the current service charges to tenants and leaseholders on the district heating scheme are significantly lower than the cost of providing the service. This will result in a budget shortfall to the Housing Revenue Account of **£1.7m** in 2022/23 and almost £8m in 2023/24 unless charges to tenants and leaseholders are increased.
- 2.4 The current average charge for heating and hot water is £14.65 per week. This report proposes an in-year increase of **£10.31** per week from around 31 October 2022 (01 November 2022 for leaseholders) for the remainder of the 2022/23 financial year. This is a weekly increase of **70%** compared to the current charges. Since the charge would be introduced part-way through the year, this represents an annual increase of **29%**.
- 2.5 The average annual increase for households attached to the network is £194, which would be offset by the nationally available energy rebates being provided in 2022.
- 2.6 Since the proposed change to service charges would take place from the end of October, the shortfall to the Housing Revenue Account from April to October 2022 would not be borne by tenants or leaseholders. The HRA is also bearing increased fixed costs, which are linked to inflation and pay rates.

3. Recommendations

- 3.1 Full Council is recommended to:
- ii) Note the increasing fuel prices being incurred for the District Heating network;
 - iii) Approve a 70% increase in weekly charges to tenants and leaseholders.

4. Report

4.1 Background

The Leicester District Energy scheme provides low carbon heat for c.2,500 housing tenants and leaseholders, Council administrative offices, De Montfort Hall, the University of Leicester and several other buildings, including schools. In addition to the city centre, it incorporates the St Matthews, St Marks, St Andrews, St Peters, Aikman Avenue and Beatty Avenue estates.

Due to the mechanism for recharging costs, non-domestic properties will already be paying higher rates for their utilisation of the district heating network. This report is focused solely on the proportion of costs that are attributable to domestic properties attached to the network. The proposal to increase charges to tenants and leaseholders would not result in any subsidy for non-domestic properties.

With the district heating network improved and extended by LDEC in 2011/12, the heat provided through the network is reliable, with carbon emissions being reduced by more than 73,000 tonnes in the 10-year period.

4.2 Heat Charges Mechanism

LDEC purchases its gas from a number of different sources as a way of balancing risk exposure to price changes, and the Council pays LDEC monthly for the heat consumed (a combination of LDEC's fixed costs and variable fuel charges).

The heat charge to households is set by Housing at a rate to recover only the cost of the fuel (gas) used by the network; fixed costs are assumed to be a standard housing cost covered by a tenant's rent (in the same manner as a boiler cost would be for someone not on the heating network). These service charges are set with the intention of recovering the variable costs on a rolling 3-year basis and serve to protect the tenants and leaseholders as much as possible from sudden gas price rises. This is unlike other community heat networks recently in the news, where all costs are passed on to consumers.

4.3 Fuel Prices

With fuel prices increasing at unprecedented rates since August 2021, residents across the City have seen significant rises in their energy bills – with the impact being greater on gas bills. According to BEIS, gas prices increased nationally by 95% between April 2021 and April 2022, with the average gas bill in the East Midlands standing at around £550¹ at the start of 2021 and doubling over a 12-month period to £1,103².

The energy price cap, set by Ofgem increased by 54% in April 2022, and will increase by a further 80% from October 2022, with further increases to the price cap being anticipated in January 2023. According to the House of Commons Library Research Briefing on Domestic Energy Prices (9 August 2022), CPI Index data, and Cornwall Insight's recent forecasts suggest that energy prices in 2022-23 could be just over 200% higher than in 2020-21.

¹ Based on a usage of 13,600kWh of gas per annum

² Tariff increase to 0.81 pence per kWh (assumes a dual fuel direct debit customer – residents that do not pay by direct debit will be paying more)

On 8th September central government announced a 'price guarantee' which caps the price per unit of energy such that the average energy bill would not exceed £2,500 per year. This is based on an average consumption of gas and electricity. In addition, there will be a fund to support people who do not pay energy companies directly, which will presumably include those on district heating networks, although details of the fund were not available at the time this report was written.

Increasing fuel prices have also affected the LDEC district heating network with gas prices rising by 86% for the year April 2022 to March 2023.

It should be noted however that, unlike other residents contracted with a private gas supplier, the service charges for tenants and leaseholders on district heating were unaffected between April 2021 and March 2022. Prices remained fixed throughout the 12-month period, at an average annual charge of £683³ (a weekly charge for 50 weeks of £13.65).

Had tenants and leaseholders been buying gas from a utility company, charges (for the same usage) would have been a typical £17.35 per week (£867 per year) in April 2021, rising throughout the year to reach £34.79 per week (£1,740 per annum) by April 2022.

4.4 Setting Heating Charges for 2022/23

When the HRA budget was prepared for 2022/23 in autumn 2021 market pricing forecasts anticipated that LDEC's cost of gas would rise by 20%. Rather than apply a full 20% increase to the service charge for 2022/23, an approach was adopted to set the rate based on average costs over a 3-year period. This had the effect of reducing the increase to 7.29% for 2022/23, with the HRA being expected to recoup the deficit in future years.

Unprecedented market conditions, including the war in Ukraine, have however continued to put pressure on the gas supply market and pushed prices significantly higher. This includes the price of fuel (gas) for district heating, with LDEC heat charges (the variable fuel element) having increased by 86% for the year from April 2022 to March 2023.

Whilst this approach to setting charges lessens the impact of sudden fuel price rises it is not viable when fuel prices and heat charges are rising at significant levels at regular intervals over a number of years.

4.5 Recommended Heat / Service Charges to Tenants and Leaseholders

Based on historic consumption levels, it is projected that the impact of the 86% price rise this year will be an annual increase in the gas element of residential district heating bills totalling just over £1.7 million. This would be the cost to the HRA if the gas charges are not passed on to tenants and leaseholders.

It is therefore recommended that an in-year increase in district heating service charges is introduced to recoup the full cost of the gas (with the fixed costs continuing to be covered by core rent). This would represent a 70% increase on current weekly charges.

³ Average annual usage of 21,450kWh of gas per annum (58% higher than the figure used by BEIS).

The table below details the district heating charge for last year (2021/22) and the current charge to tenants and leaseholders for this year (2022/23), showing how this would change as a result of a 70% increase in the weekly charge.

| Year | Average Weekly Charge | Average Annual Charge | Year on Year Increase (%) | Average Increase (£) | Cost of Living Support |
|---|-----------------------|------------------------------|-------------------------------------|--|---|
| 2021/22 | £13.65 | £683 | 2.00% | - | - |
| 2022/23 (Current Year) | £14.65 | £733 | 7.29% | £50 | - |
| 2022/23 proposed from 31 October | *£24.96 | £1,248 (full year) | Weekly -70% Annual - 29% | Weekly - £10.31 Annual - £194 (as part year) | £550 (all) £1200 (based on eligibility) |

The £24.96 proposed average weekly charge compares favourably to the £34.79 per week that would be paid to a utility company for the same level of usage. District heating gas unit prices would continue to be 35% lower than those charged by utility companies (although district heating tenants and leaseholders appear to use more than a typical resident paying bills directly).

The table below details the significant increases that are also projected for gas prices in 2023/24 and the impact it could have on district heating charges. It should be noted that these are only projections, with the charge for 2023/24 to be considered as part of the HRA budget setting report which will go to the Full Council meeting in February 2023.

| Year | Average Weekly Charge | Average Annual Charge | % Year on Year Increase |
|--|-----------------------|-----------------------|-------------------------|
| 2023/24 – Projected (as at Q2 2022) | £72.38 | £3,619 | 190% |

4.6 Rationale / Considerations

In making the recommendation to increase the charges from October 2022 the following has been taken into consideration:

- i) Historically, the way in which LDEC procures its gas means that unit charges for heat, and the service charges to tenants and leaseholders, have remained lower than the cost of gas available through utility providers for the last decade – currently **35%** lower.
- ii) The heat / service charge approach adopted by the Council – smoothing out charges over a rolling three years - has protected tenants and leaseholders from steep and sudden price increases.
- iii) Whilst the proposed service charge is **86%** higher than 2021/22 charges, since the proposed increase is being introduced part-way through the year the actual impact on tenants and leaseholders will be an annual increase in charges of **29%** compared to the current annual charge, and an increase of **39%** compared to 2021/22.
- iv) Tenants and leaseholders will receive a **£400** energy rebate from government through their electricity supplier to help offset energy price increases, in addition to the **£150** council tax energy rebate they should have already received. Low-income

households will also be eligible for a **£650** payment to assist in the general rise in the cost of living – applicable to 34% of households on district heating. These payments will offset the increase of **£194** (average) for the remainder of the 2022/23 financial year.

- v) The Government may introduce further support measures following the announcement in August of a further rise to the price cap from October. Delaying the increase in service charges could therefore make it more difficult for tenants and leaseholders to afford the charges in the future.
- vi) Fixed charges mean that tenants and leaseholders do not need to consider switching off their heating and hot water in order to save money. However, further consideration should be given to installing heat meters to allow tenants and leaseholders to control how much they pay for heat.
- vii) If the intention was to recoup the full **£1.7** million shortfall in this financial year, service charges to leaseholders and tenants would need to increase to around £30 per week at the end of October 2022. This represents an increase of just over 100% compared to the current charge, and 120% compared to the 2021/22 charges.
- viii) Whilst the fuel (gas) costs of district heating customers could be absorbed by the HRA more widely, with tenants' rent being used to effectively subsidise the energy costs of people on the heating network, there is no real justification for other tenants to lose out on other services which could have been provided using that money. The HRA is facing a range of unbudgeted cost pressures. Those other tenants will of course be experiencing much higher energy bills themselves for both electricity and gas. In addition, it would not be acceptable for tenants to subsidise leaseholders.
- ix) A further significant increase is likely to be required from April 2023, if gas supply prices continue to rise in line with current projections, although tenants and leaseholders on district heating are far better protected than tenants and leaseholders buying gas from utility companies, with open-market gas prices continuing to rise much faster and higher than district heating charges.

5. Financial, legal and other implications

5.1 Financial implications

As detailed within the report, the Housing Revenue Account is forecasting a shortfall in income of £1.7m as a result of the cost of gas exceeding the charges being made to households on the district heating network. The proposal to increase charges from the end of October would reduce this shortfall by £0.7m.

Stuart McAvoy – Acting Head of Finance

5.2 Legal implications

Council Tenancies:

The conditions of tenancy allow the council to change the rent by giving a 28-day notice to tenants. However, given the likely impact of the decision it would be prudent to consult with the existing Tenant and Leaseholder forum.

The council as a Housing Authority must comply with the Rent Standard set by the Regulator of Social Housing and comply with the Policy statement on rents for Social Housing issued by the DLUHC.

Leaseholders:

The council currently issues a service charge demand to its 1,631 leaseholders in March of each year. Of these, 889 are connected to the district heating network.

The council's standard Right to Buy lease requires leaseholders to pay on demand 'a fair proportion of the costs (reasonable or estimated) of any services incurred or to be incurred by the lessor in observing and performing...' the services provided under the lease.

The council is required to consult with leaseholders when entering into qualifying long-term agreements. The provision of heat for the purposes of residential district heating is subject to a 25-year contract with LDEC. Legal Services have been assured that the necessary consultation was undertaken at the time of entering the contract.

As with tenants, it would be advisable to consult the Tenant and Leaseholder Forum.

Kevin Carter
Head of Law - Commercial, Property & Planning

5.3 Climate Change and Carbon Reduction implications

The council's consumption of gas, electricity and district heating is responsible for around three quarters of its measured annual carbon footprint. The 2021/22 consumption outlined in this report (including district heating) equates to a footprint of 24,012tCO₂e from gas use and 4,451tCO₂e from electricity use. Following the council's declaration of a climate emergency in 2019 and its ambition for the city and the council to become carbon neutral by 2030, tackling emissions from our own energy consumption is essential to achieving that.

Both the council's Climate Emergency Strategy and the Carbon Neutral Roadmap highlight the importance of demand reduction in the council's approach to decarbonisation – by eliminating emissions from fossil fuel use and minimising the residual emissions from electricity use. As such, our approach to price risk mitigation therefore needs to begin with demand reduction. This potentially includes:

- improving the thermal performance of the building fabric through insulation, replacement of single glazed windows and improving air-tightness
- introducing improved controls on heating, cooling and hot water systems
- strengthening behavioural/procedural measures to reduce energy wastage including, for example, by switching off equipment promptly when not in use and ensuring that temperatures and timings for heating and cooling systems are set appropriately
- installing more renewable energy generation (principally PVs but could include solar thermal) along with battery storage.

Investment in demand reduction will achieve year-on-year increasing mitigation of price rise impacts/risks, although in the short term it won't prevent the need for budgetary provision also to be made to cover possible price increases.

Demand reduction is also an important enabling action in making buildings ready for heat pump installation – which is another key element of our Climate Emergency Strategy and Carbon Neutral Roadmap. The energy demand of our buildings needs to be reduced sufficiently before heat pumps are installed, to counteract the impact of higher per kWh prices of electricity compared to gas, as well as to ensure that the low temperature systems typically required to get the full efficiency benefit of heat pumps can cope during the coldest winter weather.

5.4 Equality Implications

When making decisions, the Council must comply with the public sector equality duty (PSED) (Equality Act 2010) by paying due regard, when carrying out their functions, to the need to eliminate unlawful discrimination, advance equality of opportunity and foster good relations between people who share a 'protected characteristic' and those who do not.

We need to be clear about any equalities implications of the course of action proposed. In doing so, we must consider the likely impact on those likely to be affected by the options in the report and, in particular, the proposed option; their protected characteristics; and (where negative impacts are anticipated) mitigating actions that can be taken to reduce or remove that negative impact.

Protected characteristics under the public sector equality duty are age, disability, gender re-assignment, pregnancy and maternity, marriage and civil partnership, race, religion or belief, sex and sexual orientation.

Increasing fuel prices have affected the LDEC district heating charges, the report sets out a proposal for an in-year increase in district heating / service charges to tenants and leaseholders. Those affected by changes arising from the implementation of the increase should it be agreed, would be current tenants and leaseholders who will be from across a range of protected characteristics. Residents on low and/or fixed income will be affected by the changes.

In 2020, 19% of Leicester households were in fuel poverty – this is higher than the national average in England of 13% of households and the highest rate of all upper tier local authorities in the East Midlands. This does not take into account the impacts of recent energy price increases, but if this follows national estimates we could see 40% of Leicester households being in fuel poverty by October 2022.

It is important to ensure that information about the proposed increase is conveyed to all affected residents as a matter of urgency. This should include an unambiguous rationale of why the increase is necessary and information about where advice and support may be obtained.

In order to fully understand the impacts of the proposal, an Equality Impact Assessment is being undertaken to identify any potential disproportionate negative impacts on a particular protected characteristic. The Equality Impact Assessment, should influence decision making from an early stage and throughout the decision making process. The findings from any consultation should be used to further inform the Equality Impact Assessment and in identifying any mitigating actions that are required to lessen or remove any disproportionate negative impact.

Equalities Officer, Surinder Singh

6. Background information and other papers:

None

7. Summary of appendices:

8. Is this a private report (If so, please indicated the reasons and state why it is not in the public interest to be dealt with publicly)?

No

9. Is this a “key decision”?

n/a

DRAFT

209

| HOUSING SCRUTINY COMMISSION WORK PROGRAMME 2022/23 | | | |
|---|--|--------------------------------------|---------------|
| MEETING DATE | MEETING ITEMS | LEAD OFFICER | ACTION AGREED |
| 6 th June 2022 | Housing Crisis (working group update) | Cllr Westley / Chris Burgin | Rescheduled |
| | Rent arrears report – Year-end report | Charlotte McGraw | |
| | Who gets Social Housing | Caroline Carpendale / Justin Haywood | |
| | Homelessness Strategy Update | Caroline Carpendale / Justin Haywood | |
| | Executive Response to the scrutiny review into “Examining the role and effectiveness of the proposal to establish a central housing Anti-Social Behaviour Team”. | Cllr Cutkelvin/Chris Burgin | |
| | Discretionary licensing of private sector rented housing (new) | Cllr Cutkelvin /Tony Cawthorne | |
| 1 August 2022 | Rent arrears report – Year-end report | Charlotte McGraw | |
| | Housing manifesto Delivery update | Chris Burgin | |
| | Update on House Building | Simon Nicholls | |
| | Repairs, Gas & Voids performance Report | Kevin Doyle | |
| | Disabled Facilities Grant / Housing Adaptation | Simon Nicholls | |

| | | | |
|--------------|---|--|--|
| 22 September | <div>'Housing Crisis in Leicester' review draft final report</div> <div>Local Plan consultation (with members of EDTC invited)</div> <div>District Heating</div> | <div>Jerry Connolly</div> <div>Fabian DCosta</div> <div>Stuart McAvoy</div> | |
| 7 November | <div>Income Collection Performance update</div> <div>Housing Capital Programme & Housing Delivery update</div> <div>Homelessness Strategy Update</div> <div>District Service Priorities & performance including ASB,</div> <div>Progress report for Goscote House & Sprinklers update</div> <div>Retrofitting & Climate Emergency update</div> <div>Empty Homes update</div> <div>PRS Strategy update</div> | <div>Charlotte McGraw</div> <div>Simon Nicholls</div> <div>Caroline Carpendale/Justin Haywood</div> <div>Gurjit Minhas</div> <div>Simon Nicholls</div> <div>Simon Nicholls</div> <div>Caroline Carpendale/Justin Haywood</div> <div>Joanne Russell</div> | |
| 9 January | <div>Tenancy Support</div> <div>HRA Budget</div> | <div>Gurjit Minhas</div> <div>Chris Burgin</div> | |

| | | | |
|-----------------|--|--------------------------------------|--|
| 27 February | Environmental Budget & Public Realm Project update | Gurjit Minhas | |
| | Repairs, Gas and Voids performance and update report | Kevin Doyle | |
| | Who gets Social Housing | Caroline Carpendale / Justin Haywood | |
| | Overcrowding Strategy update | Caroline Carpendale / Justin Haywood | |
| | Channel Shift update | Charlotte McGraw | |
| To be allocated | - Refugee Resettlement Programme - Update | Chris Burgin | |

